



SARUA Leadership Dialogue Series Volume 2 Number 4

CLIMATE CHANGE, ADAPTATION AND HIGHER EDUCATION: Securing Our Future




www.sarua.org



SARUA Leadership Dialogue Series Volume 2 Number 4 2010

CLIMATE CHANGE, ADAPTATION AND HIGHER EDUCATION: Securing Our Future

Series Editor: Piyushi Kotecha



FOREWORD

As a borderless, multifaceted and unpredictable phenomenon, which will ultimately impact on the lives of all of us in the future, climate change poses a significant challenge; to governments, international agencies, scientists, scholars and ordinary citizens world-wide. On the southern tip of Africa, although there may be some small moral comfort in not producing the scale of industrial emissions that are alleged to be behind many of the changes in global weather patterns we are currently witnessing, we shall not be spared any of its effects, in fact we may be more vulnerable than many other regions.

What does this mean for the public universities in the countries of sub-Saharan Africa, committed as they are, through an association such as SARUA, to responding to the development challenges of the region? How can the universities through their core mission of teaching, research and community engagement contribute to a better understanding of both the phenomenon itself and, more importantly, to building the capacities that will enable the region and its peoples to prepare for and to cope more effectively with some of its effects? What changes will be required in pedagogical approaches and in the epistemological models currently employed by our universities, and how can the universities of the region collaborate to ensure that scarce resources and expertise on these matters are leveraged to the best effect?

It was to address questions such as these that SARUA drew together a number of leading experts within their fields, from across the SADC region, to present and to discuss their views with Vice Chancellors at a two day dialogue event titled '*Climate Change, adaptation and Higher Education: Securing our future*' held 21-22 October 2010 in Mauritius. The papers presented at this conference have been reproduced in full in this publication together with a 'Framework for Action on Climate Change,' which emerged on the second day as a result of the work of the various commissions of Vice-Chancellors and the experts.

Collectively the papers give an overview of the likely impacts and effects of climate change on the southern African region, its peoples and the biodiversity of its flora and fauna, over the next decade and beyond. Specific challenges and vulnerabilities are highlighted in the areas of agriculture, food security, water security and public health together with strategies and actions that can be taken by governments and other agencies to reduce such vulnerabilities, and to better prepare their populations to survive and to prosper in the changing environments of the future.

More importantly, from the university perspective, the discussion goes beyond the outward manifestations of the problem to a critical examination of what this means for universities in terms of curriculum and teaching, research and knowledge production, and engagement with their communities in the broadest sense. There is much food for thought here; the epistemological challenges and indeed the opportunities, go beyond climate change as a particular 'subject' or focus area, and can be applied to any of a number of complicated theoretical and 'real world' issues, requiring multidisciplinary approaches involving a high degree of uncertainty, complexity and risk. Perhaps this is best summed up by Heila Lotz-Sisitka in the conclusion to her paper, that such complex issues require both a

... transformation in teaching epistemologies, away from transfer oriented epistemologies of certainty, to more complex teaching epistemologies that recognise uncertainty, and risk.



... a re-orientation of community engagement, from models of reactive responsiveness to a more pro-active, preventative engagement with potential future risks.

Such re-ective engagement with the realities of our environment and the capacity of universities to make a difference lie at the core of academic enquiry and purposeful action. It is envisaged that the Framework for Action will spur relevant developmental inter-university initiatives and collaboration within the SADC region. As such the Framework for Action included here should be viewed as an open invitation for funders, specialist agencies and governmental Research and Development units to partner on this theme.

Published by SARUA
P O Box 662
WITS
2050
SOUTH AFRICA

ISBN: 7 -0- 0 -1-1

SARUA is a not-for-profit leadership association of the heads of the public universities in the 15 countries of the SADC region. Its mission is to promote, strengthen and increase higher education, research and innovation through expanded inter-institutional collaboration and capacity building initiatives throughout the region. It promotes universities as major contributors towards building knowledge economies, and towards national and regional socio-economic and cultural development, and also the eradication of poverty.

This publication was made possible by the funding received from the Netherlands Ministry of Foreign Affairs.

The opinions expressed in this publication are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of either SARUA or the Netherlands Ministry of Foreign Affairs.

AUTHORS:	Pius Zebhe Yanda, Bruce C. Hewitson, Steve Makungwa, Dominic Mazvimavi, Heila Lotz-Sisitka, Coleen Vogel
SERIES EDITOR:	Piyushi Kotecha
PUBLICATION MANAGER:	Mark Burke
PRODUCTION:	Gail Robbins: DGR Writing & Research cc
PROOFREADER:	DGR Writing & Research cc
DESIGN AND LAYOUT:	Jamstreet Design, Cape Town
PRINTING:	Megadigital, Cape Town

This work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 Unported License. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/> or send a letter to Creative Commons, 171 Second Street, Suite 300, San Francisco, California, 94105, USA.



CONTENTS

	2
	8
	9
– Pius Zebhe Yanda	11
• Introduction	11
• Climatic Condition and Changes in Southern Africa	12
– Background	12
– General overview of climate change	12
– Consequences of climate change	12
– Climate change impacts	14
• Methods and Tools for Assessing Climate Change Impacts	19
• Climate Change Vulnerability	19
• Climate Change Adaptation	22
• The Role of Higher Education in Supporting Adaptation	28
– Shortfalls in the existing university curricula	28
– The need for climate change in the curricula	28
• References	30
	-
	– Bruce C. Hewitson 46
• Context	46
• Approaches to Regional Climate Information	48
• Key Elements in Understanding Regional Climate Change	52
– Detection versus attribution	52
– Prediction versus projection	52
– Principles of developing robust messages	53
– Envelopes and thresholds	53
– Uncertainty	53
• Building a Regional Change Message	54
• A Case Study of Regional Rainfall Change	55
– Historical changes in precipitation	56
– GCMs: projected regional circulation changes	58
– GCM native grid cell projections	60
– GCM downscaled projections	61
– Drawing conclusions and managing uncertainty	63
• New Opportunities for Africa	65
– New online resources to provide an engagement forum and integrate across communities	65
– New regional climate information portals	65
– New development of regional climate data	65
– Commercial climate services	66
– The role of tertiary education institutions	66
• Closing Discussion	66
• References	67

	– Steve Makungwa	68
• Abstract		68
• Introduction and Background		68
– Existing adaptation and mitigation knowledge		70
• Teaching and Learning		72
• Research and Knowledge Production		72
• Community engagement and Collaboration		76
• Conclusions		78
• References		80
	– Dominic Mazvimavi	81
• Abstract		81
• Introduction		82
– Hydrological effects		82
• Adaptation to Climate Change		87
– Institutional and technical capacity		87
– Water supply		88
– Water demand management		89
• Teaching and Learning		90
• Research Knowledge Production		92
• Community Engagement		94
• Conclusion		95
• References		97
	– Heila Lotz-Sisitka	101
• Abstract		101
• Introduction		102
• The Nature of the Public Health Climate Change Relation		102
• How Public Health and Climate Change are Being Analysed		105
– Analysing public health and climate change from a scientific evidence perspective		105
– Analysing public health and climate change through the lenses of predictive modelling		106
– Analysing public health and climate change within a framework of ‘multiple stressors’ and vulnerability assessments		106
– Analysing public health and climate change from critical political studies and philosophical perspectives		108
– Analysing public health and climate change from an educational and media studies vantage point		109
– Meta reviews or studies on climate change and public health		110
• Knowledge Questions Associated with Climate Change and Public Health Issues in Southern African Universities		110
• Conclusion		112
• References		114



	– Coleen Vogel	117
• Abstract		117
• Introduction		117
– Background to climate change education developments globally		119
– Background to climate change education developments in Africa and South Africa		120
– Returning to the 'centre' – ideas on a more sustainable, deep' curriculum design		122
– So what is next? Reflecting on some operational considerations		125
• Conclusions		127
• Acknowledgement		128
• References		129
		132
• Introduction		132
• Climate Change, Adaptation, Regional Development and Universities		133
• Aims and Objectives		134
• Principles		134
– Institutional capacity building		134
– Integration and Integral		135
– Collaborative		135
• Innovation and Capacity Building in Teaching and Learning		135
– Priorities and actions		135
• Innovations and Capacity Building in Research and Knowledge Production		136
– Priorities and actions		136
• Institutional Innovation and Capacity Building		136
– Priorities and actions		137
• Immediate Next Steps		137
– Deputy Vice-Chancellor working group		137
– Adaptation and development capacity building scheme		137

ABBREVIATIONS

ACRE	–	African Climate Research and Education Network
ADPC	–	Asian Disaster Preparedness Centre
AEO	–	African Environment Outlook
ANU	–	Australian National University
AOGCM	–	Atmosphere Ocean Global Climate Model
ARIES	–	Australian Research Institute in Education for Sustainability
CCAA	–	Climate Change Adaptation in Africa
CCAFS	–	Climate Change, Agriculture and Food Security
CGIAR	–	Consultative Group on International Agricultural Research
CIAT	–	International Centre for Tropical Agriculture
CIP	–	International Potato Centre
CP	–	Challenge Programme
CSAG	–	Climate Systems Analysis Group
DALY	–	Disability Adjusted Life Years
DPLG	–	Department of Provincial and Local Government
DST	–	Department of Science and Technology
DVCs	–	Deputy Vice Chancellors
ESSP	–	Earth System Science Partnership
FSTAU	–	Food Security Technical and Administrative Unit
GCMs	–	Global Climate Models
GHA	–	Greater Horn of Africa
IAC	–	Inter Academy Council
IIED	–	International Institute for Environment and Development
IPCC	–	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
IPDM	–	Integrated Pest and Disease Management
MESA	–	Mainstreaming Environment and Sustainability in Africa
NAPA	–	National Adaptation of Programmes of Action
NARS	–	National Agricultural Research Systems
NERICA	–	New Rice for Africa
RCMs	–	Regional Climate Models
REDD	–	Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and Forest Degradation
SADC	–	Southern African Development Community
SAMJ	–	South African Medical Journal
SARUA	–	Southern African Regional Universities Association
SARVA	–	South African Risk and Vulnerability Atlas
SAVI	–	Southern African Vulnerability Initiative
SEI	–	Stockholm Environmental Institute
START	–	Global Change System for Analysis, Research and Training
UNEP	–	United Nations Environment Programme
UNESCO	–	United Nations Education, Science and Cultural Organisation
UNFCCC	–	United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change
WCRP	–	World Climate Research Programme
WDR	–	World Disaster Report
WG1	–	Working Group 1
WG2	–	Working Group 2
WGCCD	–	Working Group on Climate Change and Development
WHO	–	World Health Organisation
YES	–	Youth Encounter on Sustainability Course



INTRODUCTION

While the contribution of higher education in confronting the challenges faced by humanity in the first decade of the 21st Century is acknowledged, its role in creating the capabilities and the knowledge needed to adapt to current and future vulnerability as a result of climate change is less certain. Higher education institutions globally, like other institutions in society, have to take stock of their contribution to climate change and they also have to gauge their relevance to the worldwide efforts to develop the pathways to adapt to the vulnerability associated with climate change.

Higher education institutions in Africa, while recognising that Africa's contribution to the damage inflicted on the global climate regulatory system is less when compared to developed regions of the world, nevertheless have an important responsibility given the precarious position of Africa as one of the most vulnerable continents to climate change. This responsibility pivots around educating and preparing present and future leaders and members of society, through scholarship and scientific research, with the ideas and imagination as well as the social, technical and managerial capabilities for creating the conditions for long-term sustainability.

SARUA, in partnership with the University of Mauritius, hosted a Southern African Higher Education Leadership Programme to review the present contribution of higher education in southern Africa and to explore opportunities for scaling up existing initiatives so that it can make a meaningful impact on the way the region responds to climate change, adaptation and sustainability. This leadership dialogue sought to take advantage of increasing the momentum gained, the relationships formed, and the knowledge created by a number of recent initiatives, to explore the nexus between climate change, adaptation and higher education¹. The aim of the leadership dialogue was to determine the progress made in regard to integrating climate change into higher education teaching and learning, knowledge production and community engagement.

TEACHING AND LEARNING

Climate change is a planetary phenomenon. The scale and complexity of the underlying challenges of adaptation, vulnerability, mitigation and sustainability is such that the traditional disciplinary epistemological frameworks may appear to be inadequate to develop the conceptual, methodological and analytical tools necessary to understand developments in a range of interdependent knowledge domains. The workshop explored the trends pertaining to: the integration of climate change and adaptation concerns in curriculum development or curriculum re-orientation; the development of new or specialised training programmes; the nature of inter-, trans-, and multi-disciplinary programmes; developments in the establishment of joint programmes and student exchange programmes; and the innovations in teaching.

RESEARCH AND KNOWLEDGE PRODUCTION

Knowledge is the foundation on which the three traditional missions of academic teaching, research and social engagement is built. Academic teaching involves the transfer of knowledge from the academic to the student; research involves the process of undertaking scientific and social science research and publishing the results thereof, while community engagement provides an opportunity for applying the knowledge generated through these processes. The main challenge in this context is producing locally relevant research by African researchers in African institutions. The workshop

¹ Education, Capacity Building and Climate Change Forum held in Tanzania on 27 June – 1 July 2010 aimed at developing a strategy for education and adaptation in Africa; Consultative Conference on the Role of Higher Education in Adapting to Climate Change: Africa held on 16 – 18 October 2009 in Ghana; and the Association of African Universities 12th General Conference on Sustainable Development in Africa: The Role of Higher Education held on 4 – 9 May 2009 in Abuja, Nigeria.

examined the evolving mode of climate change, adaptation research and knowledge production with specific reference to the sites of knowledge production, the research funding, the type of research undertaken, the methodological challenges and concerns, how this research is communicated, and how it is used for teaching and for social engagement purposes.

COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT AND COLLABORATION

No single institution has all the resources, both human and otherwise, to address the key challenges presented by climate change in the different knowledge domains. A range of North-South and South-South collaborative initiatives and activities at the global and regional levels have emerged that bring together experts from across the world. The workshop assessed the nature of these collaborative activities and reflected on; the role-players and stakeholders involved; the motivations for these collaborations; the types of initiatives and activities; and the support available to sustain these actions.

INSTITUTIONAL MANAGEMENT

Institutions support the integration of climate change and adaptation concerns into the curricula, research and collaborative activities of academia in many different ways. Good practices are emerging that can provide key lessons and insights. The workshop examined the role played by the executive leadership of universities in the region, reviewed examples of the type of leadership and management support made available, and assessed the constraints and possibilities for enhancing existing initiatives and investments.

TOWARDS A FRAMEWORK FOR ACTION

The workshop brought together Vice Chancellors and senior scientists to review the extent to which climate change and adaptation concerns are being integrated into teaching, research and community. The scientists provided expert inputs to stimulate and to provoke discussion among university leaders. Prof Yanda synthesised the main concepts, themes, developments and latest research on climate change impacts, vulnerability and adaptation. Prof Hewitson examined the issues pertaining to generating regional information on climate change. Dr. Makungwa and Prof Mazvimavi considered the extent to which climate change issues have been integrated into the field of agriculture and food security, and into the field of water resource management, while Prof Lotz-Sisitka examined climate change curriculum development and knowledge production in the field of public health. Prof Vogel raised the need for more creative designs in climate change curriculum development. The proposed Framework for Action on Climate Change, Adaptation and Higher Education emerged from the deliberations among Vice-Chancellors as a guide to universities in southern Africa.



CLIMATE CHANGE IMPACTS, VULNERABILITY AND ADAPTATION IN SOUTHERN AFRICA

– Pius Zebhe Yanda¹

INTRODUCTION

It has been revealed that climate change and variability is taking place due to natural and anthropogenic processes that change atmospheric conditions and processes. These changes have brought significant changes in rainfall and temperature patterns, which manifest through drought, dry spells and floods. These outcomes have caused differentiated impacts on communities, both in the developed urbanised cities, and in the developing, mostly poor ruralised communities. Severe impacts have been experienced in developing countries, mostly Africa, southern Africa in particular, due to technological and economic constraints in coping and in adapting in the changing world. It has also been established, through research and projections that climate change impact on Africa is likely to be severe because of these adverse direct effects; the high agricultural dependence, and the limited capacity to adapt. Although direct effects from climate changes vary widely across the continent; with some areas (e.g. eastern and southern Africa) predicted to get wetter, much of southern Africa is getting drier and hotter; dependence on the agricultural sector for economic and for livelihoods will aggravate the impacts beyond the capacity of the community to cope or to adapt to the potential and the revealed changes. Crop yields will be adversely affected and the frequency of extreme weather events will increase.

While climate change already poses significant impacts on the agricultural sector, there has been little commitment to make adaptation a national priority among nations south of the Sahara. In most cases, adaptation to climate change is primarily a private-sector response mostly involving the relocation of people, or involuntary migration, as well as changes in the sectoral structure of production, and changes in crop patterns. It has been revealed that the key role of the government is primarily to provide information, incentives, and the economic environment to facilitate such changes. In general, there has been very little effort to address climate change impacts in most developing countries. These drawbacks in adaptation are attributed to; low scientific development and poor social and economic infrastructures as well as Africa's fragmentation into small countries and ethnic groups, and also poor business environments. Universities have a role to play in advancing research in climate change issues and in mainstreaming climate change in university curricula so as to equip students with climate change knowledge.

¹ Pius Zebhe Yanda (PhD) is Professor and Director of the Institute of Resource Assessment, University of Dar Es Salaam, Tanzania. Email: pyanda@gmail.com.



CLIMATIC CONDITION AND CHANGES IN SOUTHERN AFRICA

Climate change is reported to be a reality affecting both social and natural systems. It is important to also note that every member of the society, including institutions in the society such as higher education, has a role to play in addressing climate change challenges. For many years now the contribution of higher education in addressing emerging issues has been well acknowledged. This paper provides an analysis of climate change impacts, vulnerability and adaptation in the region and assesses its implications for higher education.

Climate change impacts have been undermining social and economic systems of poor and agricultural dependent communities in southern Africa. According to IPCC (2007), the warming trend observed in the region over the last few decades has been consistent with the global trend of temperature rise in the 1970s, 1980s and particularly in the 1990s. According to the IPCC (2001), temperatures in the region have risen by over 0.5° C during the last 100 years. The nearby Indian Ocean has also warmed more than 1°C since 1950, a period that has also witnessed a downward trend in rainfall (NCAR 2005). Below-normal rainfall years are becoming more frequent and the departure of these years from the long-term normal is becoming more pronounced (USAID 1992). Over 15 drought events were reported between 1988 and 1992 in various areas of southern Africa; and there has also been an increase in the frequency and intensity of El Niño episodes. Prior to the 1980s, strong El Niño's occurred on average every 10 to 20 years. However, the early 1980s marked the beginning of a series of strong El Niño events: 1982/1983; 1991/1992; 1994/1995; and 1997/1998. The episodes of 1982/1983 and of 1997/1998 were the most intense in the last century. Paradoxically though, the 1991/1992 El Niño, which was considered as a moderate event, caused a major drought throughout southern Africa (Glantz et al. 1997; UNEP & ICRAF 2006).

There is widespread acceptance that the climate of southern Africa will in future be hotter and drier than it is today. By 2050, the average annual temperature is expected to increase by 1.5-2.5° C in the south and by 2.5-3.0° C in the north compared to the 1961-1990 average (Ragab & Prudhomme 2002). Temperature rises will be greater in the summer than in the winter, exacerbating stress on crops. Recent studies reveal 'very clear and dramatic warming of the Indian Ocean into the future, thus more droughts for southern Africa' (NCAR 2005). Studies further show that monsoons across southern Africa could be 10 to 20% drier than today (Mason & Solomon 2002). The Indian Ocean is expected to warm by 1.5-2.5° C by 2050, which will lead to a decrease in rainfall over the region (Mason & Solomon 2002).



The Pacific warming of 1991/1992 over southern Africa caused the worst drought of the last century (Glantz *et al.* 1997). The resulting crop losses and the death of cattle herds led to widespread food shortages and devastated the fragile economies of various countries. The regional maize production in 1992 was approximately 5 million tonnes (the lowest since 1961), putting an estimated 30 million people at the brink of famine (Battersby 1992; Chiledi 1992; Harsch 1992). This was 60% below the 1991 level (an already below average production year) and the 1991-2000 average. Damage to the herd was also great. For example, in Zimbabwe the drought resulted in the death of an estimated 423,000 cattle out of 4.4 million and the doubling of the normal *o*-take. Even the animals that could be sold only fetched a pitiful Z\$24 per head as compared to an average normal price of Z\$500 per head (Thompson 1993; UNEP & ICRAF 2006).

In Botswana, the national herd was reduced by a third (Government of Botswana 2001). Then following an El Niño-related drought in 1995, the regional cereal production was only 15.7 million tonnes, a time when direct consumption needs were 23.3 million tonnes. Only 9 million tonnes of maize (half of the previous year's production) were produced in the entire region, an output comparable to that of the early 1960s. Maize deficit represented 4.9 million tonnes out of the 7.6 million tonne deficit for all cereals (SADC/FSTAU 1993; UNEP & ICRAF 2006). Since 2001, consecutive dry spells in some areas of southern Africa, have led to serious food shortages in many countries. In 2001/2002 six countries namely; Lesotho, Malawi, Mozambique, Swaziland, Zambia and Zimbabwe, faced a food deficit to the tune of 1.2 million tonnes of cereals and non-food requirements at an estimated cost of US\$611 million (SADC 2002; UNEP & ICRAF 2006). The 2002/2003 drought resulted in a food deficit of 3.3 million tonnes, with an estimated 14.4 million people in need of assistance. The World Food Program (WFP) analysed the food situation in the southern African region in 2001/2002 and identified 7 major factors that were contributing to the crisis, two of which were climate related factors (WFP 2002). These, climate related factors included severe dry spells/drought in: Malawi, Mozambique, Zambia and Zimbabwe; and heavy rain/floods in: Lesotho, South and Central Mozambique.

Temperature changes

The Third Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC 2001) states that climate change scenarios indicate a future warming of 0.2 to 0.5 °C per decade across Africa. This warming is greatest over the interior of the semi-arid margins of the Sahara and central southern Africa. A more recent Global Circulation Model suggests that by the 2070-2099 periods, maximum warming in southern Africa is expected to be up to 7° C (Ruosteenoja *et al.* 2003). However, Hudson and Jones (2002), show that regional climate models for southern Africa give slightly different results due to their improved ability to predict climate variability. They predict a 3.7° C increase in summer mean surface air temperature and a 4°C increase in winter by the 2080s (UNEP & ICRAF 2006).

Changes in precipitation

Precipitation projections are generally less consistent, but most simulations in southern Africa indicate reduced precipitation in the next 100 years and most models project that by 2050 the interior of southern Africa will experience significant decreases during the growing season (IPCC 2001). Southern African monsoons are projected to weaken during the 2000-2049 period, and precipitation is expected to decrease. By the 2080s, a drying, over much of the western sub-tropical region (which includes northern Namibia) due to fewer rainy days and less intense rainfall, is predicted (Hudson & Jones 2002;



Hulme *et al.* 2001; IPCC 2001; Ruosteenoja *et al.* 2003). Kigotho (2005) adds that the climate change induced warming of the Indian Ocean is likely to lead to persistent droughts in southern Africa in the coming years, and the monsoon winds that bring seasonal rain to sub-Saharan African could be 10-20% drier than the 1950-2000 averages.

Changes in evaporation

In Africa, water supplies from rivers, lakes and rainfall are already threatened by unsustainable use, and climate change could impose additional pressures on water availability. Global warming is predicted to reduce soil moisture in sub-humid zones and reduce runoff. De Wit and Stankiewicz (2006) calculated that decreases in perennial drainage will significantly affect the present surface water access across 25% of Africa by the end of this century; with significant runoff reduction by 2050 in southern Africa (Arnell, 1999). Furthermore, the percentage of years with runoff below the current drought runoff is likely to increase by about 30% across much of southern Africa by the 2050s (Arnell 2004).

Floods

Floods are already having very large impacts on cities and on smaller urban centres in many African countries. For instance, the floods in Mozambique in 2000, which included heavy floods in Maputo, killed at least 700 people, displaced 650,000 and affected 4.5 million; the floods in Algiers in 2001 killed approximately 900 people, and affected 45,000; heavy rains in East Africa in 2002 brought floods and mud-slides forcing tens of thousands to leave their homes in Rwanda, Kenya, Burundi, Tanzania and Uganda, and the very serious floods in Port Harcourt and in Addis Ababa in 2006 also caused mayhem. Furthermore, the Mombasa floods in October 2006 were particularly serious, affecting some 60,000 people; some studies have estimated that about 17% of Mombasa's area (4,600 hectares) could be submerged by the sea-level rise of 0.3 metres (Mahongo 2006), with a larger area rendered uninhabitable or unusable for agriculture because of water logging and salt stress (Awuor 2007).

Several studies have confirmed that Africa is 'highly vulnerable' to the impacts of climate change because of factors such as; widespread poverty, recurrent droughts, floods, inequitable land distribution, poor social and economic infrastructure, and the over-dependence on natural resources that are climate sensitive sectors such as, rain-fed agriculture, forestry and water resources (IPCC 2001: 489-491; Slingo *et al.* 2005; FAO 2003; Parry *et al.* 2004; Elasha *et al.* 2006; Schneider *et al.* 2006; Ben 2005; Orindi & Murray 2005). Large-scale events such as the ongoing drought in the Horn of Africa, the 1998 floods in East Africa and the 1997/8 and 2000 floods in Mozambique, illustrate ways in which many communities are already suffering from the less predictable and more extreme weather patterns.

It is well established that climate change impacts in most parts of arid and semi-arid Africa have increased the degree of inter-annual rainfall variability. It is therefore, not surprising that most of the continent is prone to extreme events such as droughts and floods with far reaching socio-economic devastations that include damage to infrastructure, loss of life, mass migration of people and animals, poor crop yields, food shortages, famine, malnutrition, and many other socio-economic miseries (Indeje 2000).



Rainfall variation has, to a large extent, disrupted the economies of most southern African countries that largely depend on agriculture. The region is highly vulnerable to the amounts and distribution of rainfall (Indeje 2000). Climate extremes often wipe out decades of national development investments and infrastructures, and often force local and national authorities in the region to redirect most of their scarce resources planned for other national development activities to disaster response and recovery including relief programmes. Climate change leading to changes in the space-time patterns is yet another threat to different parts of Africa, in particular south of the Sahara. It impacts on human

t
Quindtruteo

growth and to the heightened risk of civil conflict. For instance, in northwest Kenya, recurring droughts have led to increased competition for grazing resources, livestock losses and conflict (SEI 2008).

Inadequate rainfall, coupled with drought and reduced runoffs, have diminished river flows and decreased the availability of water for irrigation and electricity generation, thus affecting national economies such as Tanzania. Also, increasing temperature and rainfall patterns may in turn affect groundwater recharge and the water supply both in urban and rural communities (Paavola 2003; Wheeler et al. 2000; Porter & Semenov 2005; Challinor et al. 2007). Conversely, climate change affects freshwater quantity and quality (Kundzewicz et al. 2007). More dramatic impacts on water supplies are liable to be felt under the extremes of weather that could arise as a result of climate change, particularly drought and flooding (Wilbanks et al. 2007).

Impact of climate change on social systems

Available studies ascertain that the predicted changes in climate and climate impacts have direct and indirect impacts on human health (IPCC 2001; McMichael et al. 1996; Patz et al. 2002; Paavola 2003). Obviously, the associated impacts of climate change are predominantly negative, with the most severe impacts being seen in low-income countries, and vulnerable communities where the capacity to adapt is weakest (Haines et al. 2006; Confalonieri et al. 2007).

Accordingly, the projected increases in temperature and the changes in rainfall patterns will increase malnutrition emanating from food insecurity and famine; and diseases such as malaria, schistosomiasis, typhoid, trypanosomiasis, cholera and diarrhoea, already evident in Tanzania. In general, malnutrition and food shortages will increase morbidity and mortality related to infectious diseases (IPCC 2001; McMichael et al. 1996; Patz et al. 2002; Rogers & Randolph 2000). Many more diseases, however, are projected to occur in the future in the developing countries. The projected diseases include cardio-respiratory diseases due to higher concentrations of ground-level ozone (Confalonieri et al. 2007).

The potential impacts of climate change on populations in the interior and in the coastal regions will be determined by the future health status of the population; its capacity to cope with climate hazards and its ability to control infectious diseases, and to implement other public health measures (Confalonieri et al. 2007). For instance, coastal communities that rely on marine resources for food, in terms of both supply and maintenance of food quality (food safety), are highly vulnerable to climate-related impacts, in both health and economic terms (ibid).

The consequences are articulated by the fact that marine ecological processes, linked to temperature changes, also play a role in determining human health risks, such as, from cholera, and other enteric pathogens, shellfish and reef fish poisoning (Hunter 2003; Peperzak 2005; McLaughlin et al. 2006; Nicholls et al. 2005; Confalonieri et al. 2007). In addition, climate change has a range of adverse effects on some rural populations and regions, including increased food insecurity due to geographical shifts in optimum crop-growing conditions and yield changes in crops, reduced water resources for agriculture and human consumption, flood and storm damage, loss of cropping land through floods, droughts, a rise in sea level, and increased rates of climate-sensitive health outcomes (Confalonieri et al. 2007).



Water scarcity itself is associated with multiple adverse health outcomes, including diseases associated with water contaminated with faecal and other hazardous substances (including parasites), vector-borne diseases associated with water-storage systems, and malnutrition. This problem constitutes a serious constraint to sustainable development, particularly in savannah regions which cover a vast area across southern African countries (Rockström 2003; Confalonieri *et al.* 2007).

Climate change leading to reduced rainfall and freshwater resources capacity is one of the major sources of conflicts in Africa. The flooding of river basins is currently affecting water quality due to siltation and the destruction of the rich low river basins that support most livelihoods. In addition, increased frequency of flooding and drought also stress freshwater systems and pressurise water supply networks. Again, most energy for industrial and urban areas, in many locations in Africa, is derived mainly from hydropower. There has been unpredictable energy supply since the water resources generating electricity are highly dependent on, and sensitive to extreme climatic fluctuations such as droughts and floods. Droughts are known to be accompanied with low water levels in the major dams, while floods bring a lot of silt into the dams that can sometimes lead to the malfunctioning of, or damages to the turbines (IDRC/CCAA 2009; Kundzewicz *et al.* 2007).

In recent years there have been resurgences of malaria in the highlands of East Africa. Although many factors are probably involved in the malaria implications, such as poor drug-treatment implementation, drug resistance, land-use change, and various socio-demographic factors, including poverty; there is also a strong correlation with climate change (Pascual *et al.* 2006; Collier *et al.* 2008). This is attributed to fact that the temperature in the highlands of East Africa has risen by 0.5° C since 1980, much faster than the global average, thus correlating with a sharp increase in the mosquito populations (Collier *et al.* 2008).

Unless countered, both malaria and dengue are expected to spread substantially. Malaria already inflicts enormous costs on Africa, over and above its direct effects on health. Gallup and Sachs (2001 in Collier *et al.* 2008) argue that, controlling of other factors, the impact of intensive malaria means a reduction of income by two-thirds; while a 10 percent reduction in malaria is associated with 0.3 percent of higher growth. Hence the spread of malaria, and the concomitant increase in the difficulty of its control, may imply high, though currently unquantifiable, long-term costs (Collier *et al.* 2008).

Impact of climate change on natural systems

Historically, climate change has resulted in dramatic shifts in the geographical distributions of species and ecosystems. In order for species to adapt, the current rates of migration of species will have to be much higher than the rates during post-glacial periods (Malcolm *et al.* 2002). Species that have the capability to keep up with climate shifts may survive; others that cannot respond will likely suffer. For example, biome sensitivity assessments in Africa show that deciduous and semi-deciduous closed canopy forests may be very sensitive to small decreases in the amount of precipitation that plants receive during the growing season, illustrating that deciduous forests may be more sensitive than grasslands or savannas to reduced precipitation (Hély *et al.* 2006). Invasive species and other species with high fertility and dispersal capabilities have shown to be highly adaptive to variable climatic conditions (Malcolm *et al.* 2002). Southern Africa may be particularly vulnerable to exotic and invasive species colonisation due to its climate sensitive native fauna.



Migratory species that use southern Africa as breeding sites may also be vulnerable to changes in climate, mainly through altering migratory routes (and timings) of species that use both seasonal wetlands (e.g., migratory birds) and track seasonal changes in vegetation (e.g., herbivores), which may also increase conflicts with humans, particularly in areas where rainfall is low (Thirgood *et al.* 2004). Land-use patterns in Africa can also prevent animals from changing their migratory routes, for example, park boundary fences have been demonstrated to disrupt migratory journeys, leading, for example, to a population decline in wildebeest (Whyte & Joubert 1988).

Climate change also threatens some of the large protected areas (including ones that protect migratory species) that have been designated to conserve much of Africa's magnificent biodiversity. It is expected that vegetation will migrate or move in order to utilize suitable habitats requirements (i.e., water and nutrient availability). However, this may mean that in some locations the geographical range of suitable habitats will shift outside the protected area boundaries. In addition, weather extremes can also affect biodiversity in more complex ways. For example, African elephants (*Loxodonta africana*), breed year-round; dominant males mate in the wet season and subordinate males breed in the dry season. Subsequently, a change in the intensity or duration of the rainy versus drought seasons could change relative breeding rates and, hence, genetic structures in these populations (Poole 1989; Rubenstein 1992). Strategies for future designations of protected areas need to be developed that include projections of future climate change and corresponding changes in the geographic range of plant and animal species to ensure adequate protection.

Vast forest disappearance due to climate change-induced die-back and land use change would substantially affect species composition and global geochemical cycling, particularly the carbon cycle (Malcolm *et al.* 2002). Chidumayo (2005) showed that dry tropical trees suffer severe water stress at the beginning of the growing season and that a warmer climate may accelerate the depletion of deep-soil water that tree species depend on for survival. In sub-Saharan Africa, which includes parts of southern Africa, several ecosystems, particularly grass and shrub savannahs, are shown to be highly sensitive to the short-term availability of water due to climate variability (Vanacker *et al.* 2005).

Shrub and grassland vegetation types generally have root systems that are shallow and dense. These plants draw their moisture from water that is available in upper soil layers. Growth in these species depends highly upon the timing, intensity and duration of rainfall. Climate projections suggest that during the already dry months, less precipitation will occur likely reducing the resilience of these plants (Vanacker *et al.* 2005). Changes in plant composition will also have an impact on ecosystem resilience; less diverse systems can be more sensitive to precipitation fluctuations. For example, ecosystems that are comprised of uniform herbaceous cover, such as in savannah plant communities, show the highest sensitivity to precipitation fluctuations when compared with plant communities of a mix of herbaceous, shrub and tree species that support a higher diversity of species (Vanacker *et al.* 2005).

Climate change may also affect the range of species, which could have profound impacts on the population size of species. Among Tanzania's important and productive coastal ecosystems, mangroves are the most vulnerable to inundation, followed by sand and mud flats. Sea-level rise would also cause salt water intrusion in Tanzania's aquifers and deltas, affecting fresh water availability, especially in coastal areas (Orindi & Murray 2005).



METHODS AND TOOLS FOR ASSESSING CLIMATE CHANGE IMPACTS

Climate change impacts have been studied overtime, with much focus on biophysical and socio-economic aspects. Crop production and yield patterns have been of particular interest as they link to food security in rural communities. Since atmospheric concentrations of greenhouse gases continue to rise at rates that are both unprecedented and alarming, efforts have been made to understand the implications for crop production (Siegenthaler *et al.* 2005; Spahni *et al.* 2005; Anderson & Bows 2008). These efforts are primarily based on climate models, which use spatial grids with resolutions typically in the order of a hundred kilometres. Such simplification of the spatial heterogeneity of processes has direct implications for the assessment of the impacts of climate change at a local scale. Likewise, location-specific methods have also been developed, to account for the variety of climatic and non-climatic stresses induced by climate change and variability, often not observable at aggregated spatial scales. It is at this smaller field scale that crop models, for example, were originally designed to operate (Sinclair & Seligman 1996; van Ittersum *et al.* 2003), resulting in applications in decision support (Boote & Jones 1998) and in discussion support (Hansen 2005).

It is clear that regional prediction, using crop and climate models, cannot rely solely on methods developed as part of the longer standing tradition of crop simulation in the field scale (Moen *et al.* 1994; Faivre *et al.* 2004). Whilst the results of field-scale models can be directly compared with regional-scale yields (Yun 2003; Nain *et al.* 2004; Xiong *et al.* 2007), it can be argued that this requires the design or the selection of crop models that have a low input data requirement (Priya & Shibasaki, 2001; de Wit *et al.* 2005). An alternative is to take a field-scale crop model and make it applicable to the regional scale through one or more procedures, such as calibration (Chipanshi *et al.* 1999; Jagtap & Jones 2002), aggregation of inputs (Haskett *et al.* 1995), and aggregation of outputs from multiple sub-grid simulations. This latter method can use either simulations sampled by varying model inputs such as planting date and crop variety (Jagtap & Jones 2002; Irmak *et al.* 2005), or else simulations explicitly carried out at the sub-grid scale (Thornton *et al.* 1996).

Estimates of yields at the regional scale can also be made by designing a crop model that operates on that scale. Such a model may be empirical, with weather variables used within a statistical regression of output from a field-scale crop model or of observed yield data (Iglesias *et al.* 2000; Lobell *et al.* 2008). The use of regressions of field-scale crop models can introduce significant errors through the linearisation of the equations for crop yield and/or an inability to account for sub seasonal climate variability (Challinor *et al.* 2006). More generally, the validity of empirical methods under climate change is limited by the necessity of using data outside the range for which the models were fitted. Also, statistical models have no explanatory power to enable understanding as to why certain changes have occurred. This is one reason why process-based regional-scale (or large-area) methods have been developed.

CLIMATE CHANGE VULNERABILITY

This is defined as the degree to which a system is susceptible to, and unable to cope with, adverse effects of climate change, including climate variability and extremes. Vulnerability is considered as a function of the character, magnitude, and rate of climate change and variation to which a system is exposed, its sensitivity, and its adaptive capacity (FAO 2008). Climate variability and change have direct impacts that cause vulnerability to the natural and social systems through flooding, droughts,



changes in average temperatures (e.g. leading to thawing of permafrost), temperature extremes, and extreme weather events such as hurricanes and cyclones (Brenkert & Malone 2005). For example, dry land agro-ecosystems in southern Africa, that provide the resource base for some of the rapid growing populations today, is currently stressed by long-term dry spells and droughts. Hence, rainfall variability straining already poor soils makes these systems inherently vulnerable, and land degradation reduces their capacity to cope with disturbances (Barron *et al.* 2003; Mortimore 2005). These characteristics limit the productivity and make dry land agro-ecosystems both inherently dynamic and vulnerable. Eventually, one of the outcomes of the degradation process is a reduced capacity of the environments, subjected to it, to absorb disturbances, such as droughts and floods (Rockström 2003; Enfors & Gordon 2007). Despite a thorough description of vulnerability across scales and regions, it is important to note that all societies have inherent abilities to deal with certain variations in climate, yet adaptive capacities are unevenly distributed, both across countries and within societies.

These disparities exist because vulnerability depends critically on context, and on the factors that make a system vulnerable to a hazard, and depend on the nature of the system and the type of hazard in question. For example, the factors that make a rural community in semi-arid Africa vulnerable to drought cannot be identical to those that make areas of a wealthy industrialised nation vulnerable to flooding, wind storms and other extreme weather events (FAO 2007; Schneider *et al.* 2007). Generally, if climate change occurs faster than those affected can adapt, community vulnerability to the impacts of both climate variability and change increases enormously. It is also necessary to understand how physical, biological and economic systems are likely to respond (FAO 2007).

Isolation and income diversity might be important determinants of vulnerability to drought for rural communities in Africa, whereas the dominant factors mediating vulnerability to storms and floods in developed countries might be the quality of physical infrastructure, and the efficacy of land use planning. Nonetheless, there are certain factors that are likely to influence vulnerability to a wide variety of hazards in different geographical and socio-political contexts. These are developmental factors including poverty, health status, economic inequality and elements of governance (Brooks *et al.* 2005; Eriksen 2001; Majule 2009).

These differences in vulnerability are a function of a number of factors. Exposure is one key factor. For example, crops at low latitudes will have greater exposure to higher temperatures than crops at mid- and high latitudes. Thus, yields for grain crops, which are sensitive to heat, are more likely to decline at lower latitudes than at higher latitudes. Social systems in low-lying coastal areas will vary in their exposure and adaptive capacities, yet most will have increased vulnerability with greater warming and associated sea-level rises or storm surges and floods (Yamin *et al.* 2005; Schneider *et al.* 2007; FAO 2007).

A second key factor affecting vulnerability is the capacity of social systems to adapt to their environment, including coping with the threats it may pose, and taking advantage of beneficial changes. Other determinants of adaptive capacity include such factors as wealth, societal organisation and access to technology (Smit *et al.* 2001; Schneider *et al.* 2007; Yohe & Tol 2002). These attributes differentiate vulnerability to climate change across societies facing similar exposure. For example, Nicholls (2004), and Nicholls and Tol (2006), found that the level of development and the population growth are very important factors affecting vulnerability to sea-level rise and to floods.



Vulnerability associated with water resources is still complex because vulnerability is quite region-specific. In addition, the level of development and adaptation and social factors determining access to water are very important in determining the vulnerability in the water sector. Studies differ as to whether climate change will increase or decrease the number of people living in water-stressed areas (Parry *et al.* 1999; Arnell 2004; Hitz & Smith, 2004; Alcamo *et al.* 2007). Hundreds of millions of people are estimated to be affected by changes in water quantity and quality (Arnell 2004; Schneider *et al.* 2007) but uncertainties remain concerning the degree to which these risks might be labelled as 'key'. But in general terms, floods and droughts appear to have increased in some regions and are likely to become more severe in the future (Schneider *et al.* 2007).

Low-lying, densely populated coastal areas are very likely to face risks from sea-level rise, and more intense extreme events such as storms and floods (Schneider *et al.* 2007). The combination of land-use changes and climate change is very likely to substantially reduce biodiversity leading to excessive flood events in low land areas. In addition, extended warm periods and increased drought will increase water stress in forests and in grasslands and increase the frequency and intensity of wildfires, especially in the semi-arid areas of southern Africa (Cary 2002; Westerling *et al.* 2006). These effects may lead to large losses of accumulated carbon from the soil and the biosphere to the atmosphere, thereby amplifying global warming (Langmann & Heil 2004; Angert *et al.* 2005; Bellamy *et al.* 2005).

Communities in coastal, semi arid and arid areas, particularly in low income countries, are vulnerable to a range of health effects due to climate variability and long-term climate change, particularly extreme weather and climate events such as, floods and droughts. These vulnerabilities are largest in semi-arid and arid low-income countries, where precipitation and stream flow are concentrated over a few months, and where year-to-year variations are high.

The poor and marginalised have historically been most at risk, and are also most vulnerable to the impacts of climate change. Recent analyses in Africa, Asia and Latin America, for example, show that marginalised, primary resource-dependent livelihood groups are particularly vulnerable to climate change impacts if their natural resource base is severely stressed and degraded by overuse, or if their



ities (IPCC 1998, 2001; Hulm, 1996). Increased vulnerability is predicted for millions of smallholder farmers in the semi-arid areas where drought and excessive dry-spells threatens agricultural activities (Challinor *et al.* 2007; Easterling *et al.* 2007; Huq & Ayers 2007; Ziervogel *et al.* 2008; Nelson & Stathers 2009). Furthermore, the vulnerability is altered by the high economic dependency on climate sensitive sectors like agriculture, water resources, tourism and the hotel industry. Thus, better management of climate related risks is significant to disaster risk reduction, climate change adaptation, and sustaining socio-economic development (IDRC/CCAA 2009; IPCC 2001: 489-491, 2007).

In the southern Africa region, according to Challinor *et al.* (2007), rainfed agriculture (mainly maize) is the largest sector of the economy agricultural production that is under pressure from increasing demands for food triggered by rapid population pressure. A large percentage of the population is already vulnerable to a range of natural hazards with increasing climate variability and change expected to aggravate the situation further by causing more frequent and more intense droughts, floods and increases in temperatures (IDRC/CCAA 2009).

According to the IPCC (2007), the Africa region still stands out as the most vulnerable continent. Again, the vulnerability differs across regions depending on the capacity to cope and to adapt with the changing climate. The IPCC (2007) further confirms that the threat of climate change is real, and Africa together with the other developing countries is expected to be hardest hit due to the current high vulnerability and low coping capacity levels. The limited adaptive capacity to climate change among southern Africa countries, and Africa in general, has been noted as a key factor in the continent's high vulnerability to climate change (IDRC/CCAA 2009; Nelson & Stathers 2009).

The productivity of food crops, from year to year for example, is inherently sensitive to the variability in the climate. Producers in many parts of the world have the physical, agricultural, economic and social resources to moderate, or to adapt, to the impacts of climate variability on food production systems. However, in many parts of Africa this is not the case, making agricultural systems and other livelihood supporting systems particularly vulnerable (Haile 2005). This is partly because a large fraction of Africa's crop production depends directly on rainfall. For example, 89% of cereals in sub-Saharan Africa are rainfed, hence, in many parts of Africa, climate is already a key driver of food security (Cooper 2004; Gregory *et al.* 2005; Verdin *et al.* 2005).

CLIMATE CHANGE ADAPTATION

From an integrated point of view that takes into account impacts on both the natural and social systems, adaptation may be seen as those measures that enable the natural systems and the communities to cope with the adverse effects of climate variability and change. Despite the wide reflection provided by an integrated approach and by other literatures in defining adaptation; for the purpose of this review, the definition of adaptation to climate change, is adopted from the IPCC (2001), that regards the concept as an adjustment in ecological, social or economic systems in response to observed or expected changes in climatic stimuli and their effects and impacts in order to alleviate adverse impacts of change, or take advantage of new opportunities. Hence adaptation is considered to involve both building adaptive capacity thereby, increasing the ability of individuals, groups, or organisations to adapt to changes, and implementing adaptation decisions, i.e. transforming that capacity into action



(Adger *et al.* 2005, 2007). It therefore, incorporates a wide range of measures that would increase the resilience of the environment and the communities to the possible adverse effects of climate variability and change. Thus, adaptation strategies are an integral part of sustainable development and should improve the society's ability to cope with changes in climatic conditions across time scales from the



in the face of past climate variability in Adger *et al.*(2007), African farmers will likely continue to adapt as the climate changes, for example, by adopting new crops or varieties or by altering the timing of planting and other agronomic practices (Burke *et al.* 2009).

But if future climates move as quickly outside the range of past experience as they are expected to throughout the tropics (Battisti & Naylor, 2009), farmers may be unable to adapt rapidly enough without some help (Burke *et al.* 2009). Accordingly, there is a widely acknowledged need for significant investment in agricultural and ecological adaptation. However, these needs of rapid adaptation have been constrained by; little systematic assessment of how to prioritise the 'planned' adaptations, what form of adaptation should be taken, and on what crops and locations they should focus (Burke *et al.* 2009).

Despite these adaptation challenges, several countries in southern Africa have established several adaptation options, which are mainstreamed in the Initial National Communication of respective countries as shown in Table 1 below. Due to the heavy dependence on the agricultural sector, southern African countries priorities adaptation measures mainly in this sector.

1

Botswana	1961-1990	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 10-20% rainfall decrease shown by most models • 10 % rainfall increase shown by few models • Shorter and less reliable rainy season 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 30% decrease of maize and sorghum yield in the dry scenarios • Light increase in sorghum yield in the wet scenario • Shorter and less reliable rainy season 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Develop capacity for drought early warning units • Import of cereals and relief package for rural people • Wider use of early maturing varieties • Adjusting to planting dates • Addressing major yield limiting factors 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Government of Botswana (2001)
----------	-----------	---	---	--	---



Lesotho	1961-1990	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Warming by 0.7, 1 and 2°C by 2030, 2050 and 2075 respectively • Lower rainfall in spring and summer, higher rainfall in winter and gradual warming 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Marginal maize production increase in normal rainfall years, no clear effects on sorghum and dry bean • Extensive and improvement for maize (20%), sorghum (108-115%) and dry bean (350%) in dry season 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Drought tolerant and fast maturing cultivars • Shift from maize mono-crop to crop diversification, irrigation and intensification • Rescheduling of planting dates and promotion of soil liming, organic fertilization and soil conservation activities • Abandonment of marginal land slopes, • Improved food activities and planting of trees for wind breakers 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Government of Lesotho (2000)
Malawi	1961-1990	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 2-3°C temperature rise by 2100 • 3-50% increase or 2-40% decrease in monthly rainfall depending on model 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Gradual decrease of maize yield from 2020 to 2100 showed by 3 out of 4 models • Marginal increase in maize yield shown by 1 model 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Changes in cultivated land area, in line with projected climate change • Changes in crops types • Change in crop locations • Use of irrigation and fertilizers • Control of pests, weeds, parasites, and diseases • Soil drainage and soil erosion control • Development of farm infrastructures 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Government of Malawi (2002)



South Africa		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 1.3°C warming at the 2050 horizon • 5-10% decrease in annual rainfall 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • 10-20% decrease in maize production 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Change in planting dates, row spacing, planting density and cultivars choice • Planting drought resistant crops such as sorghum and millet, or shifting crops from crops to livestock • Promoting practices such as conservation tillage, furrow tillage, furrow dyking, terracing, contour planting and planting wind breakers 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Government of South Africa (2002)
Swaziland		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Warmer and wetter climate at the 2050 horizon 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Maize yield decrease of 59 to 30% in the lowveld areas • Sorghum yield decrease of 78-59% in the lowveld and 25-8% in the middleveld, sorghum yield to increase of 38-19% in the Highveld yield • Bean yield to decrease of 23-11% in the Highveld area and in the middleveld • Bean yield to increase of 8-60% in the lowveld areas 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Change of planting dates, • Promotion of varieties and adapted to higher temperatures and higher rainfall • Change of crops, for example, the transfer of sugarcane from lowveld and middleveld to the Highveld; and replacement of crop like potatoes by more adapted crops such as cassava 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Government of Swaziland (2002)



Zimbabwe		<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Warmer and drier climate by 2075	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Low lying areas will cease to be suitable for maize production• Growing season 2-5% shorter than it is currently	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Introduction of livestock and dairy production in areas where maize production becomes uneconomical;• Promotion of drought tolerant crops• Improvement of irrigation techniques and promotion of agricultural diversification• Adjustment of the timing of farming operations and changing planting density• Installation of medium to large dams throughout the country for the development of irrigation projects• And shifting from subsistence to cash crop economy to boost rural-income	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Government of Zimbabwe (1998)
----------	--	--	---	--	---

Source: UNEP & ICRAF (2006): Climate change and variability: Impacts and adaptation strategies



THE ROLE OF HIGHER EDUCATION IN SUPPORTING ADAPTATION

The ultimate goal of higher education, throughout the world, has been to provide solutions to development challenges (Bloom *et al.* 2005). For instance, it is gratifying to note that African educators are taking a leading role in assessing what needs to be done in providing solutions to the challenges posed by climate change. In southern Africa, Higher Education is well placed to contribute to this process. The key contribution of higher education has been to create awareness through research and training, and it is this role that it should play in climate change impacts and adaptation potentials. The availability of multidisciplinary professionals in the region facilitates establishing synergy between development and education. Several networks and partnerships have been formed to concentrate on research and awareness creation among southern African countries. Despite past investments by African governments in Higher Education, the hope that Universities would provide solutions to Africa's problems is yet to be realised. There has been an enormous brain drain from Africa to other continents. It is estimated that 23,000 qualified academic professionals emigrate from Africa each year in search of better working conditions (BASIC 2006). Many of these are in agriculture and natural resources, areas that are of crucial economic importance for most African countries and relevant to climate change issues.

In 2007, Biodiversity International commissioned a survey to evaluate how plant genetic resources and agro-biodiversity are being taught in universities in southern Africa (Muluvi *et al.* 2008 cited by Rudebjer *et al.* 2008). The countries surveyed included Zimbabwe, Malawi, Zambia and Uganda. The results showed that none of the surveyed universities offered comprehensive agro-biodiversity programmes at undergraduate or graduate level. This survey recommended an urgent need to incorporate agro-biodiversity in the tertiary agricultural education programmes.

The shortfalls in tertiary agricultural education have been further described by Temu *et al.* (2003) and Chakeredza *et al.* (2008) as: the poor standing of institutions to meet the desired curriculum coverage; the training is predominantly based on curricula adopted from countries that had colonies in Africa, the curricula were founded on an agricultural philosophy that aimed at the production of cash crops for consumption by the colonising countries; the teaching mode is not learner-centred; and there is very little integration of theoretical training with field level experience. While agricultural sector and land use are the chief economic sector in the region, in contrast, most of the universities are located in towns where there are no farming communities nearby to work with. In most cases neither the farming community nor the private sector is involved in the design and delivery of the agricultural curricula.

Increasingly, climate change is becoming a challenge to African development efforts because of its dependence on climate sensitive sectors for livelihoods. There is a need for graduating university students to be well versed with the challenges posed by climate change, if they are going to advise the communities they will be working with appropriately. They also need to understand the implications of climate change on economic development. Educating learners, currently at school about climate change, will help to shape and sustain future policy-making. Studies on climate change have so far been limited to adaptation and mitigation intervention strategies. The faculty and students should be able to contribute to the development of the body of knowledge as regards climate change. Students



should be aware of the various International Conventions and Protocols surrounding climate change. These include UN Framework convention on climate change (UNFCCC), Kyoto protocol, and a range of other informal partnerships and dialogues that provide both a framework that supports co-operation and a foundation on which to build further collective action. The challenge now is; how to develop good curricula, produce relevant learning resources and capacitate educators. This is where efforts should be put to ensure such needed knowledge is offered in the region

REFERENCES

- Adger, W.N., *et al.*, 2005. 'Successful adaptation to climate change across Acales'. *Global Environmental Change*, 15: 77-86 UK: Elsevier
- Adger, W.N., *et al.*, 2003. 'Adaptation to climate change in the developing world'. *Progress in Development Studies*, 3: 179–195
- Adger, W.N., *et al.*, 2007. 'Assessment of adaptation practices, options, constraints and Capacity'. In Parry, M.L. *et al.*, (eds.). *Climate Change 2007: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability*, (pp.717-743). Contribution of Working Group II to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Agrawala, S., *et al.*, 2003. Development and climate change in Tanzania: Focus on Mount Kilimanjaro. Paris-Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.
- Anderson, K. & Bows, A. 2005. 'Reframing the climate change challenge in light of post 2000 Emission Trends'. In *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences*, (2008). 366:3863–3882.
- Angert, A. S., *et al.*, 2005. 'Drier summers cancel out the CO₂ uptake enhancement induced by warmer springs'. *P. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, 102: 10823–10827.
- Arnell, N.W. 2004. 'Climate change and global water resources: SRES emissions and socio-economic scenarios'. *Global Environmental Change*, 14: 31-52.
- Awuor, C.B., *et al.*, 2008. Climate change and coastal cities: The case of Mombasa, Kenya. *IIED*, 20(1) 231-242.
- Baker, R.H.A., *et al.*, 2000. 'The role of climatic mapping in predicting the potential geographical distribution of non-indigenous pests under current and future climates'. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, 82:57–71.
- Battersby, J. 1992. 'Southern Africa ghts drought of the century'. In the *Christian Science Monitor*. December 16 (p 9).
- Bellamy, P.H., *et al.*, 2005. 'Carbon losses from all soils across England and Wales 1978–2003'. *Nature*, 437: 245– 248.
- Ben, T. 2005. A content analysis report on climate change impacts, vulnerability and adaptation in Uganda. Uganda: DENIVA.
- Bird, K. & Shepherd, A. 2003. Chronic poverty in semi-arid Zimbabwe. Chronic Poverty Research Centre Working Paper No. 18. London: Overseas Development Institute.



Bohle, H. 2001. Vulnerability and criticality: Perspectives from Social Geography. IHDP

Boko, M. I., *et al.*, 2007. 'Africa'. In Parry, M.L. (eds.). *Climate Change 2007: Impacts, Adaptation and vulnerability*, (pp.433-467). Contribution of Working Group II to the Fourth Assessment Report of The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change Cambridge UK: Cambridge University Press.

Boote, K.J. & Jones, J.W. 1998. 'Simulation of crop growth: CROPGRO model'. In Peart, R.M. & Curry, R.B (eds). *Agricultural Systems Modelling and Simulation*, (pp.651–692). New York: Marcel Dekker.

Bradshaw., *et al.*, 2004. 'Farm-Level adaptation to climatic variability and change: Crop diversification in the Canadian Prairies'. *Climatic Change Journal*, 67: 119–141.

Brenket, A.L. & Malone, E.L. 2005. 'Modelling vulnerability and resilience to climate change: A case study of India and Indian States'. *Climate Change Journal* 72: 57-102: USA: Springer Links

Brooks, N., *et al.*, 2005. 'The Determinants of vulnerability and adaptive capacity at the national level and the implications for adaptation'. *Global Environmental Change Journal*: 15: 151-163. United Kingdom: Elsevier

Brooks, N. 2003. 'Vulnerability, risk and adaptation: A conceptual framework'. Working Paper 38, Tyndall Centre for Climate Change Research, University of East Anglia, Norwich. Available at: www.tyndall.ac.uk.

Burke, M.B., *et al.*, 2009. 'Shifts in African crop climates by 2050, and the implications for crop improvement and genetic resource conservation'. *Journal of Global Environmental Change*, vol. 699. Sweden: Elsevier.

Burton, I., *et al.*, 2003. 'Livelihoods and climate change. Combining disaster risk reduction, natural resource management and climate change adaptation in a new approach to the reduction of vulnerability and poverty': A Conceptual Framework Paper Prepared by the Task Force on Climate Change, Vulnerable Communities and Adaptation, IUCN/SEI/IISD/Interco-operation.

CARE 2006. Climate change and poverty in Tanzania: Realities and response options for CARE. CARE International Poverty-Climate Change Initiative.

Carter, T.R., *et al.*, 2007. New assessment methods and the characterisation of future conditions. In Parry, M.L. *et al.*, (eds.). *Climate change 2007: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability*, (pp.133-171). Contribution of Working Group II to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.



Cary, G.J. 2002. 'Importance of a changing climate for fire regimes in Australia'. In Bradstock,



Deressa, T.T & Hassan, R.M. 2009. 'Economic impact of climate change on crop production in Ethiopia: Evidence from cross-section measures'. *Journal of African Economies*, 18(4): 524-554. London:Oxford University Press.

Diaz, S. 1993. 'Evidence of a feedback mechanism limiting plant response to elevated carbon dioxide'. *Nature*, (1993). 364:616–617.

Downing, T.E 2003. 'Lessons from famine early warning and food security for understanding adaptation to climate change: Toward a vulnerability/adaptation science'. In: Smith, J.B., et al., 2003. (eds.). *Climate Change Adaptive Capacity and Development*. London: Imperial College Press.

Downing, T.E. 2002. 'Protecting the Vulnerable: Climate Change and Food Security'. In Briden, J.C. & Downing, T.E. (eds.). *Managing the Earth: The Linacre Lectures*. Oxford: Oxford University Press

Eakin, H. & Lemos, M.C. 2006. 'Adaptation and expectations of nation-state action: The challenge of capacity-building under globalisation'. *Global Environmental Change*, 16: 7-18.

Easterling, W.E., et al., 2007. 'Food, fibre and forest products': In Parry, M.L., et al., 2007 (eds.). *Climate Change: Impacts Adaptation and Vulnerability*, (pp.273–313). Contribution of Working Group II to the Fourth Assessment Report on the Inter-governmental Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press. *Economics* 75: 387-98

Easterling, W. (ed) 2007. *Adapting Agriculture to Climate Change*. Australia: Common Wealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation, Sustainable Ecosystems.

Elasha, B.O., et al., 2006. 'Impacts, vulnerability and adaptation to climate change in Africa'. Background Paper for the African Workshop on Adaptation Implementation of Decision 1/CP.10 of the UNFCCC Convention, UNFCCC, (p.54).
[Http://www.envirosecurity.org/activities/science/nairobi2006/africa.pdf](http://www.envirosecurity.org/activities/science/nairobi2006/africa.pdf)

Ellis, F. 2000. *Rural Livelihoods and Diversity in Developing Countries*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Ellis, F. & Allison, E. 2004. *Livelihood Diversification and Natural Resource Access*. FAO, Livelihood Support Programme (LSP). Working Paper 9.

Ellis, F. & Mdoe N. 2003. *Livelihoods and Rural Poverty Reduction in Tanzania*. The World Bank

Enfors, E.I. & Gordon, L.J. 2007. 'Analysing resilience in dry land agro-ecosystems: A case study of the Makanya catchment in Tanzania over 50 years'. *Land Degradation and Development Journal*, 18: 680-696. Stockholm:Wiley Interscience.



Erasmus, B.F.N., *et al.*, 2002. 'Vulnerability of South African animal taxa to climate change'
Global Change Biology 8: 679-693.



Government of Botswana, 2001. Botswana Initial National Communication to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change.

Government of Lesotho, 2000. Lesotho Initial National Communication to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change.

Government of Malawi, 2002. Malawi Initial National Communication to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change.

Government of Namibia, 2002. Namibia Initial National Communication to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change.

Government of South Africa, 2000. South Africa Initial National Communication to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change

Government of Swaziland, 2002. Swaziland Initial National Communication to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change.

Government of Zimbabwe, 1998. Zimbabwe Initial National Communication to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change.

Gregory P.J., *et al.*, 1999. 'Managed production systems'. In: Walker, B., *et al.*, 1999 (eds.). *The Terrestrial Biosphere and Global Change: Implications for Natural and Managed Systems*, (pp. 229–270). Cambridge: Cambridge University Press..

Gregory P.J., *et al.*, 2005. '*Climate change and food security*'. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 360: 2139–2148.

Gregory, P.J., *et al.*, 2009. 'Integrating pests and pathogens into the climate change/ food security debate'. In *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 60(10): 2827-2838. London: Oxford University Press

Haile M. 2005. 'Weather patterns, food security and humanitarian response in sub-Saharan Africa'. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Science*, 360: 2169–2182.

Haines, A. & Cassels, A. 2004. 'Can The Millennium Development Goals Be Attained?' *British Medical Journal*, 329: 394-397.

Hansen J. W. 2005. 'Integrating seasonal climate prediction and agricultural models for insights into agricultural practice'. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 360: 2037–2047.

Harsch, E. 1992. 'Drought devastates southern Africa'. *Africa Recovery*. (April): 3.

Hassan, R. & Nhemachena, C. 2008. 'Determinants of African farmers' strategies for adapting to climate change: multinomial choice analysis'. *African Journal of Agricultural and Resource Economics*, 2(1): 83–104.

Hatibu N.H., *et al.*, 2000. 'The Role of RWH in agriculture and natural resource management: from mitigating drought to preventing floods'. In Hatibu N. & Mahoo, H.F. (eds.). *Rain Water Harvesting For Natural Resources Management. A Planning Guide For Tanzania*. Technical Hand Book No. 22 RELMA/Sida.

Hély, C., L., *et al.*, 2006. 'Sensitivity of African biomes to changes in the precipitation regime'. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 15: 258-270.

Hepburn, C & Stern, N. 2008. A New Global Deal on Climate Change. *Oxford Review of Economic Policy* 24(2): 259-279.

Hitz, S. & Smith, J.B. 2004. 'Estimating global impacts from climate change'. *Global Environmental Change*, 14: 201-218.

Houghton, J.T. 2001. *Climate Change 2001: The Scientific Basis: Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) Working Group I to the Third Assessment Report*, (p 881). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge Univ. Press

Hulme, M. 1996. 'Climate change and southern Africa: An exploration of some potential impacts and implications in the SADC Region'. *Climatic Research*. (p 104). Unit and WWF Int.

Hulme, M., *et al.*, 2001. 'African climate change: 1900-2100'. *Climate Research*, 17: 145-168.

Hunn, E. 1993. 'What Is Traditional Ecological Knowledge?' In Williams, N., Baines, G. (eds.). *Traditional Ecological Knowledge: Wisdom for Sustainable Development*, (pp.13–15). Centre for



IDRC/CCA 2009. Integrated Climate Risk Assessment: Workshop Training manual. Nairobi, IDRC/CCAA

Indeje, M., *et al.*, 2000. 'ENSO signals in East African rainfall seasons'. *International Journal of Climatology*, 20: 19-46.

Ingram, J.S.I., *et al.*, 2008. 'The role of agronomic research in climate change and food security policy'. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*; 126: 4–12.

IPCC. 2001. *Climate Change 2001: Impacts, Adaptation, and Vulnerability*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

IPCC. 2007. *Climate Change 2007: The Scientific Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Cambridge UK: Cambridge University Press.

Irmak, A., *et al.*, 2005. 'Evaluation of the CROPGRO-soybean model for assessing climate Impacts on regional soybean yields'. *Transactions of the ASAE*, 48: 2343–2353.

Jagadish, S.V.K., *et al.*, 2008. 'Phenotyping parents of mapping populations of rice for heat tolerance during anthesis'. *Crop Science*, 48: 1140–1146.

Jagtap, S.S. & Jones, J.W. 2002. 'Adaptation and evaluation of the CROPGRO-soybean model to predict regional yield and production'. *Agriculture Ecosystems and Environment*; 93: 73–85.

Johnson, S.N, *et al.*, 2008. 'Varietal susceptibility of potatoes to wireworm herbivory'. *Agricultural and Forest Entomology*, 10: 167–174.

Johnstone N., *et al.*, 2002. 'Environmental and ethical dimensions of the provision of a basic need: Water and sanitation services in East Africa'. In Bromley D. W. & Paavola, J. (eds.). *Economics, Ethics and Environmental Policy: Contested Choices*, (pp.239-257). Malden, MA: Blackwell.

Jones, P.G & Thornton, P.K. 2003. 'The potential impacts of climate change in tropical agriculture: The case of maize in Africa and Latin America in 2055'. *Global Environmental Change*, 13: 51–59.

Jury, M.R. *et al.*, 2002. 'Tropical monsoons around Africa: Stability of El Niño–southern oscillation associations and links with continental climate. *Journal of Geophysical Research*. vol.107.

Kijazi, A.L. & Reason, C.J.C. 2009. 'Analysis of the 2006 floods over northern Tanzania'. *International Journal of Climatology*, 29: 955-970.

Kirilenko, A.P. & Solomon, A.M. 1998. 'Modelling dynamic vegetation response to rapid climate change using bioclimatic classification'. *Climatic Change*. 38: 15-49.

Kron, W. & Berz, G. 2007. Flood Disasters and Climate Change: Trends and Options – A (Re-) Insurer's View. Global Change: Enough Water for All? (pp. 268-273). In Lozán, J.L., *et al.*, (eds). Hamburg. Graßl, P. Hupfer, L. Menzel and C.-D. Schönwiese, Eds., Hamburg, 268-273.

Kundzewicz, Z.W., *et al.*, 2007. 'Freshwater Resources and their Management'. In Parry, M.L., *et al.*, 2007 *Climate Change 2007: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability*. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.

Kurukulasuriya, P., *et al.*, 2006. 'Will African agriculture survive climate change?' *The World Bank Economic Review*, 20(3): 367-388. London: Oxford University Press.

Leary, N. J., *et al.*, 2006. For Whom the Bell Tolls: Vulnerabilities in a Changing Climate. AIACC Working Paper No. 30, (p 31). International START Secretariat, Washington, District Of Columbia.

Long, S.P., *et al.*, 2004. 'Rising atmospheric carbon dioxide: plants face the future'. *Annual Review of Plant Biology*, 55: 591–628.

Lovett, J.C., Midgely, G.F., Barnard, P.B. 2005. 'Climate change and ecology in Africa'. *African Journal of Ecology*, 43: 279-281.

Low, P. S. 2005. *Climate Change and Africa*. New York: Cambridge University Press.

Luo, Q.Y. & Lin, E. 1999. 'Agricultural vulnerability and adaptation in developing countries: the Asia-Pacific region'. *Climatic Change*, 43:729–743.

Mahongo, S. 2006, 'Impacts of sea-level change'. Paper presented at the ODINAFRICA/ GLOSS Training Workshop on Sea-Level Measurement and Interpretation, 13–24 November, Oostende, Belgium.

Majule, A. E. & Mwalyosi, R.B.B. 2005. 'Enhancing agricultural productivity through sustainable irrigation. A case of Vinyungu farming system in selected zones of Southern Highlands, Tanzania'. In Sosovele, H., Boesen, J. & Maganga, F. (eds.). *Social and Environmental Impacts of Irrigation Farming In Tanzania: Selected Cases*, ISBN 9976 60 431 9. Dar Es Salaam: University Press.

Majule, A.E. 2009. 'Climate Change and Variability: Impacts on Agriculture and Water Resource and Implications for Livelihoods in Selected Basins'. Chapter 8: Dar es Salaam: University of Dar es Salaam.



Majule, A.E. & Mwalyosi, R.B.B. 2006. 'The Role of Traditional Irrigation on Small Scale Production in Ruji Basin, Southern Highland Tanzania. A Case Study of Iringa Region'. Dar Es Salaam: University Of Dar Es Salaam.

Malcolm, J.R., *et al.*, 2002. 'Estimated migration rates under scenarios of global climate change'. *Journal of Biogeography*, 29: 835-849.

Mary, A.L. & Majule, A.E. 2009. 'Impacts of climate change, variability and adaptation strategies on agriculture in semi-arid areas of Tanzania: The case of Manyoni district in Singida Region, Tanzania'. *African Journal of Environmental Science and Technology*, 3(8): 206-218.

Mclaughlin, J.B.A., *et al.*, 2005. 'Outbreak of *Vibrio Parahaemolyticus* Gastroenteritis associated with alaskan oysters'. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 353: 1463-1470.

McMichael, A. 2004. Climate Change. Comparative quantification of health risks: global and regional burden of disease due to selected major risk factors, 2: 1543-1649. Ezzati, M., *et al.*, (eds.). World Health Organization, Geneva.

McMichael, A.J., *et al.*, 1996. 'Climate Change and Human Health'. Geneva: World Health Organisation (WHO). *Medicine and International Health* 8: 677-678.

Mearns L.O. (ed) 2003. Issues in the Impacts of Climate Variability and Change on Agriculture. Applications to the South-Eastern United States. Dordrecht: Kluwer Academic Publishers.

Mendelsohn, R. & Dinar, D.A. 1999. 'Climate change, agriculture, and developing countries: Does adaptation matter?' *The World Bank Research Observer*, 14(2): 277-293. USA: The World Bank.

Mimura, N. 2001: 'Distribution of Vulnerability and Adaptation in Theasia and Pacific Region. Global Change and Asia Pacific Coasts'. Proceedings of The APN/SURVAS/LOICZ Joint Conference on Coastal Impacts of Climate Change and Adaptation in The Asia – Pacific Region, 14-16th November 2000, Kobe, Japan, Asia Pacific Network For Global Change Research, 21-25.

Mirza, M.M.Q. 2003. 'Climate change and extreme weather events: Can developing countries adapt?' *Climatology Policy*, 3: 233-248.

Morris, M., *et al.*, 2006. Household Livelihood Strategies in Semi-Arid Tanzania: Synthesis of Findings. Dar Es Salaam: University Of Dar Es Salaam.

Mortimore, M. 1998. *Roots in the African Dust: Sustaining the Sub-Saharan Drylands*, (p 215). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

Mortimore, M. 2005. Dryland development: Success stories from West Africa. *Environment* 47: 8–21.

Mortimore, M.J. & Adams, W.M. 2001. 'Farmer adaptation, change and 'crisis' in the Sahel'. *Global Environmental Change*, 11: 49-57.

Morton, J.F. 2007. 'The Impact of Climate Change on Smallholder and Subsistence Agriculture', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 104(50): 19680-19685.

Mwandosya, M.J., *et al.*, 1998. *The Assessment of Vulnerability and Adaptation to Climate Change Impacts in Tanzania*, Dar es Salaam: Centre for Energy, Environment, Science and Technology.

NCAR, 2005. A continent split by climate change: New study projects drought in southern Africa, rain in Sahel. National Centre for Atmospheric Research Press Release. May 24, 2005, Boulder, CO.

Nelson, V. & Stathers. T. 2009. Resilience, Power, Culture and Climate: A case Study From Semi-Arid Tanzania, and New Research Direction; *Gender and Development Journal* 17(4) 81-94

Newton, A.C., *et al.*, 2009. 'Deployment of Diversity for Enhanced Crop Function'. *Annals of Applied Biology* 2009. In Press.

Nhemachena, C. & Hassan, R. 2007. *Micro-Level Analysis of Farmers' Adaptation to Climate Change in Southern Africa*; IFPRI Discussion Paper No. 00714-International Food Policy Research Institute, Washington, DC.

Niasse, M., *et al.*, (eds.). 2004. *Reducing West Africa's Vulnerability to Climate Impacts On water Resources, Wetlands and Desertification: Elements for a Regional Strategy for Preparedness and Adaptation*. International Union for Conservation of Nature and Resources (IUCN), Cambridge, (p 84).

Nicholls, N., *et al.*, 2005. 'Inter-annual rainfall variations and suicide in New South Wales, Australia, 1964 to 2001'. *International Journal of Biometeorology*, 50: 139- 143.

Nicholls, R.J. *et al.*, 2007. 'Coastal systems and low-lying areas'. In Parry, M.L., Canziani, O.F., Palutikof, J.P., van der Linden P.J. & Hanson, C.E. (eds.). *Climate Change 2007: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability*, (pp. 315-356). Contribution of Working Group II to The Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.



- Nicholls, R.J. & Tol, R.S.J. 2006. 'Impacts and responses to sea-level rise: A global analysis of the SRES Scenarios over the 21st Century'. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society*, A, 361: 1073-1095.
- Nicholls, R.J. 2004. 'Coastal flooding and wetland loss in the 21st century: Changes under the SRES climate and socio-economic scenario'. *Global Environmental Change*, 14: 69-86.
- Nyong, A., *et al.*, 2007. The value of indigenous knowledge in climate change mitigation and adaptation strategies In *The African Sahel. Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies. Global Environmental Change*, 12: 787-797
- Orindi, V.A. & Murray, L.A. 2005. Adapting To Climate Change in East Africa: A Strategic Approach, Gatekeeper Series 117. International Institute for Environment and Development: London, UK.
- Osman-Elasha, B. N., *et al.*, 2006. Adaptation Strategies to Increase Human Resilience against Climate Variability and Change: Lessons from the Arid Regions of Sudan. AIACC Working Paper 42, p 42. International START Secretariat, Washington, District Of Columbia.
- Overpeck, J.T & Cole, J.E. 2006. 'Abrupt changes in earth's climate systems'. *Annual Review of Environmental Resources*, 31: 1-31. Arizona-Annual Review Publishers
- Paavola, J. 2003. Vulnerability to Climate Changes in Tanzania: Sources, Substance and Solutions. A Paper Presented at the Inaugural Workshop of Southern Africa Vulnerability Initiative (SAVI) in Maputo, Mozambique. June 19-21, 2003.
- Parker, W.E. & Howard, J.J. 2001. 'The Biology and management of wireworms (*Agriotes* spp.) on potato with particular reference to the UK'. *Agricultural and Forest Entomology*; 3: 85-98.
- Parry M.L., *et al.*, 2004. 'Effects of climate change on global food production under SRES climate and socioeconomic scenarios'. *Global Environmental Change*, 14: 53-67.
- Parry, M.L., *et al.*, 1999. 'Climate change and world food security: A new assessment', *Global Environmental Change*, 9(1): 51-67.
- Patt, A.G., *et al.*, 2009. Effects of Seasonal Climate Forecasts and Participatory Workshops among Subsistence Farmers in Zimbabwe. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, USA, 102: 12623-12628.
- Patz, J.A. 2002. A Human Disease Indicator for the Effects of Recent Global Climate Change. *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 99: 12506-12508.
- Peperzak, L. 2005. 'Future increase in harmful Algal blooms in the North Sea due to climate Change'. *Water Sci. Technol.*, vol. 51; no. 31.

Poole, J.H. 1989. Announcing intent: The aggressive state of Musth in African elephants. *Animal Behaviour*. 37: 140–152.

Porter J.R. & Semenov, M.A. 2005. 'Crop Responses to Climatic Variation'. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society*, 360: 2021–2035. Present and Future Perspectives. Nairobi: United Nations Environment Program.

Ravnborg, H.M. 1993. *Agricultural Research and the Peasants: The Tanzania Agricultural Knowledge and Information System*. Copenhagen, Centre for Development Research.

Reij, C. & Waters-Bayer, A. (eds.). 2001. *Farmer Innovation in Africa. A Source of Inspiration For Agricultural Development*. London: Earthscan.

Reilly, J., et al., 1995. 'Agriculture in a Changing Climate: Impacts and Adaptation', *Global Climate Change*, Washington, USA.

Rosenzweig, C., et al., 2002. 'Increased crop damage in the U.S. from excess precipitation under climate change'. *Global Environmental Change: Human Dimensions and Policy* 12(3): 197-202.

Rossi, A. & Lambrou, Y. 2008. 'Gender and equity issues in liquid bio fuels production: Minimizing the risks to maximize the opportunities'. Rome: Food and Agriculture Organisation.

Rubenstein, D. I. 1992. 'The Greenhouse Effect and Changes in Animal Behaviour: Effects on Social Structure and Life-History Strategies'. In Peters, R. L. & Lovejoy, T. E. (eds.). *Global Warming and Biological Diversity*, (pp.180–192). Yale University Press.

SADC. 2002. Annual Report 2001-2002. Southern Africa Development Community, www.sadc.int.

SADC/FSTAU Southern African Development Community/Food Security Technical and Administrative Unit. 1993. 'Assessment of the Response to the 1991/92 Drought in the SADC Region'. Harare, Zimbabwe.

Scherm, H., et al., 2000. 'Global Networking for assessment of impacts of global change on plant pests'. *Environmental Pollution*, 108:333–341.

Schneider, S.H., et al., 2007. 'Assessing key vulnerabilities and the risk from climate change'. In Parry, M.L., et al., (eds.). *Climate Change 2007: Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability*, (pp.779-810). Contribution of Working Group II to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Inter-governmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.



Scoones, I. 1998. Sustainable Rural Livelihoods: A Framework for Analysis. Institute of Development Studies. IDS Working Paper No. 72.

Sidahmed, A.E. 1996. The Rangelands of the Arid/Semi-Arid Areas: Challenges and Hopes For The 2000s. In: The International Conference on Desert Development in the Arab Gulf Countries, Symposium D: Range Management, March 1996. Kuwait Institute for Scientific Research, Kuwait.

Siegenthaler, U., *et al.*, 2005. 'Stable carbon cycle–climate relationship during the late Pleistocene'. *Science*, 310: 1313–1317.

Slingo, A.J., *et al.*, 2005. 'Introduction: food crops in a changing climate'. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society. B*, 360: 1983–1989.

Smit, B., *et al.*, 1996. 'Agricultural adaptation to climatic variation', *Climatic Change*; 33: 7–29.

Smit, B. 2001. Adaptation to Climate Change in the Context of Sustainable Development and Equity. IPCC Third Assessment Report. Working Group II.

Smit, B. *et al.*, 1999. The Science of Adaptation: A Framework for Assessment, Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change 4: 199–213.

Smit, B. & Pilifosova, O. 2003. 'From adaptation to adaptive capacity and vulnerability reduction'. In Smith, J.B., Klein, R.J.T, & Huq, S. (eds.). *Climate Change, Adaptive Capacity and Development*, (pp.9-28). London: Imperial College Press.

Solomon, A.M. & Kirilenko, A.P. 1997. 'Climate change and terrestrial biomes: What if trees do not migrate?' *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, 6: 139-148.

Spahni, R., *et al.*, 2005. 'Atmospheric methane and nitrous oxide of the late Pleistocene from Antarctic ice cores'. *Science*; 310: 1317–1321.

Sperling, L., *et al.*, 2004. Addressing Seed Security in Disaster Response: Linking Relief with Development. Overview, CIAT, Cali, Columbia.
Available On-Line At: [Http://www.Ciat.Cgiar.Org/Africa/Seeds.Htm](http://www.Ciat.Cgiar.Org/Africa/Seeds.Htm)

Stern, N. 2006. 'Supporting and financing Africa's resurgence'. *Journal of African Economies* 15(2): p 161. London: Oxford University Press.

Stockholm Environment Institute (SEI) 2008. Climate Change Adaptation in African Agriculture. Stockholm:SEI

- Sutherst, R., *et al.*, 2007. 'Pests under global change: Meeting your future landlords?' In Canadell, J.G. (ed). 2007. *Terrestrial Ecosystems in a Changing World*, (pps.211–225). Berlin: Springer.
- Sykes, M.T. & Prentice, I.C. 1996. 'Climate change, tree species distributions and forest dynamics: A case study in the mixed conifer/hardwoods zone of Northern Europe'. *Climatic Change*, 34: 161-177.
- Thirgood, S. A., *et al.*, 2004. 'Can parks protect migratory ungulates? The case of the Serengeti wildebeest'. *Animal Conservation*, 7: 113-120.
- Thomas, D.S.G & Twyman, C. 2005. 'Equity and justice in climate change adaptation amongst natural-resource-dependent societies'. *Global Environmental Change*, 15(2): 115–124.
- Tubiello, F.N. & Ewert, F. 2002. 'Simulating the effects of elevated CO₂ on crops: Approaches and applications for climate change'. *European Journal of Agronomy*, 18: 57–74.
- Turner B.L. I.I., *et al.*, 2003. 'A Framework for vulnerability analysis in sustainability Science'. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 100:8074–8079.
- UNEP & ICRAF 2006. *Climate Change and Variability: Impacts and Adaptation Strategies*; UNEP and ICRAF
- Vanacker, V., Linderman, M., Lupo, F., Flasse, S. & Lambin, E. 2005. 'Impact of short-term rainfall fluctuation on inter-annual land cover change in sub-Saharan Africa'. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* 14: 123-135.
- Vara Prasad, P.V., *et al.*, 2000. 'Effects of short episodes of heat stress on flower production and fruit-set of groundnut (*Arachis hypogaea* L.)'. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 51: 777–784.
- Verdin, J., *et al.*, 2005. 'Climate Science and Famine Early Warning'. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society. B*, 360(1463): 2155–2168.
- Vogel, C. & Smith, J. 2002. 'The politics of scarcity: Conceptualising the current food security crisis in southern Africa'. *South African Journal of Science*, 98: 315–317.
- Vogel, C.H. 1994. 'Mismanagement of droughts in South Africa: Past, present, future'. *South African Journal of Science*, 90: 4–5.
- Von Braun, J. 2007. *The World Food Situation: New Driving Forces and Required Actions*. Washington, DC, USA: International Food Policy Research Institute.
- Walker, B. & Salt, D. 2006. *Resilience Thinking: Sustaining Ecosystems and People in a Changing World*. Washington DC: Island Press



CLIMATE CHANGE SCENARIO DEVELOPMENT IN SUB-SAHARAN AFRICA¹

– Bruce C. Hewitson²

CONTEXT

As the science of climate change settles on clear understandings around the global climate response to the increasing atmospheric greenhouse gas concentrations, so increasingly the attention turns to reducing uncertainty at the regional scale and advancing our confidence in the messages delivered to users of climate information. Likewise, as these users grapple with the realities of developing response strategies, tailored to their specific vulnerability and geographic location, so the paucity of clear regional information becomes a leading constraint in their decision-making process.

The demand for such regional information continues to grow rapidly, a demand reflected in the substantial expansion of a broad spectrum of activities. For example, the growth in workshops and conference sessions looking at the development and communication of regional climate information (reflected by the high profile 2009 World Climate Conference³ focusing on climate services), the emergence of new internet-based data portals purporting to provide the requisite information, and the development of national climate services⁴. Few of these activities integrate across the multiple lines of evidence to develop robust messages of regional change, and evidence of the shortcomings in current information availability can be seen in the continued heavy reliance placed on the native grid resolution data from Global Climate Models (GCMs) – a low skill attribute of GCMs – and often dangerously using only one or few GCMs.

These activities represent a partial isolation of the development of regional climate change scenarios from the larger societal context. Each facet of the broader context is a potential factor that both informs and limits the development of regional information. These factors fall largely into three areas: (1) issues of the uptake and adoption of climate products (including aspects of communication), (2) the formulation of information into user relevant tailored products, and (3) limitations in the science engaged in the knowledge generation activities.

1 This paper draws heavily on material currently in preparation for a science paper titled "Developing defensible messages of regional and local-scale climate change" by B.C. Hewitson, W. Gutowski, M. Tadross and R. Crane.

2 Bruce Hewitson is a Professor at the Environmental and Geographical Science Department and heads up the Climate System Analysis Group, University of Cape Town. Email: hewitson@csag.uct.ac.za.

3 See http://www.wmo.int/wcc3/page_en.php

4 As examples, see <http://www.ukcip.org.uk/> or <http://www.nature.com/news/2009/090219/full/news.2009.108.html>





APPROACHES TO REGIONAL CLIMATE INFORMATION

One relevant, yet simple question is ‘Why regional climate change information?’ Unlike more foundational curiosity-led research, the question of regional climate change is tightly coupled to issues of real world impacts, societal vulnerability, economic viability, national and local policy plans, and ultimately in real terms the investment and resource commitment to adaptation action and risk management. Thus information that is delivered by the science community has real-world implications of significant and immediate consequence, especially where major national and international commitments are made for adaptation. As a result, the development of regional change information needs to be carefully handled, contextualised and tailored to the knowledge and interpretative capacity of the intended recipient, and formulated to be relevant to a sector’s climate exposure and the relevant attributes of vulnerability. There is thus no one simple regional message, but rather a broad spectrum of permutations built on a common underlying knowledge of the physical system responses.

The IPCC has often been seen as the primary entry point for obtaining regional climate change information. The IPCC has wrestled with the question of assessing regional information in Working Group 1 (WG1) of the 3rd (TAR Ch10) and 4th (AR4 Ch11) assessment reports, and in providing guidance for approaches to developing regional information (IPCC Data Distribution Centre). This objective can, however, only be achieved in terms of overarching messages within the IPCC material due to the impossibility to address the regional specific details within the constraints of the IPCC reports. Paradoxically, at the same time the activities of the IPCC Working Group II (WG2) are highly dependent on such regional information. At present alternative resources that offer a comprehensive assessment of regional details on climate change are only now emerging, and this remains a critical gap in the delivery of targeted user-relevant regional climate projections.

This framework for the development of regional information is diagrammatically represented in Figure 1. At the root are four essential elements in order to work towards robust messages at the regional scale; the baseline data on past regional climate, and understanding of the large scale regional controls and changes in these processes, the GCM simulations to provide the global and large scale perspective, and the downscaling data to derive local and regional scale information from the GCMs.

1





Inadequacies in any one of the foundations places constraints on the degree to which one can develop an integrated understanding of regional change. Moreover, there are significant inter-dependencies between each foundation block that present further limitations to achieving optimal findings. For example, limits on observational data undermine the evaluation of model skill, or limits on understanding the regional circulation dynamics, limits our capacity to rightly interpret the indicated process changes in the GCMs.

In some respects these core principles for developing robust regional messages of change have been articulated in the IPCC AR4 Ch11 executive summary, which notes:

'Regional climate change projections presented here are assessed, drawing on information from four potential sources: AOGCM simulations; downscaling of AOGCM⁵-simulated data using techniques to enhance regional detail; physical understanding of the processes governing regional responses; and recent historical climate change.'

This approach is predicated on two foundational concepts which encapsulate the process of deriving a regional change message. First, is that all sources of information have particular limitations and strengths as regards what can or cannot be represented, as all sources contain both noise and signal and needs interpretation. Such limitations on the representation of information are often poorly understood by users. Second, that information contained in a particular source has varying degrees of value and relevance to a given need. (Table 1).

1

<p>Climate models, historical observations, trends, downscaling, projections, event frequency, etc.</p> <p>↓</p> <p>Measures of vulnerability and risk, threshold exceedence, combinatory impacts, uncertainty and confidence, regional scale variations, etc.</p> <p>↓</p> <p>Assessing options, understanding consequences, evaluating responses, informing decision making, etc.</p> <p>↓</p> <p>Policy development to balance competing priorities, strategic investments in adaptation and mitigation, new research avenues, coordination of response, etc.</p>	<p>The data / information reflect known processes and understanding; that is, the data is recognisably representative of the real world.</p> <p>↓</p> <p>The distilled information can be explained in terms of physical, chemical and biological principles; i.e. there is a defensible physical basis for reasonableness of the interpreted information.</p> <p>↓</p> <p>The knowledge based on the distilled information, when used to inform decision making and policy development, is robust enough within a risk management framework such that the choice for action or inaction is the sensible and recommended response.</p>
--	--




The first concept begins with the need to understand that data are not information; data are numerical representations of a process, and data reflect to varying degrees error, bias, stochastic variability, and some measure of the signal of the deterministic response to system forcing. The data, suitably analysed, can lead to the creation of information that expresses the *signal* (the attributes of interest) as clearly as possible in the context of *noise* (that is, variance in the data unrelated to the intended use). Moreover, data by virtue of its source (measurement or model) contains only some subset of information. For example, a GCM produces grid cell values. These values are not fully representative in terms of sub-grid cell scale processes and, for some parameters, may not even be a robust integration of the area covered by the grid cell. This is further dependent on the type of landscape represented by the grid cell. For example, rainfall (often needed in regional scale climate assessments) is a typical parameter for which the signal to noise ratio of the single grid cell is often low, and where users should be very cautious to not over-interpret the possible information content.

Following this is the recognition that information needs to be translated to knowledge; that is, the information may be distilled from the data, yet needs to be understood and considered in a wider context to provide knowledge about the implications of the information. An example would be GCM data providing information that indicates a poleward expansion of the Hadley Cell circulation. Based on our understanding of the climate system at the mid-latitude margins of the Hadley circulation, we could develop from this knowledge concerning changes in regional wind, or perhaps decreases in mid-latitude frontal activity, etc. Finally, it is the knowledge component that informs and enables an effective (and presumable wise) response in policy, adaptation, and risk management. Whilst we are here concerned with the information and the knowledge that can be generated about changes in the climate system, similar flows of data, information and knowledge of social or ecological systems would also be necessary before suitable actions could be determined.

Complementing this flow from data to action, are three levels of quality – three questions that can be asked of any given regional climate change product: First, is it credible? That is, does it look like planet earth and does it have the known physical attributes of the region in question. Credibility does not imply that it is correct, but it is a necessary pre-condition. Typically, answering this question requires activities such as GCM evaluation, although this is often not taken far enough. Assessing a GCM based on seasonal mean values may ignore critical sub-seasonal attributes that are of key importance to users' interests, but which may be poorly simulated by the model. For example, seasonal means in a GCM may be very realistic, yet the sub-seasonal frequency of rainfall events and intensity may be significantly wrong with critical implications for agriculture and water resources. Second is the question of whether the information is defensible; that is, does the regional expression of climate change have an interpretable physical basis that is reflected in the driving dynamics of the climate system? For example, an indicated change of regional drying needs to be placed in the context of the larger dynamics leading to this response; is the drying in this example consistent with the larger scale circulation, changes in atmospheric stability, tele-connection relationships, etc.? Last is the question of whether the regional projection is actionable. By this we mean, is it (1) significant enough in magnitude to warrant a response decision (even if the decision is to do nothing), (2) important enough in relation to other stressors/vulnerabilities of concern, and (3) has a narrow enough range of uncertainty that, within a risk management framework, would contribute significant additional knowledge to the decision making process.



Not all of these conceptual criteria will ever be perfectly met, but it is imperative that the assessment is made, and a conclusion drawn as to whether the result and outcome is 'good enough'. Figure 2 integrates these issues into a diagram representing the situation that users face. In the bottom left quadrant is what we term a 'Quadrant of quandary'. This is where users typically begin; there is an enhanced awareness of the challenge arising from climate change, and an availability of data.



The task is then to move from data to knowledge and from awareness to appropriate actions. Three pathways are open:

- One may move from data to knowledge, yet take no decision on appropriate responses (be it to take action or not). This is seen in one context in the international debate around the political response to climate change, where a range of factors may constrain or hold back a decision on responses.
- A decision may be taken for an action based on the raw numbers alone. This is a potentially dangerous route in that one may well engage in action that results in a negative outcome. Sectors (especially in developing nations) with low experiential or technical skills are vulnerable in this respect, with the danger that data will be over-interpreted and a response implemented without fully understanding the limitations of the data at the scale of intended application.
- The optimal path is to translate data to knowledge, and to build on this to move from awareness to appropriate actions, as shown in the upper right hand quadrant of Figure 1.



KEY ELEMENTS IN UNDERSTANDING REGIONAL CLIMATE CHANGE

Conventionally, climate is typically described as mean values of variables such as temperature and rainfall. However, in terms of societal impacts and relevance to different sectors and natural systems, there are a range of concepts that are central to correct interpretation of data and information, most especially as regards global change and its anthropogenic aspects. This section briefly introduces each of these and discusses their relevance for correctly understanding climate change issues.

One of the first natural questions posed when talking about climate change is; 'What has changed?' Often the inference is automatically, but incorrectly, made that identified changes are attributable to anthropogenic climate change. On a global scale the detection of change is comparatively easy and the detection of the change in global mean temperature has been well established. Attribution, the distinction that one can ascribe the cause of the detected change, is considerably more difficult and the defensible attribution of much (but not all) of the identified global change to human factors is only a recent development (see IPCC AR4, 2007), and then only at the global scale.

At the regional scale detection is likewise comparatively easy. Attribution of identified *regional* change to anthropogenic greenhouse gas forced global change is, however, yet to be robustly demonstrated. While plausible, and even persuasive arguments as to how anthropogenic forcing may be causing a detected regional change, the attribution step to say that human activities and not natural variability caused the regional change is difficult. Typically such work involves complex modelling, evaluating the model as a credible proxy for the real world, and then simulating the climate system with and without greenhouse gas forcing to assess the degree to which regional change is attributable to human factors.

Weather forecasts are a product familiar to most of society, and represent a prediction. That is, a prediction that seeks to state what will occur as a deterministic outcome of the system evolving from a given initial state. Most people readily recognise that a prediction has a degree of uncertainty, but nonetheless accept it as a statement of the best understanding of how the system will likely evolve in time.

By comparison the information on future climate change is not a prediction. It is not a statement of what the future will deterministically be; rather it is a statement of a possible (and arguably likely) future dependent on how key factors develop over time. Thus, one seeks to make projections of possible futures – scenarios of varying likelihood. There are a number of aspects that introduce uncertainty into such projections, not least of which are questions of future greenhouse gas emissions and a model's ability to correctly simulate the response to those emissions at the required scale. This distinction between prediction and projection may seem unnecessarily subtle, but it is absolutely critical to the right handling and the appropriate application of information about future climates. Even the IPCC does not make predictions, but explores possible scenarios that are consistent with the growth of greenhouse gas emissions.



Developing a robust understanding of regional change on the time and space scales of relevance requires the integration of information from a number of sources:

- Past trend; what has changed, recognising this is not a guarantee of continued future change and that it may not be a response to anthropogenic climate change.
- Changes in relevant driving processes on regional to global scales; assessing the physical basis of the climate system that drives the regional change.
- Climate model projections of change; exploring the dynamical response of the coupled climate system to the imposed anthropogenic forcing against a background of natural variability.
- Regional expressions of future global change; these are usually derived through dynamical and/or statistical downscaling.
- An assessment of uncertainty and confidence; all information is limited by a wide range of factors that generate uncertainty and limit confidence, but which does not automatically preclude the possibility of deriving information of value.

By drawing on the understanding of these complementary information sources it is possible to develop a more robust understanding of climate system variability and change on regional scales. Ignoring one or other source of information leads to a risk that key elements of information will be missed. Moreover, research on each of these aspects is not equally mature, and is continuously evolving. Thus it requires responsible recognition *that numbers (data) are not information, that information is not knowledge, and that deriving robust messages requires critical assessments in the context of the intended application.*

There is one history, but many possible futures; in mapping future projections one seeks to explore the envelope of possibilities. As such, it is essential that projections of future climate recognise the range of projections, subject to factors such as alternate emission scenarios, different model responses, and the fact that all projections are only a subset of the full envelope of possibilities.

Secondly, the understanding of the relevance of climate information is implicitly tied to thresholds. Recognising a shift in mean climate may be of minimal value in terms of assessing impacts, vulnerability, and adaptation strategies. Systems (biophysical or societal) operate within limits, and it is through exceeding these thresholds that the impact of change is most commonly found. However, thresholds are specific to the sector under analysis. For example, biome stability, the viability of eco- and agricultural systems, or water resource infrastructure all have their own thresholds of tolerance, making it difficult to generalise regional climate change impact messages.

The question of uncertainty is arguably the single largest issue currently faced when dealing with regional climate change. Importantly, uncertainty does not mean that there is no information, but merely that the information is not definitive, that there is room for interpretation or reasons to reserve judgment on some aspects of the information. There are many possible sources of uncertainty including, for example; emission scenarios, choice of climate models, quality of historical data, and methods used to downscale global data. There is additional uncertainty at the regional scale where local changes in population, land use and economic development, for example, may have significant feedbacks to the



regional climate system and livelihood strategies. An often ignored attribute of uncertainty, yet one particularly relevant at regional scales, is what may be termed 'boundary uncertainty': This refers to the situation where a geographic region of concern lies on the boundary of two regions showing strong, but opposite directions of change. In this case the change in the region is highly sensitive to the spatial position of the boundary.

BUILDING A REGIONAL CHANGE MESSAGE

Projections of the future climate are, as already discussed, not a prediction but an assessment of the plausible and likely futures. The skill of projections is strongly dependent on how far in the future one looks, the temporal and spatial scales in question, which variables, which models, which greenhouse gas emissions pathway, etc., and as discussed earlier, deriving the key regional messages requires assessing multiple information sources.

There are four levels of value in climate change messages, each progressively more difficult to attain:

- Whether or not it is possible to generate useful information for a given location / variable (it is not always possible to generate a useful message on the regional change).
- The likely direction of change (positive or negative, or equally importantly, no change).
- The attributes of indicated change (changes in the mean, the extremes, derivative parameters, etc.).
- The probable range of the magnitudes of projected change.

At its root, all regional change is driven by human modifications to their local environment (such as through land use changes) or changes in the circulation of the atmosphere and oceans, coupled to the complex inter-linkages of regional and global forcing and feedbacks. Substantial and valuable information can be drawn from understanding how such large scale processes are projected to change. These large scale attributes are the primary strength of GCMs which are most skilful with respect to circulation and large area averages of surface variables. By contrast, GCMs are least skilful at their native (coarse) grid cell resolution – typically around 250km by 250km for a single grid cell – and especially so for grid cell rainfall. Thus, while map representations of GCM projections suggest detailed regional information, such maps encourage a fine spatial interpretation that is not defensible. For example, maps such as found in the IPCC AR4 show detailed smooth fields of climate change for southern Africa, and while the broad scale features may be somewhat robust, they are not valid for interpretation at sub-national and local scales.


Moreover, it is critically important that climate projections be assessed from as many models as possible; one model alone is a dangerous basis for developing regional change messages, and each model brings additional perspective on possible climate system responses. Thus the regional projections need to be assessed in terms of the envelope and spread of the indicated changes across the available models. Even then, there is no guarantee that the available models collectively span the full range of possible responses, even if all non-model factors, such as greenhouse gas emissions, were to be known. While it is tempting to select one 'best' model, there is no 'best model' across all relevant measures or regions. All models do better in some regions and with some parameters than they do in others, and no model does better everywhere than any other model (see, for example, Cai, X. *et al.* 2009). Likewise, one may seek to weight the models relative to each other according to some measure, but such weighting remains a challenging task. One approach to weighting is to assess the skill of a GCM in simulating



the 20th century. However, even then such skill does not imply accuracy at capturing the climate system sensitivity to projected future change. Likewise, agreement between models on the sign of the projected change (an approach such as is used in the IPCC AR4, Ch 11) also does not necessarily imply a basis for confidence (for example, GCMs may agree on a projected regional change, but this could be fundamentally wrong if the region is subject to strong orographic forcing that is not captured by the models). Consequently, it will always remain important to assess the spread of the results across models, and even if the models are all near perfect, there will still be spread due to the nonlinear internal variability, i.e., the unpredictable part of climatology.

A CASE STUDY OF REGIONAL RAINFALL CHANGE

In this section we use the above ideas to explore the change in rainfall for a region in south-western South Africa. The domain is characterised by an ocean margin, steep climate gradients, complex topography, and strong seasonality. The local climate is a result of the interaction of the local geophysical characteristics, the ocean modulating influences (especially coastal upwelling in the west) and the synoptic scale circulation characterised principally by the subtropical high pressure system and mid-latitude frontal systems. The dry summers are vulnerable to fire and drought with prevailing winds causing coastal upwelling of cold water, whereas the winters are cool and wet, with episodic heavy rainfall that at times leads to local flooding. The rainfall gradients are steep, varying by up to 1000mm/year over horizontal distances of <100km. In Figure 3 the domain, with the topography and land surface cover is shown on the left, and mean annual rainfall is shown on the right.



The GCM data are drawn from the CMIP3⁶ archive of GCM simulations which were assessed in the IPCC AR4. For this study we use GCM output based on the A2 SRES emissions scenario; a moderately high emission scenario characterised by a heterogeneous world with increasing global population and regionally oriented economic growth. The analysis utilises all nine models⁷ in the CMIP archive that

6 See http://www-pcmdi.llnl.gov/ipcc/about_ipcc.php
 7 CGCM3.1(T47), CNRM-CM3, CSIRO-Mk3.5, GFDL-CM2.0, GISS-ER, IPSL-CM4, ECHO-G, ECHAM5/MPI-OM, MRI-CGCM2.3.2
 See http://www-pcmdi.llnl.gov/ipcc/model_documentation/ipcc_model_documentation.php for further details.



have the necessary variables at a daily resolution. We use periods spanning the last 30 years of the 20th century (1971-2000) and the 20-year periods from 2046-2065 and 2081-2100 for the future climate.

To attain local scale resolution the GCM data are typically downscaled whereby the large scale from the GCMs is translated to the local user-relevant scales. Downscaling may be accomplished through statistical downscaling, Regional Climate Models (RCMs), or high resolution and variable resolution global models. All three downscaling approaches use the GCM large-scale forcing, and are thus dependent on the GCMs large-scale skill. Downscaling is in effect a scale translation technique to add spatial detail consistent with the large scale changes in the GCMs. Note, however, that this is much more than an interpolation of the GCM data to a higher spatial grid. The downscaling adds additional information, either through the development of transfer functions that relate the large scale forcing to an observed local response, or through a dynamical model that resolves local-scale processes and features. The different downscaling approaches have relative merits and shortcomings, but are, in principle, considered to be of comparable skill (See Ch11, IPCC AR4). At present the dynamical downscaling approach has not been implemented over many regions of the world to the extent that there is a robust spread of multi-model information; largely due to the high computational costs of a number of such integrations. This is likely to change in the near future as the CORDEX⁸ experiment begins to produce results. The case study here gives local-scale climate change projections based on statistical downscaling, using the method of Hewitson and Crane (2006). All 9 GCMs used here are statistically downscaled to a 25km grid over South Africa.

The historical data for the region is based on quality controlled station observation data (Lynch *et al.* 2002) as well as a 25km gridded version (Hewitson & Crane 2004). The station data is used to assess historical trends and change, and the gridded historical data is the basis for developing the statistical downscaling. These available sources of information are integrated into key messages that may legitimately be interpreted from the data. The primary focus is on the changes by the middle of the 21st century as this is most relevant to policy time frames (and other relevant socio-economic planning horizons), and where the choice of emissions scenario has limited impact. The late 21st century is also examined as representing the longer term implications of climate change.

A full exploration of each component of the analysis is precluded by the available scope of this paper, but a brief interpretive analysis is presented. The available strands of information are then brought together at the end in a cohesive message of climate change.

For assessing historical change we use the network of stations in the region. Observation data are usually incomplete with spatial and temporal gaps and related problems. For this study we use the data that have at least a 90% complete record for the period from 1960-2006. We further select stations that reflect the different local climates; the northern and southern coastal plain of the region, the coastal mountains, and the interior plateau. For each station we analyse all sub-annual trends using a 60-day moving window. So, for example, we calculate the trend over the time period for the 60-day window centred on 1st January, then for the 60-day window centred on 2nd January, and so on, for the full annual cycle. The reason for this approach is that historical change is not constant through the annual cycle. The 60-day window is selected in this case to smooth some of the shorter time period variability, but

8 See http://wcrp.ipst.jussieu.fr/RCD_Projects/CORDEX/CORDEX.html




not to the point of losing seasonal boundary information. When the analysis is repeated using shorter time windows it leads to the same overarching conclusions, but as would be expected with some increase in variability of the magnitude of the trend through the course of the year. The analysis produces an annual trend curve as clearly shown in figure 4, and there are strong and critically relevant sub-annual variations in the trends.


While there are marked differences across the region, there are also commonalities. A plausible interpretation is that the region is responding to the changes in the large scale circulation, modulated by local influences. Consequently, the north-west coastal plain (Fig 4a), which has no proximal topographic influences, shows minimal change with perhaps a hint of winter wetting. This location is at the northern reach of winter frontal influences. Further south (Fig 4b) on the coastal plain where it is more exposed to frontal activity and closer to topographical influences (it is sited between two mountains 50km away on either side), one sees again the suggestion of core winter wetting, but now with marked drying in the shoulder seasons. To the east against the windward shoulder of the main mountain belt (Fig 4c) the same pattern of trend is evident, but now with a much enhanced winter wetting. Inland of the mountains (Fig 4d), and somewhat removed from the primary influence of the frontal activity, the trends are again minimal.

Taking the trends together, and recognising a warmer world with increased atmospheric water content (Santer *et al.* 2007) and a pole-ward expansion of the Hadley circulation (Hu & Fu 2007; Lu *et al.* 2007), the historical precipitation changes show a physically plausible response. Under this scenario the region would experience a decrease in frontal intensity and a shorter winter as the frontal systems move marginally southward, while the increased moisture content could lead to increased precipitation under topographically forced situations. As a result one would arguably see a decrease in the shoulder seasons while the core winter season would experience precipitation enhanced by both topography and increasing atmospheric moisture content.


Figure 4: Annual trend curves for precipitation (mm) at four locations: (a) north-west coastal plain, (b) coastal plain between two mountains, (c) windward shoulder of the main mountain belt, and (d) inland of the mountains. The y-axis represents the trend in mm, ranging from -1.4 to 1.1. The x-axis represents the year, with labels every 10 years from 1950 to 2010.




(a)



(b)



(c)



(d)

Simple extrapolation of the above scenarios would suggest increased drying for the region, with the core winter wetting continuing (at least in terms of total accumulated rainfall as opposed to extremes), but subject to further pole ward retreat of frontal systems at which point the drying would likely extend to the mountain regions. This brief exploration of the historical changes forms the first foundation of building a regional climate change message.

With regard to GCM data, the World Climate Modelling Summit in 2008 noted that to use GCMs directly for regional studies:

"... means making their simulations good enough to guide hard decisions, ... the adaptations required to meet changing rainfall and extreme weather events on regional and local scales. Today's modelling efforts, though, are not up to that job


changes in sea level pressure, wind speed/direction as the median anomaly of the 9 GCMs – created by differencing each GCMs projected future from the GCM simulation of the present climate.

The primary large-scale circulation changes are:


- an increase in the intensity of the continental summer trough associated with an increased summer rainfall over the continent
- a decrease in the strength of the prevailing westerly winds south of the continent



2100 - 1 71-2000, () () 204 -20 4 () 20 1- ()




(a)




(b)

20 1-2100 - 1 71-2000, (/) () 204 -20 4 () ()



(a)



(b)




These circulation changes allow for inferring a number of primary consequences for the regional climate response, which include:

- Likely strengthening of upper air subsidence over the continent, with implication for stronger elevated inversions that can inhibit weak convection
- Stronger long-shore winds on the west coast leading to enhanced west-coast upwelling of cold water
- Weaker frontal systems due to pole ward contraction of the circumpolar flow, which would translate to a shorter core winter season and weaker penetration of fronts across the coastal mountains into the continent, leading to drier winter conditions.


When considering the results across the model range (not shown) the message is not substantively altered, and the differences are mostly in terms of intensity. These results reflect a reasonably robust first-order response of the regional circulation to the global scale changes, and are the second foundational information stream.

Figure 7 shows the GCM precipitation response. While caution is needed in interpreting the grid cell precipitation, the figure does suggest a strong drying trend across the region, with a hint that the north east of the region may experience a slight wetting in summer (when the larger domain is examined, the summer wetting is seen to extend and increase along the eastern continental margins). However, the GCMs are not even close to being able to capture the mountains and spatial complexity of the region, and as the rainfall distribution has a strong component of topographic enhancement, these results at best indicate that the change in large-scale dynamics are conducive to drying, and physically consistent with the changes in the circulation seen in figures 4 and 5.

Not presented here are the regional temperatures changes which show a general and robust message of warming across the region, with the greatest warming away from the coastal margin. Consequently, the joint response of the GCM native grid cell temperature and precipitation changes suggest a potential for decreased soil moisture as a result of the increased temperatures and the drying tendency for much of the region. This forms a third foundational information message alongside the earlier historical trend analysis.



(a)




(b)


The downscaled data are generated as daily time series. From these a suite of relevant derivative attributes may also be derived such as dry spell duration, rain day frequency, etc. Since the downscaled data are derived from the large scale atmospheric fields, validation of the downscaling is warranted. Assessment of downscaling is usually accomplished by applying the downscaling to historical atmospheric circulation and comparing the results with actual observed high resolution observations. Hewitson and Crane (2006) undertake this for the method and region used here, and shows that the downscaled results have excellent agreement with the observed rainfall.

Figure 8 shows the downscaled multi-model median projected changes in total rainfall, and Figure 9 shows the same but for rain day frequency. In this case the downscaling represents the regional climate response at a high spatial resolution (0.25°) that is dynamically consistent with the large scale circulation and atmosphere state of the GCMs, but includes the effect of local modifying processes, especially topography. The colour scale is chosen such that grid cells whose projected change is considered to be small in terms of relevance are left blank (between +/-5mm/season for rainfall totals, and between +/-1 rain day / season for rain day frequency).

() ?0 1-?100 1 70-?0 , (/) () ?04 -?0 4 , ()



(a)




(b)



The overarching message of the downscaled results in figure 8 is not incompatible from that of the coarse resolution GCM native grid cell data presented earlier. However, the downscaled results show a more nuanced regional response with important spatial detail. Critically, in places there is an indicated reversal of projected change in rainfall compared to what one would interpret from the GCM native grid cell data. Where the GCM initially indicated general drying everywhere, the downscaled results show that there is some wetting in the future that is related especially to topographical forcing. Most important is the projected wetting in the mountains in the south west in the core winter season by mid-21st century, with a drying in the mountains during the autumn. This local region is very important to the water sources of the city of Cape Town. Interestingly, these projected changes strongly compliment the historical trends. Crucially, however, as the climate change signal becomes stronger toward the latter part of the 21st century (fig 8b) the mid-century wetting in the important water catchments areas is reversed, with significant drying over the entire western region. This likely reflects increasing dominance of the effects from a stronger high pressure system overriding any topographically forced rainfall enhanced by increasing atmospheric moisture.

The changes in rain day frequency (Fig 9) indicate changes commensurate with the total rainfall, with small reductions in the west of the region, and small increases in the east by mid-century, increasing in magnitude towards the end of the 21st century. These changes are in accord with the interpretations of the large scale circulation changes.



One key to understanding the differences between the downscaled and native GCM results lies with recognising the role of the escarpment and topographical forcing on rainfall. The GCMs are not able



to represent the strong role played by the steep topographical gradients, especially on the south and

?

Historical trends	Core winter wetting dominantly in the mountains. Shoulder season drying. Marginal indications of a possible wetter summer.	The region is spatially inhomogeneous in trend magnitude, although the dominant trends can be seen to greater or lesser degrees across the region.
GCM changes in circulation / processes	Increased subsidence due to a stronger mid-latitude high pressure inducing drying. Deeper thermal surface trough over the continent increasing west coast pressure gradient and possibly summer convection in the east. Pole ward shift in mid-latitude low decreasing frontal intensity. Increased long shore west coast wind promoting stronger upwelling, colder coastal waters, and consequent drying on the west coast.	The models are in good agreement on these large scale circulation changes, albeit with a range of differing magnitudes of change. . The change further is physically consistent with the anticipated first order response of the climate system.
GCM grid cell changes	General drying over the region. A weak suggestion of possible summer wetting in the north east.	The models are in strong agreement on the drying message for the region, but it is clear that the spatial detail related to local scale topography is absent.
Local scale downscaled changes	A general drying in the west with modest wetting to the east, modulated by the topography. Core winter wetting in the important water catchments in the core winter season. Small decreases in rainfall frequency in the west and small increases in the east. Changes in dry spell duration commensurate with the above changes.	The downscaled projected changes across all models are robust in spatial pattern although vary in magnitude, and the projected changes in some regions are too small to be of consequence. . Of importance is the drying in regions of non-irrigated agriculture in the west, and while core winter wetting in the key catchments is indicated for the near term, later in the century this reverses.. Taken with an increase in temperatures, the indication is for problematic increases in water stress.



Complementing the production of the regional data is an activity to undertake regional analyses targeted at regional user needs. Within the next two years it is likely that the products available through this activity will leapfrog that which is available in many other continents.

It is worth noting that there is a rapid growth of commercial climate opportunities¹³. These go beyond the traditional consultancy agencies and seek to explicitly focus on the integrated suite of climate change knowledge needs. These activities are likely to proliferate in future. Two roles can be envisaged; a more traditional consultancy role that brings to bear integrated knowledge to meet targeted user needs, and resources to build capacity through stakeholder sector training and 'training the trainers' to build a climate knowledge capacity in the broader society. It is this latter role that is perhaps the most needed and the most valuable at this stage.

The higher education institutions in Africa have, and remain, at the forefront of the development of regional climate change information. This is arguably as it should be, and the need is to leverage this in support of multi-institutional and distance learning. While these institutions are highlighted separately here, ideally these institutions should operate in close integration with the other role players as outlined above.

CLOSING DISCUSSION

The examination of different information sources about past and future climate change indicates the range of understanding that may be gained. It is clear that a synthesis of messages, physically consistent within the limitations of each information source, and lending support to the common conclusions, is achievable. The principles employed indicate the potential to reach a conclusion that is credible, defensible, and arguably actionable for primary steps in decision making and adaptation planning.

Not all regions are likely to be as amenable to such a study. There will likely be some locations where the information sources do not agree as well, or perhaps even indicate contradictory messages. In such cases it will be necessary to examine more carefully the robustness of each source. Further, as one explores other attributes that are less directly represented, such as seasonal onset and cessation as is relevant to a particular cropping practice, so then the analysis requires further depth. Furthermore, within Africa and the developing countries the data available for such assessments may be limited. Nonetheless the basic principles presented here still apply.

In the end the growing need for the development of regional change messages argues for a growth in applications that draws on all information sources, crafted and cast into appropriate forms, and for an increase in the capacity of climate scientists who can work with disciplinary depth and yet collaborate across disciplines and the science-society divide. Regional climate change messages are nuanced yet possible, but seldom reducible to simple statements. In light of the real world consequences of action based on these messages, there is likewise a responsibility to carefully and defensibly construct the messages which are disseminated and communicated in order to support wise decisions.

¹³ One example relevant for Africa is the Global Change Adaptation Partnership (GCAP).
See <http://climateadaptation.cc/home.html>



REFERENCES

- Cai, X. Wang, D. Zhu, T. & Ringler, C. 2009: Assessing the regional variability of GCM simulations, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 36, L02706, doi:10.1029/2008GL036443.
- Hewitson, B.C. & Crane, R. 2005: Gridded Area-Averaged Daily Precipitation via Conditional Interpolation, *J. Climate*, 18: 41-57.B.
- Hewitson, B.C. & Crane, R. 2006: Consensus between GCM climate change projections with empirical downscaling, *Int. J. of Climatology*, 26: 1315–1337.
- Hu, Y. & Fu, Q. 2007: Observed pole ward expansion of the Hadley circulation since 1979, *Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss.*, 7: 9367–9384
- Lu, J. G. Vecchi, A. & Reichler, T. 2007. Expansion of the Hadley cell under global warming, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 34, L06805, doi:10.1029/2006GL028443
- Lynch, S. D. 2002: The development of an improved gridded database of annual, monthly, and daily rainfall. WRC Project Rep. K5/1156/0/1, Water Research Commission.
- Santer, B. *et al.* 2007: Identification of human-induced changes in atmospheric moisture content. *PNAS*. vol 104 no 39: 15248-15253

ADAPTATION, AGRICULTURE AND FOOD SECURITY

– *Steve Makungwa*¹

ABSTRACT

The Southern African Development Community (SADC) Region is one of the most vulnerable regions to Climate Change in Africa because of its economic status and high dependence of its economy and peoples' livelihoods on rainfed agriculture. Agricultural productivity of major food crops (e.g. maize, potatoes) in the Region is estimated to decrease by 20-30% and approximately 16-22% of the wild relatives of these crops will become extinct by 2055 consequent to climate change. The Region has so far accumulated and developed adequate knowledge and technologies through research to make vast improvements to the sustainability and productivity of agriculture systems – improvements that can compensate for the negative impacts of climate change today, and at the same time build resilience to more distant changes in the climate. Higher education institutions in agriculture and natural resources of the Region have an important role in delivering this knowledge to the present and future leaders and to members of society through the provision of locally relevant training based on local circumstances, experiences and contexts with regards to climate change. The institutions must generate new knowledge through research in order to adequately prepare the societies to the new challenges that climate change will pose in future.

INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

Despite strong political will and the implementation of a number of programmes aimed at improving the quality of life of the people of the Southern African Development Community (SADC) Region, poverty remains one of the greatest challenges in the region (SADC secretariat 2008). The situation is exacerbated by the impacts of climate change, which is slowly shrinking livelihood opportunities, threatening biodiversity and food security, and up-scaling disasters such as droughts and floods. In the SADC Region, climate change is seen as a threat to economic development as it affects agriculture, natural resources and infrastructure which are the back bone of the economies of the Member States. In addition, a large proportion of the Region's population depends directly on agriculture and natural ecosystems for their livelihoods.

Climate change is associated with mean higher average temperatures, changing rainfall patterns and rising sea levels; and there will be more and more intense, extreme events such as droughts, floods and cyclones (IPCC 2007). Although there is a lot of uncertainty about the location and the magnitude of these changes, there is no doubt that they pose a major threat to agricultural systems in the Region. There are some critical challenges that agriculture will face as the climate changes.

¹ Steve Makungwa (PhD) is a Senior Lecturer at the Bunda College of Agriculture, University of Malawi. Email: smakungwa@bunda.unima.mw.



Water availability is at the top of the list. Water is the defining link between the climate and agriculture (Moorhead 2009). Even without climate change, competing demands combined with mismanagement of water resource in the region means that water availability has become an urgent issue facing farmers and other users. Thus, climate change will exacerbate an already critical situation. Farmers in the SADC Region, especially smallholder farmers, depend directly on the rain for their food production and their livelihoods; and any changes to rainfall patterns present a major risk.

Studies of the impacts of climate change on some major crops, e.g. maize and potatoes, conducted by scientists at the International Centre for Tropical Agriculture (CIAT) and the International Potato Centre (CIP), showed an aggregate decline of crop yields in the range of 20 – 30% by the year 2055 in sub-Saharan Africa (Moorhead 2009). On the geographical distribution of wild species related to three major food crops: cowpea, peanut and potato, scientists from Bioversity International, CIAT and IRRRI estimated that 16–22% of the wild relatives of the three crops could become extinct by 2055, and that the distribution of the remainder could be reduced by more than half (Moorhead 2009). The impacts on peanut wild relatives are predicted to be especially severe – about half of the 51 peanut-related species studied could also become extinct, and the distribution of those remaining could decline by more than 90%. There are also predictions of the outbreaks of potato late blight and ascochyta blight of chickpea diseases with climate change (Moorhead 2009). When the climate becomes favourable, some will become prevalent in areas where they were previously unknown. In areas where they have not occurred before, the danger is that there is usually low immunity to a disease as well as poor knowledge of pest or disease management. The consequences of their attack on crops can be devastating.

With regard to the vulnerability of the Region, scientists at ILRI found that SADC Member States were among the most vulnerable countries in the sub-Saharan Africa because of their current socio-economic status, i.e. high level of poverty, HIV and AIDS prevalence, high dependence of economies and livelihoods on rainfed agriculture and others (Kandji *et al.* 2006). Within the agricultural sector, the study showed that smallholder agriculture systems are the most vulnerable compared to other systems because of the limited capacities of the smallholder farmers to avert challenges posed by climate change.

The SADC Member States do recognise that since climate is changing, agricultural systems in the region must also change if the Region is to avoid a catastrophe. This is manifested by the development of national climate change initiatives, such as the National Adaptation Programmes of Action (NAPA) by Member States, as vehicles for implementing climate change adaptation and other related strategies. In some of these initiatives, it is explicitly stated that farming, fishing and forest communities need to adapt their livelihood systems. The changes that are needed are many and diverse. The adaptation strategies will happen at the local level, tailored to local circumstances and ecosystems, and chosen and managed by the communities themselves. The strategies should have immediate benefits for the communities, as well as long-term benefits. These changes must be based on sound science, and enabled by effective policy at all levels. The changes should be built not only on the wealth of knowledge that already exists, but also on the new directions that research must now take to meet this enormous challenge. Higher education institutions worldwide are strategically positioned to provide leadership in this new endeavour (Marjanovic 2010) and SADC higher education institutions are no exception.



Higher education institutions in agriculture and natural resources in the Region have a profound role in creating the capacities and the knowledge base needed to develop pathways to adapt the agricultural systems and livelihoods to current and future vulnerability consequent to climate change. These institutions have an important responsibility given the precarious position of SADC, as one of the most vulnerable regions to climate change. This responsibility pivots around educating and preparing present and future leaders and members of society with the ideas as well as the social, technical and managerial capabilities to respond adequately to the challenges posed by climate change. The higher education institutions must also conduct locally relevant research and educate leaders and communities, not only about the risks, but also about the strategies for adaptation and mitigation.

The purpose of the paper therefore, is to describe the trends in higher education teaching, research and collaboration in integrating or mainstreaming climate change concerns into agriculture and food security-related disciplines in the SADC Region.

Existing adaptation and mitigation knowledge

The SADC Region has so far accumulated adequate knowledge through research to make vast improvements to the sustainability and productivity of agricultural and other natural resource-based management systems – improvements that can compensate for the negative impacts of climate change, at least in the short term, and at the same time build resilience to more distant changes in the climate. There is no reason or excuse for the Region not to put this knowledge into action with the available knowledge in the following areas:

Crops for the uncertain future

The Consultative Group on International Agricultural Research (CGIAR) institutions have been working in partnerships with national agricultural research systems and universities in the Region to develop crop varieties that are drought, heat, salt and flood tolerant. Such crops include drought tolerant maize varieties – over 50 varieties have already been developed and some are being grown in the region; drought tolerant rice – New Rice for Africa (NERICA); flood tolerant rice; drought tolerant beans and cassava (Moorhead 2009). These varieties are likely to withstand the pressures exerted by climate change on changes in rainfall patterns in the immediate future. However, due to uncertainties of the distant future climates, plant breeding programmes must ensure that new varieties have the genetic diversity that climate uncertainty, and other variables such as changing pests and diseases, demand.

Sustainable soil management

Even without climate change, deteriorating soils are one of the main challenges to future agriculture. For example, in recent years some 320 million hectares, or 25%, of the already fragile African drylands have been degraded to an extent known as ‘desertification’. This is largely a result of unsustainable practices such as over-grazing, over-cultivation and deforestation, which mines soils of their nutrients without adequate replenishment. Reducing vulnerability of farming systems in the face of climate change demands a turn-around in soil management. There is substantial information about sustainable soil management, but there is now a need for a concerted effort to overcome the obstacles that have prevented more widespread uptake of these technologies. Soil organic matter is the critical factor in sustainable soil management. Increasing organic matter content improves soil fertility, nutrient supply, soil structure, water-holding capacity, and a host of other vital soil functions. Ways to do this include



adding compost, crop residues, animal manure, chipped wood, agroforestry, and growing cover crops such as legumes. All these technologies are available and known by farmers in the Region, but their adoption is still low.

Managing changing pests and diseases

Anticipating pest and disease outbreaks, and integrated pest and disease management (IPDM) where outbreaks occur, are the fundamentals behind managing changing pests and diseases into the future. For instance, CIAT in collaboration with some national agricultural research systems and universities in the Region are developing computer models to predict the movements of some important crop pests and diseases in the region, e.g. potato late blight (Moorhead 2009). Participatory learning is implicit in IPDM, so that farmers understand the relationships between crops, pests and diseases, and climate. With this knowledge they can adapt their own systems and build resilience.

Livestock systems for the future

As the climate changes, there will inevitably be impacts on livestock and livestock-based systems. At the same time, as the regional demand for draught power, manure, meat, milk and other animal products increases, animal numbers are growing rapidly, with accompanying impacts on ecosystems such as rangelands and pasturelands, and on demand for water. The solution is to manage growth of the livestock sector sustainably through adaptive strategies that simultaneously address the climate challenge to livestock-based systems, and the livestock pressure challenge to the environment. These adaptive strategies will include diverse options from which livestock keepers can select. Examples include using livestock species and breeds best suited to future climate, and adapting stock composition. Managing feed supplies will be critical, and will depend on a range of approaches, from developing alternative feeds that are not dependent on crops, to planting appropriate species under rangeland rehabilitation programmes.

Managing fisheries and aquaculture

Fishing and aquaculture provide food and livelihoods for many millions of resource-poor people in SADC, and may become even more important to regional food security as the climate changes and other sources of food become less reliable. Both are vulnerable, however, to a range of environmental pressures including climate change. Aquaculture, on the contrary, is growing in many parts of the Region and offers some good opportunities to adapt to climate change. Farming fish provides an easily managed and predictable source of food. By focusing on herbivorous species, aquaculture can provide food with a low carbon footprint. Fish are highly efficient at converting grain to protein – cattle require around 7 kg of grain to produce 1 kg gain in live weight but herbivorous fish, such as tilapia or carp, need less than 2 kg. And ironically, it requires less water to grow fish than meat, as the water is mostly recycled and not consumed. Climate change could open up new opportunities for aquaculture as the sea encroaches on coastal lands, as more dams and impoundments are constructed in river basins to buffer changing rainfall patterns, and as urban waste demands more innovative disposal pathways.

Agriculture and mitigation

According to the 4th Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) Assessment report (IPCC 2007), agriculture globally contributes about 14% of annual greenhouse gas emissions, and forestry another 17%. Irrigated rice systems produce significant amounts of methane, while nitrous oxide is a






that had colonies in the region; the learning materials are generally not adequately contextualised in the local environment, and teaching modes are not learner-centred. It is not surprising therefore that most of the higher education institutions in the Region have so far done very little in the integration of climate change issues into their education programmes. There is a need, therefore, to rethink and to transform the higher education systems in the Region in order to remain relevant and become competitive and responsive to the challenges and needs of the modern society.

Marjanovic (2010), at a meeting in Belgrade, Serbia, demonstrated that climate change science was not a new science in higher education institutions worldwide. His model (Figure 1) showed different science based disciplines on which climate change science was based. Various disciplinary courses and programmes presented in the model are already being offered in different universities, at different spatial and temporal levels in the SADC Region. Hence, climate change science is also not a new science in itself in the Region. However, what is missing in the education system is the link of these individual disciplines to provide a full understanding of the complexity of the climate change problem. Without complete understanding of the problem, the adaptation and mitigation strategies to avert the impacts of climate change on agriculture and food security in the Region will be poorly designed and will lack robustness.

1.

(

2010)



Traditionally the education system has approached problem solving from disciplinary perspectives; where a complex problem is sliced into disciplinary devices and solutions are generated in isolation for each component of the problem. Due to the dynamism and complexity of the climate change problem, these traditional approaches are no longer valid in generating solutions for climate change. By the time the partial solution for a particular component is generated, the climate change problem would have already changed shape and dimension. Systems thinking that integrates these different disciplines to understand how humans affect the patterns and cycles that operate in nature, and their manifestations to the society at large, is missing in our education system.



Another missing link in the region's education curricula is the inadequate foundation of climate change science. Our education curricula lack the ability to develop critical thinking skills in our students enabling them to take the data and information that is generated from science to understand the implications of that data, and then to apply it to solving the prevailing societal problems currently facing the region. As the students graduate, they are unable to realistically provide leadership in generating solutions to societal problems, including climate change. The curricula, therefore, need to be redirected to include foundations in systems thinking that would provide the necessary tools and skills for tackling the complex, interlinked problems the society is currently facing.

In summary, higher education institutions in the Region need to teach the fundamentals of sustainability/ climate change in its widest context. There is a need to teach things that will change the mindsets of our students – the way they look at life, the way they look at the economy and everything else. Finally there is a need to teach people how to make a business case out of climate change. If there are no benefits that are tangible to farming communities and other groups in the society, the case cannot be won. Climate change is a big business and there is money to be made out of it. Those who are already there, are already making money out of it through, for example, payment for ecosystem services, carbon trade, payment for reducing emissions from deforestation and forest degradation (REDD), etc. The Region is lagging behind in benefiting from these international financial mechanisms from climate change.

RESEARCH AND KNOWLEDGE PRODUCTION

The scientists in the universities do recognise that climate change has introduced a new dimension, exacerbating enormous problems the region is already facing. The unprecedented rate and magnitude of climate change presents great challenges to farmers, researchers and policymakers alike. The universities in the Region have already begun to address the climate change challenge. Most have incorporated activities on climate change science, impact analysis, mitigation options or adaptation strategies, into their research priorities and programmes, and several universities have recently established dedicated research programmes on climate change.

Funding of scientific research in the majority of SADC countries remains a huge problem, even without climate change (SARUA 2008). This problem manifests itself in many ways: the lack of national government commitment to the stated ideals of expending 1% of GDP on research and development; the lack of a central infrastructure for co-coordinating and facilitating science funding (and its alignment with national research goals); the huge dependence on foreign funding for science and technology in the majority of universities in SADC countries (with the exception of South Africa); and the relative lack of institutional research offices for co-coordinating and facilitating research funding within universities.


Mouton *et al.* (2008) noted that the lack of sufficient endogenous funding for research has two significant consequences. Firstly, governments in the region cannot steer the research efforts in their countries in any meaningful way. Any science policy and the formulation of science and technology priorities will be ineffectual unless the resources are available to give effect to such national agendas. Secondly, as a consequence, the research agenda and priorities in many SADC countries are therefore shaped and influenced by the research priorities of international funding agencies. Even if the priorities of such agencies are aligned with the needs of the countries in the region, it still means that the research conducted under these programmes remains reactive and often short-term. Such a research agenda



will not sufficiently generate new knowledge based on local circumstances and experiences as required by a modern approach to tackling climate change.


International funding is usually also project-driven with the result that researcher salaries, laboratory and equipment costs are not funded. Donor funding – even if it is well meant and properly used – does not help to build an indigenous scientific infrastructure and capacity. In the long term, governments in the region have to find the funds to build, to sustain and to grow their own scientific institutions and capacities, if they wish to overcome existing dependencies and more directly steer their own scientific efforts to adequately respond to climate change challenges in the region. Therefore, the generation of new climate change related knowledge relevant to the current and future climate change scenarios remains a challenge in the region.

In terms of research productivity in the universities in the region, Mouton *et al.* (2008), noted that South Africa is the most prolific and productive producer of scientific output in the region. In fact it dominated scientific production by producing on average 80% of all output for the period between 1990 to 2007 and being about four times more productive than the average for the region (119 papers per million of the population compared to the average of 29 papers per million of the population) see Figures 2 and 3.



(Source: Mouton et al. 2008)

1 0 2007



(Source: Mouton et al. 2008)





within the university in implementing a climate change teaching or research programme; or within and across countries in the Region or outside the Region. This also includes collaboration with the national and international research centres and organisations. Another aspect of collaboration is with the users of the products of higher education institutions and/or potential funders of the academic and research programmes. These include the farming communities; the government, including bilateral and multilateral donor institutions; the private firms, companies and non-governmental organisations.

A study conducted by Kruss and Petersen (2008), provided strong evidence for collaboration of higher education institutions in most fields of scientific research in the SADC Region. The major areas of collaboration were on writing of funding proposals, conceptualisation and/or planning of research, execution of research and the publication of research results (writing reports and articles). However, intra-regional collaboration amongst countries in the SADC region was evidently less than extra-regional collaboration. Collaboration was mostly with countries in the northern hemisphere; where such collaboration was driven by well-established networks based on mutual interest or by the availability of funding from donor agencies in the north. Scientists and scholars from South Africa were found to be involved in fewer joint activities across the whole spectrum of the research process, than their counterparts in the other SADC countries. This observation was an indication that scientists in the other SADC countries co-operate more internationally because of their greater reliance on overseas funding.

Within the countries in the region, Kruss and Petersen (2008), found strong collaboration between local universities and with public agricultural research institutions, although there are not many public research institutions in each country. Collaboration on a moderate scale exists with a wide range of public sector and development partners – national government, regional government, community organisations and local non-governmental organisations (NGOs) – potentially important for universities' roles in support of local development.

With the prevailing challenges of climate change, the current community engagements and collaborations are not sufficient to address the complexity of the climate change problem. New forms of engagements and collaborations are required if we are to win the battle against climate change. The first area of collaboration will be in the design and implementation of climate change programmes among academic staff of different disciplines across science faculties within the university and with other local or regional universities. Through collaboration, best human and physical resources, including teaching materials, would be brought together to implement a strong climate change academic programme, responsive to the local needs of the region. This would rationalise the engagement and efficient use of limited personnel and physical resources available in the region, while getting the best results of climate change training programmes at higher education institutions in the region. For this to succeed, a strong political will of the Member States of the SADC Region would be required to provide a catalyst for such an initiative.

In terms of conduct of climate change research, the forms of community engagement and collaboration will be many and diverse. These would include the actual process of conducting research, from problem identification and definition all the way to delivery of the research results and outputs. The stakeholders have to be fully engaged at each stage of the research to enhance ownership and acceptance of the research results.





Finally, efficient and effective collaboration and partnerships are required in planning and in the delivery of academic and research programmes on climate change if the higher education institutions in SADC region are to play a meaningful role in combating and adapting agriculture systems to climate change. Different facets of climate change require different expertise from different disciplines and these disciplines are spatially located in the region. Harnessing such expertise from different institutions requires a transparent system supported by strong political will from the governments in the region.



REFERENCES

Chakeredza, S., Temu, A.B., Yaye, A., Makungwa, S., Saka, J.D.K. 2009. Mainstreaming climate change into Agricultural Education: Challenges and Perspectives. ICRAF Working Paper no. 82. Nairobi, Kenya: World Agroforestry Centre.

Harman, K., & Treadgold, E. 2007. 'Changing patterns of governance for Australian universities' *Higher Education Research & Development*, 26(1): 13–29.

IPCC 2007. Climate Change 2007: The Scientific Basis. Contribution of Working Group 1 to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge University Press.

Jenkins, J., Healey, M. & Zetter, R. 2007. 'Linking teaching and research in disciplines and Departments'. UK: The Higher Education Academy.
<http://www.heacademy.ac.uk/resources/publications/papersandmonographs>

Kandji, S.T., Verchot, L., Mackensen, J. 2006. Climate Change Climate and Variability in Southern Africa: Impacts and Adaptation in the Agricultural Sector.

Kruss, G. & Petersen, I. 2008. 'University – Fi.4 TDaZes/pubEection in the Rng(on337(')154(. l)-10(.)TJ/T1_3 1 Tf(T59(go)7ws) gmdmo futur/pu: (Highere(duc)15(ation in theSABDCReg((on)TJ/T1_2 1 Tf(. R)-4(esear)10(chindhins fGr)10(me f)13(ur (SA nsoformatio(. C)11(oof)13kerancep resentatio(.)41(T)71(eaching clima)4(t)6(e(change and the1Un(t)6(d Nca)4(tion.)TJT\$a)8

n(. J)12(.,BR)-4oshoif3,N(.,def)36(W)33aald, L.,Esamu, S., lb(a)8(y4(atr)-25wr)10(o)24.,BR.,RHetter, M. &vy4(an NH)-10(ektor)-4



CLIMATE CHANGE, WATER AVAILABILITY AND SUPPLY

– *Dominic Mazvimavi*¹

ABSTRACT

The increase of anthropogenic emissions of greenhouse gases has caused the global mean temperature to increase above the pre-industrial levels. This warming will affect precipitation and evaporation, both of which determine the availability of surface and groundwater resources. There is considerable uncertainty regarding the magnitude and the direction of change of precipitation over the various parts of southern Africa. The major problem for water resources management in southern Africa is the high inter-annual variability of precipitation. There are no accurate predictions on the magnitude of the change of this inter-annual variability. Studies carried out in southern Africa have shown that the region has hydrological responses that are highly sensitive to changes in precipitation and evaporation. A 10% change in both precipitation and evaporation has the potential of changing runoff by 50%. Thus decreases of precipitation, due to climate change, will decrease the available water resources. The growth of human population and improvement in lifestyles, and the expansion of agriculture and urban areas will increase the water demand. Thus climate change will be an added stress on water resources.

An integrated water resources management approach which considers factors affecting water supply, water demand, and the socio-economic aspects, provides a framework for developing adaptation measures for climate change effects on water resources. Since there are considerable uncertainties regarding climate change effects on water resources, adaptation measures should be developed so that there are benefits with or without climate change. Possible adaptation measures are: the expansion of water supply to improve the water supply to the current and to the future populations, and the introduction of measures for managing water demand. The improvement of the human capacity and the institutional framework are necessary adaptation measures. This will result in the effective and efficient management of water, which in turn will provide benefits with or without climate change.

Teaching about climate change and water resources is done at universities either through the extension of existing courses or the introduction of new stand-alone courses. The lack of expertise in the field of climate change is a major constraint when introducing this issue in university courses. South African universities dominate the research being done on climate change and water resources in southern Africa. It has the highest number of scientists involved in this research that is funded by the national government through funds from, e.g. the Water Research Commission. There is a great need to expand research on climate change and water resources to other countries within southern Africa. This research will improve the understanding of the sensitivity of water resources for the various existing climatological and physiographic conditions to climate change, and provide a basis for developing appropriate adaptation measures.

¹ Dominic Mazvimavi (PhD) is Professor of Water and Environmental Science, and Director of the Institute for Water Studies at the University of the Western Cape. Email: dmazvimavi@uwc.ac.za.

INTRODUCTION

Observational temperature records reveal that the global mean temperature has increased by 1.4° – 4.3° C above the pre-industrial 1750 values (McMullen & Jaboor 2009). The rate of increase of the global mean temperature was 0.13° C per decade during the 1956 to 2005 period. The 1995 to 2006 period is considered to have been the warmest period since 1850 (IPCC 2007). The increase in temperature is attributed to emissions of greenhouse gases. The increase of the global temperature affects precipitation (P) and the evaporative demand (E) both of which determine the availability of water as shown in Equation (1) below:

$$P = Q - E \pm S$$

where Q = runoff, S = change of both surface and subsurface water storages.

Precipitation and the evaporative demand set the broad limits to the amount of water available as runoff or river flows, and the replenishment of surface and subsurface storages.

Simulations of climate for the emission scenarios B1, A1B and A2 (IPCC 2000) that were done using global circulation models show that the global mean temperature for the 2011 to 2030 period will increase by 0.64° C to 0.69° C relative to the 1980 to 1999 period (Meehl *et al.* 2007). This will increase the atmospheric moisture holding capacity and therefore result in increased precipitation at the global level. Changes in precipitation due to climate change will vary globally. Models predict that relative to the 1980 to 1999 period, precipitation will increase by the end of the 21st century in the high latitude regions, e.g. Europe 9%; North Asia 15%; North America 15 - 21%; and East Asia 9%. (Christensen *et al.* 2007). Table 1 provides the predicted changes in temperature and precipitation by the end of the 21st century over southern Africa. The southern Africa region considered extends from latitudes 12° S to 35° S and longitudes 10° E to 52° E.

1

1

21

1 0 1

(

. 2007)

	()			(%)		
	1.8	3.1	4.7	-6%	0%	10%
	1.7	3.1	4.7	-25%	0%	12%
	1.9	3.4	4.8	-43%	-23%	-3%
	2.1	3.7	5.0	-43%	-13%	3%
	1.9	3.4	4.8	-12%	-4%	6%

Note: DJF = December, January and February; MAM = March, April and May; JJA = June, July and August; SON = September, October and November.

It is of interest to note that most of the predicted precipitation changes over southern Africa (Table 1) occur during the winter period (JJA) and the dry season (SON). Very little rainfall occurs during the JJA and SON seasons in southern Africa except for the Western Cape Province of South Africa that receives winter rainfall. Furthermore, the predicted change of annual precipitation (-4%) is rather insignificant in view of the high inter-annual variability.



The prediction of climate change effects on water availability for a specific river basin is problematic because global circulation models (GCMs) have coarse spatial resolutions, ranging from about 150 km by 150 km (23,700 km²) to about 440 km by 550 km (24,200 km²) (Randall *et al.* 2007). However, planning and management of water resources is often done for river basins that are usually less than 10,000 km². Furthermore, different GCMs give different precipitation predictions for the same river basin (Andersson *et al.* 2006; Murray-Hudson 2006). Even the same GCMs will give different precipitation predictions for different initial starting conditions during simulations (New 2002). Consequently, there are considerable uncertainties in establishing the hydrological effects of climate change. There have been very few studies examining the hydrological effects of climate change in Africa upon which to base generalisations about the future availability of water resources (Boko *et al.* 2007).

The goal of water resources management is to overcome water supply problems arising from climate variability and from the variation in space of the available water. Outputs of GCMs give indications of changes in average precipitation values, but not the change in variability. Most parts of southern Africa, particularly south of the Zambezi River, are semi-arid to arid receiving less than 800 mm/year of rainfall except for the highland areas and the eastern coastal part. Sub-humid conditions occur along the northern parts of Angola and Zambia, and throughout the Democratic Republic of Congo. The annual rainfall for most parts of southern Africa has high inter-annual variability with the coefficient of variation being 25% to 40% in the semi-arid part and over 100% in the arid part (Schulze 1997; Mendelsohn *et al.* 2002). Under these conditions rainfall in any year can be as low as 60% of the average value, and as high as 140% of the annual average value. The adaptation to high variability of rainfall is a necessary part of life in southern Africa. Thus, the major concern regarding climate change effects is whether climate variability will be influenced by climate change, and not by the changes in the average values (Jensen 2010). Unfortunately global climate models do not provide adequate information about possible future changes in rainfall variability, which can be used for predicting the effects on water resources.

The proportion of rainfall that forms runoff (runoff coefficient) decreases with decreasing rainfall, and the runoff coefficient is generally less than 20% for most parts of southern Africa except for the humid and mountainous areas. Changes in precipitation due to climate change will be amplified in the changes of runoff. Rainfall-runoff modelling done on three catchments in Zimbabwe showed that a 15-20% decline in rainfall and 10% increase in potential evaporation resulted in a 50% decrease in runoff (Mazvimavi 1998a). New (2002), found that a 10% decrease in precipitation and 10% increase in evaporation caused a 14% decrease in average annual runoff of a humid catchment (mean annual precipitation = 2300 mm/year) and a 32% decrease for a semi-arid catchment (mean annual precipitation = 410 mm/year) in the Western Cape region of South Africa. De Wit and Stankiewicz (2006) concluded that a 10% decrease in precipitation in regions receiving 500 mm/year will reduce surface water by 50%, while this was 17% for areas receiving 1000 mm/year. It has been predicted that by 2050 runoff will decrease by 10



Most of the southern African countries depend on reservoirs (dams) for water supply to urban areas and for irrigation. Climate change has the potential to affect water supply from these reservoirs. According to Mazvimavi (1998a), an assessment of the effect of climate change on three reservoirs in Zimbabwe showed that the design yield declined by 34 - 43% (Table 2). Inflows and evaporation were estimations based on the rainfall and temperature changes predicted by the Canadian Climate Centre global circulation model for the 2CO2 scenario. In order for the same reservoirs to satisfy the demand for which they were designed, the storage capacities would have to be increased by about 40%, which increases construction costs of dams. New (2002) made similar conclusions for reservoirs used for water supply to the Cape Town Metropolitan area.

?

Area (km ²)	38,500	1,550	2,450
Mean annual rainfall (mm/year)	633	714	819
Potential evaporation (mm/year)	1,930	1,800	1,690
Mean annual runoff (mm/year)	21	82	158
Reservoir capacity (10 ⁶ m ³)	691	264	385
Baseline yield (10 ⁶ m ³)	256	69	242
% Change of average annual rainfall	-15	-20	-16
% Change of average annual evaporation	8	13	9
% Change of average annual runoff	-47	-50	-50
% Change in the reservoir yield	-34	-41	-43

Southern Africa countries are already experiencing varying degrees of water stress; however, climate change has the potential to modify the severity of water stress. The per capita amount of renewable water resources available per year is one of the indicators for water stress (Raskin et al. 1995; Mazvimavi 1998b; Arnell 2004). The per capita available water resources for each of the countries was estimated using the FAO estimates, (<http://www.fao.org/nr/water/aquastat/data/query/index.html?lang=en>) and the projected population for the 2010 to 2050 period, done by the United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division (<http://esa.un.org/UNPP/>) for the medium variant population growth rates. For the year 2010 South Africa had 900 m³/capita/year of renewable water resources and falls within the high stress category (500 – 1000 m³/capita/year), while Lesotho, Malawi and Zimbabwe are in the moderate stress category (1000 – 1700 m³/capita/year) see Figure 1 and Table 3. Tanzania will fall within the moderate water stress category by 2020 without climate change. By the year 2050, Malawi, South Africa, Tanzania and Zimbabwe will be in the high stress category even without climate change. The renewable water available in 2010 for semi-arid to arid Botswana and Namibia was estimated as being 6188m³/capita/year and 8011m³/capita/year respectively. These apparently high values for countries that experience extreme difficulties in accessing water include water resources existing in the Okavango River and Cunene River that occur along their boundaries or in regions far removed from the major water demand areas.



2010 20 0



The effects of climate change on the available renewable water resources per capita was investigated by Arnell (2004), who compared simulated runoff taking into account the predicted climate change and the future population projected as based on assumptions made for each of the emission scenarios. Climate change was predicted to cause an increase in the number of people affected by water stress in southern Africa, while regions such as north America and Eurasia, that are likely to experience an increase in precipitation, will have decreasing numbers of people affected by water stress (Table 4).

4
(2004)

1		3.1	
202	A2	37.6	43-47
	B2	32.9	26-61
20	A2	60.8	86-108
	B2	126.7	105-141

Changes of the variability of precipitation due to climate change will be amplified by runoff. The southern Africa region already has a highly variable runoff, for example the annual runoff in South Africa has a coefficient of variation of 40 - 100% on the eastern part, and 100 - 160% on the western part. Most rivers in Botswana, Namibia and Zimbabwe have the coefficient of variation being greater than 100%. Frequent water shortages occur in this region due to the high variability of runoff, and any increase in this variability will worsen an already existing problem. The magnitude of the likely change in the variability of runoff is not known since global circulation models do not give an indication of the change in rainfall variability.

Most of the rural population in the semi-arid and arid parts of southern Africa depend on groundwater for water supply. The expansion of the coverage of population with improved access to potable water, which is in line with the Millennium Development Goals, will greatly depend on the use of groundwater. There is very little knowledge about climate change effects on groundwater (Kundzewicz *et al.* 2007; Xu & Braune 2010). Any decrease in precipitation together with an increase in evaporation will reduce the amount of water available for recharging aquifers. The predicted decrease in runoff will result in an increased number of people depending on groundwater therefore depleting the available resource. Climate change is also predicted to cause an increase in the intensity of precipitation leading to flooding. Groundwater aquifers, occurring along ephemeral rivers such as the Kuisieb River in Namibia (Morin *et al.* 2009), are mostly recharged by flood flows, and therefore any changes in the frequencies of floods will affect recharge to these aquifers. Medium to large floods were found to be the most significant in recharging alluvial aquifers along the Kuisieb River (Morin *et al.* 2009). The predicted rise in sea levels will lead to saline water intrusion in coastal aquifers.



ADAPTATION TO CLIMATE CHANGE

The goal of water resources management has always been to develop measures for adapting to the mismatch between the availability of water and its demand. Climate change has the potential to affect both the availability of water and its demand. The development of measures for adapting to climate change has to take into account climatic and non-climatic factors that will affect both the availability of water resources and their demand. Measures for adapting to climate change also have to consider the institutional and the technical capacity required for their implementation by water management agencies. Water resources management occurs at various levels: from the household, farm, and provincial sector, to the multi-sectoral and the national levels. Climate change adaptation measures should be implemented at all these levels. Due to various factors affecting water resources management and the levels at which this is done, an integrated approach that takes all these factors into consideration, needs to be adopted in the development and implementation of adaptation measures. Such an approach should consider issues affecting water availability (supply), demand, as well as the institutional and the technical capacity for water resources management. Integrated water resources management (IWRM) provides such a framework that has been accepted globally as being appropriate with or without climate change (van Beek *et al.* 2002; Kundzewicz *et al.* 2007).

This paper has highlighted uncertainties regarding the effects of climate change on water resources. While most models predict that southern Africa will experience a decline in the mean values of precipitation and runoff, there is, however, a distinct possibility that some of the river basins could experience increases in both precipitation and runoff. Adaptation measures should therefore take into account such uncertainty. The major challenge for water resources management in southern Africa, with or without climate change, is the spatial and temporal variation of water resources. The development and the implementation of measures to overcome problems due to this variability provides benefits with or without climate change, and thus there will not be any regrets despite the uncertainties regarding climate change effects (Schulze *et al.* 2001; van Beek *et al.* 2002).

One of the major constraints for water resources management in southern Africa is the lack of information about the available water resources. Large parts of this region are not covered by hydrological monitoring systems. In fact the number of hydrological monitoring stations has declined since the 1980s. Most of the countries in southern Africa have no readily accessible or efficient database on water availability and demand. A reactive approach is often adopted in managing water resources since the availability and demand for water are not accurately known. Without adequate data, it is not possible to isolate effects of climate change from those of other factors such as land use change. The availability of accurate data about the various aspects of water resources will go a long way in overcoming the challenges arising from climate variability and climate change (Conway *et al.* 2008). National water resources management agencies, at various levels in southern Africa, have a limited capacity to manage extreme events such as floods and droughts and to forecast and monitor these events under the current climate. Low levels of funding the personnel and for monitoring equipment, inhibits the development of the capacity to respond to current water resources management challenges that may arise in future due to climate change. The development of the capacity to plan, to monitor, and to develop appropriate responses to extreme events will provide immense benefits for the current climate and for the future when such events may be more frequent due to climate change.

Most parts of southern Africa have relatively undeveloped surface water and groundwater resources, while the majority of the population lack access to improved water supply, and inadequate water constrains industrial and agricultural growth as well as energy production. Table 5 shows that 40% of the population in the region has no access to potable water. The development of water resources will enable these countries to achieve goals that have already been agreed upon (Millennium Development Goals), and will also cushion them against climate change effects. Expansion of access to potable water could be achieved by building additional storage works such as dams. A major challenge in the planning of these works is that the design of water infrastructure has historically been based on the assumption that historical hydrological observations are representative of future conditions, but climate change invalidates this assumption of stationarity. Water storage works, with a lifespan of 20 to 50 years, have to incorporate the potential effects of climate change in their design. However, southern African countries have not yet adopted guidelines on how the design of water supply projects should incorporate the uncertainty arising from climate change. The SADC Water Policy recommends that adequate water be allocated for environmental uses along rivers (SADC 2006), and this has to be taken into account when developing additional storage works to overcome the effects of climate change.

300

	(1000)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)	(%)
Angola	18,021	57	43	60	38	50
Botswana	1,921	60	40	99	90	95
Democratic Republic of the Congo	64,257	34	66	80	28	46
Lesotho	2,049	25	75	97	81	85
Madagascar	19,111	29	71	71	29	41
Mozambique	22,383	37	63	77	29	47
Mauritius	1,280	42	58	100	99	99
Malawi	14,846	19	81	95	77	80
Namibia	2,130	37	63	99	88	92
Swaziland	1,168	25	75	92	61	69
United Republic of Tanzania	42,484	25	75	80	45	54
South Africa	49,668	61	39	99	78	91
Zambia	12,620	35	65	87	46	60
Zimbabwe	12,463	37	63	99	72	82
	4,400		1		4	1

Source: WHO/UNICEF Joint Monitoring Programme (JMP) for Water Supply and Sanitation (<http://www.wssinfo.org>).



There is a potential for cushioning against climate change effects by increasing groundwater abstraction. A major constraint for this adaptation measure is the limited knowledge about the existing groundwater resources in southern Africa (Xu & Braune 2010). Groundwater is rarely included in the inventory of national water resources due to the lack of systematic monitoring of groundwater resources at national levels. For those groundwater bodies that have been identified very little is known regarding their yields, recharge rates and the threats to them from contamination. The mechanism and rates of recharge of basement aquifers that are widespread in southern Africa are not accurately understood. Thus the sustainable levels of utilisation of these resources are not known. Expansion of the groundwater exploration will improve the knowledge about the potential use of groundwater under the current and the future climate.

Inter-basin water transfer can improve water supply for regions that in future will have inadequate water. The southern Africa region has 15 major trans-boundary rivers and some of these basins have the potential for inter-basin water transfer. The experience of the Lesotho Highlands Water Scheme demonstrates the potential for improving water supply through inter-basin water transfer (Heyns 2003). The development of inter-basin water transfer from the Zambezi River has the potential to increase water supply to Botswana, Namibia, South Africa and Zimbabwe. Similarly the proposed transfer of water from the Okavango River to the high demand centres in central Namibia can, according to Heyns (2003), minimise the adverse effects of climate change. SADC is facilitating the formation of river basin organisations to improve water resources management. These river basin organisations will facilitate the joint development of water resources on shared river basins.

Studies carried out in southern Africa have shown that rainwater harvesting can contribute towards improving water supply and is a possible measure for adapting to climate change (Mukheibir & Sparks 2005; Mwenge Kahinda 2010). Sturm *et al.* (2009) found that roof harvesting technologies provided benefits comparable to private water taps in the northern part of Namibia. Rainwater harvesting and conservation tillage have also been shown to increase crop yields, even during seasons with below average rainfall (Munodawafa & Zhou 2008; Mupangwa *et al.* 2008; Makurira 2010; Mkoga *et al.* 2010). Rainwater harvesting enables households to overcome problems due to low rainfall under the current climate, and to reduce their vulnerability to inadequate water supply arising from climate change.

Water reclamation can increase water supply as has been demonstrated by the City of Windhoek where reclaimed water accounts for 35% of the water consumed in this city (du Pisani 2006). This has enabled Windhoek City to defer development of alternative water sources such as the transfer of water from the Okavango River (Heyns 2003). The desalination of sea water can increase water supply particularly to coastal areas where the transfer of freshwater is not feasible (Mukheibir & Sparks 2005). Desalination of sea water has improved water supply to some coastal settlements in Namibia and in South Africa. Similarly, desalination of groundwater has improved water supply to some villages in Botswana.

Water demand management aims to control water consumption using both non-structural and structural measures. Controlling demand enables society to increase the benefits arising from a unit volume of water under the current and the future climate. The SADC Regional Water Policy considers water demand management as an integral element of integrated water resources management (SADC



2006). Several initiatives, for implementing water demand management, have been undertaken in the SADC region (Gumbo 2004). Non-structural measures for water demand management include education and awareness raising, water allocation, and water pricing. There is a need to educate and increase the awareness of citizens about the value and the limitations of existing water resources and the need for conserving these resources. Citizens need to be aware of benefits derived from conserving water resources. Water allocations systems have tended to favour farmers without considering whether an individual farmer, allocated a water right, used the water efficiently. Modifications to the water allocation system, to consider the efficiency and the effectiveness of water usage, will reduce the water demand particularly for agricultural purposes. The pricing of water has not always reflected the cost of providing water. There have been cases of wasteful use of water due to inappropriate pricing or the non-existence of metering and billing of water users. The adoption of the rising block tariff resulted in considerable water savings in Cape Town (Mukheibir & Sparks 2005).

Structural measures for managing water demand include retrofitting of water appliances to make them more efficient, also for leakage detection and repair, and for the upgrading of water distribution systems including irrigation infrastructures. During the early 2000s, the level of unaccounted water or losses within the distribution system was 52% for Mutare in Zimbabwe, 65% for Maputo, 58% for Lusaka, 20% for Bulawayo and 18% for Windhoek (Gumbo 2004). Reduction of these losses through leakage detection and repair and pressure management will reduce water demand considerably and increase the coverage of the current water supply systems. Water saved by reducing losses to less than 10% can be made available to the unserved communities. Irrigation accounts for about 70% of the water use in southern Africa, but this sector has very low water use efficiencies. The upgrading of irrigation infrastructure will reduce water losses and free up water for other sectors.

TEACHING AND LEARNING

A survey administered through an emailed questionnaire was conducted in universities, in southern Africa, to determine the approaches that are being used to teach climate change issues related to water. Three approaches have been identified: (1) The inclusion of climate change in existing modules or courses, (2) The introduction of stand-alone modules dealing with climate change, and (3) short training courses. The following modules address climate change issues related to water:

- Agro Climatology
- Agricultural Geography
- Climatology
- Ecosystems and Ecology
- Environmental Systems
- Environmental Management
- Hydrology
- Water Resources Policy, Laws & Institutions
- Integrated Water Resources Management
- Water Resources Planning
- Land and Water Resources Management

The inclusion of climate change issues in existing modules have the advantage of enabling students to relate climate change issues to water resources and to other elements of the environment. The



responses received during the survey revealed that at some universities the inclusion of climate change in the curriculum depended on the interest of the respective lecturers. A disadvantage of this approach is that climate change issues may not be adequately covered.

Stand-alone modules on climate change have been introduced in a few universities. It was reported that this was part of the review of teaching programmes. This approach ensures that climate change issues are adequately dealt with. There is, however, the potential that the relationship between climate change and other environmental elements is not adequately considered in stand-alone modules.

Universities are facing several challenges in teaching about climate change. The major problem reported was the lack of expertise in this subject. Most of the teaching staff was trained before climate change became a recognised problem that needed to be dealt with university teaching. In addition the teaching of climate change issues is, in some cases, perceived to be the responsibility of meteorologists and climatologists. Climate change issues cut across disciplines and some of the lecturers are not comfortable dealing with issues beyond their own disciplines. The perception that climate change is a global problem that is not necessarily related to local issues has also contributed towards the lack of its inclusion in teaching programmes.

Different global circulation models give different, and in some cases, inconsistent predictions about changes of precipitation. This uncertainty creates a problem for teaching, as the lecturers cannot provide the exact effects of climate change. Most lecturers are used to providing guidance on the correct answers to problems, but there are no unique solutions for climate change effects. The lack of solid evidence about the effects of climate change creates problems when relating these effects to everyday experiences. Recent allegations of scientists exaggerating about climate change effects have created a problem for teaching as some students and lecturers have become sceptical about climate change.

Teaching of water resources management has traditionally been based on the assumption of stationarity which climate change invalidates. There are no generally agreed upon guidelines on how climate change should be incorporated in water resources planning in the short to medium term. This raises a challenge in teaching climate change issues related to water resources management.

There are very few studies which have examined climate change effects on water resources in southern Africa. An internet search on climate change and water resources reveals the lack of such studies in some of the southern African countries. Most of the effects of climate change on water resources that are cited are based on very few studies carried out on catchments which are not representative of southern Africa and the methodologies used for some of these studies are questionable. Therefore, the lack of studies on climate change and water resources in southern Africa means that there are few case studies which can be used for teaching.

The questionnaire survey revealed that most of the teaching is being done in specific disciplines such as climatology, geography and environmental science, hydrology, agriculture, engineering, natural resources management. Multidisciplinary teaching is done in postgraduate programmes dealing with multidisciplinary issues, e.g. environmental planning and management, water resources management.

The regional MSc in Integrated Water Resources Management has adopted this approach. The following universities are participating in this programme: the University of Botswana, University of Dar es Salaam, University of Kwazulu-Natal, University of Malawi, University of the Western Cape, Polytechnic of Namibia, and the University of Zimbabwe. This programme allows for student exchange among these universities. Students register at either the University of Zimbabwe or University of Dar es Salaam where they do the core modules. After completing the core-modules, the students can select one of the specialisations offered by any one of the participating universities.

Specialised training in climate change is done mainly through postgraduate research or short training courses. Students registered for postgraduate research on climate change tend to deal with climate science, hydrology, agriculture, water resources management, and rainwater harvesting. Short one to two week training courses are also offered by some of the universities, e.g. a two week winter school at the University of Cape Town, and similar courses at the University of Kwazulu-Natal, and the University of the Western Cape.

RESEARCH KNOWLEDGE PRODUCTION

There are two approaches which can be used to identify not only the type of research being carried out, but also the productivities of universities regarding the number of publications that have been submitted. The first approach involves administering a questionnaire to researchers in the respective universities. The second approach involves the use of online databases (e.g. Scopus, Jstor, ISI Web of Knowledge, EBSCOhost, Sabinet). Both approaches were used in the preparation of this paper. A questionnaire was administered by email to contacts in university departments dealing with climate and water issues. Most of the respondents provide neither the list of publications done by their respective institutions nor the number of papers submitted. Some respondents did provide the list of the research projects done by their respective institutions.

The online database used is the Scopus database (www.scopus.com), which has the largest abstract and citation database of papers published in a considerable number of peer review journals. Scopus captures information from about 16,500 peer reviewed journals and about 5,000 international publishers. The author is aware that this database does not capture all the peer reviewed papers, but it has been assumed the papers included will give a representative indication of the papers published by universities in southern Africa. The search for papers was done using the key words 'climate change', 'water', and 'name of a university'. The search engine, Google Scholar, was used for the identification of papers and reports not published in peer reviewed journals.

See Table 6 for a break down on the research on climate change issues related to water done by universities in southern Africa dealing with water, climate variability, ecological aspects, agriculture, rainfall, and social aspects.



Agriculture	11
Climate Variability	23
Ecology	20
Rainfall	7
Water	37
Social issues, e.g. population migration	3
	100

Source: papers appearing on www.scopus.com

The dominance of water issues is not surprising because the possible decline in the available water resources as a result of climate change is a major concern in southern Africa. Large parts of southern Africa frequently experience droughts and floods due to climate variability, and understanding the nature of climate variability is a topical issue for research. Some of the research has focussed on explaining causes of climate variability, e.g. global tele-connections, El Nino, and sea surface temperatures.

Research on precipitation has focused on researching the existence of any evidence for climate change in historical records, in rainfall patterns arising from climate change, and in changes in the onset of the rainy season. Agriculture related research has covered climate change impacts on crops particularly maize, and other cash crops, e.g. cotton and sugar cane.

South African universities dominate the research on climate change and water resources, and contributed 90% of the articles published in peer review papers reflected on the Scopus database (Table 7).

7

Midlands State University	1
Rhodes University	5
Stellenbosch University	10
University of Botswana	5
University of Cape Town	42
University of Johannesburg	3
University of Kwazulu-Natal	4
University of Pretoria	10
University of the North West	1
University of Witwatersrand	6
University of Zimbabwe	4
University of Zululand	8
	100

Source: papers reflected in the Scopus database (www.scopus.com)



According to a study cited by Manase (2009), 50% of the climate researchers in southern Africa in 2005 were from South Africa. The University of Cape Town contributed 42% of the peer reviewed papers. This university has a very active research group (Climate Systems Analysis Group, www.csag.uct.ac.za) that is focusing on global and regional climate modelling, climate variability, and the downscaling of global circulation models. The University of Kwazulu-Natal focuses on hydrological issues related to climate change, and climate change effects on crop production. Universities outside South Africa are also investigating climate change effects on crop production, and examining conservation tillage as an adaptation to climate change.

Generally there is low productivity in terms of peer reviewed research outputs. The Scopus database recorded only 77 papers published by 18% of the 66 public universities in southern Africa. Thus the majority of the universities are not publishing papers on climate change and water issues despite this being a topical issue in the region. Universities in southern Africa are not adequately assisting societies to understand the climate change issues relevant to their region, and how to develop adaptation measures. Under these circumstances national policies on climate change are therefore based on information coming from international sources. The author serves as an Editor of the special issue of the *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth Journal*, published annually, focusing on water resources management issues in southern Africa. It has been observed over the last 4 years that the number of papers submitted for publication in this journal has been declining (Mazvimavi & Nhapi 2008). The low productivity with regards to the publications of papers on climate change and water resources partly explain why very few universities have developed specialised modules on climate change.

Grey literature covering climate change and water resources mainly comprises national reports done as part of the UNFCCC reports on the national assessment of climate change impacts, the adaptation strategies, and the vulnerability of selected sectors, especially agriculture to climate change.

COMMUNITY ENGAGEMENT

Scientists in southern Africa primarily undertake community engagement research projects at a national level. These research projects, related to climate change and water resources, are aimed at increasing the understanding of climate change impacts and the development of adaptation strategies. The obligation for individual countries to report on climate change, as part of the UNFCCC, has been critical in the engagement of southern African scientists at a national level. Engagement with universities is much more active in South Africa where the Water Research Commission has not only prioritised research on climate change but has also provided the necessary funding. Other countries in do not have a research funding agency dedicated to the water sector.

There is a low level of engagement with local communities. This is not surprising since there is considerable uncertainty regarding the nature of climate change impacts at specific locations at the local level. The Small-holder System Innovations in Integrated Water Resources Management (SSI) research project carried out by 1) Sokoine University of Agriculture, Tanzania, 2) Stockholm University, 3) School of Bioresources Engineering & Environmental Hydrology, University of Kwazulu-Natal, 4) International Water Management Institute, and 5) UNESCO-IHE engaged farmers at the sites at which research was done. The farmers undertook activities such as monitoring and carrying out trials of selected rain water harvesting technologies. A similar approach was used by the recently completed Challenge



Programme on Water for Food research project (CP) whose goal it was to improve rural livelihoods through managing risk, mitigating drought, and by improving water productivity in the Limpopo Basin. The participating institutions were the:

- University of Zimbabwe),
- University of Eduardo Mondlane,
- National University of Science and Technology,
- University of Kwazulu-Natal (South Africa), and
- International Water Management Institute (South Africa).

The lack of funding is a major limitation for scientists in southern Africa wanting to engage among themselves on issues relating to climate change and water resources. The African Climate Change Fellowship Program did, as of November 2008, facilitate exchange visits through teaching, doctoral and post-doctoral fellowships (Manase 2009). Limited funding for staff exchange is, however, provided by Waternet: a regional network of universities in southern Africa aimed at developing capacity for integrated water resources management through research and training.

Community engagement with partners in the North is mainly done through joint research projects funded by international agencies. Some of the agencies that have facilitated collaboration between the north and south are: the International Development Research Centre of Canada, START (<http://start.org/>), and the European Union.

CONCLUSION

There is a high degree of confidence in the predicted increase in temperature due to anthropogenic emissions of greenhouse gases. The increase in global temperature will result in increased precipitation at the global level, but with variable effects in different parts of the world. Most models suggest that precipitation will decrease in southern Africa, but there is uncertainty regarding how these changes will occur at specific locations. The low signal to noise ratio, due to considerable climate variability in southern Africa, raises doubts as to whether predicted changes in mean values will have significant effects. Global climate models do not give an accurate prediction about changes in precipitation variability which is of major concern in southern Africa where rainfall in a year may be as low as 60% of the average value, or as high as 140% of the average value.

Changes in precipitation will be amplified in the conversion of precipitation to runoff. Most studies have managed to demonstrate the sensitivity of hydrological responses to climate change, but not the specific impacts for a particular river basin. The effects of climate change on groundwater are largely unknown in southern Africa.

Measures for adapting to climate change effects on water resources should be developed within an integrated water resources management framework. Climate change will have effects on water availability, water demand, and the quality of water, and therefore, an integrated approach is most appropriate. The southern Africa region already faces considerable water resources management challenges such as; low levels of access to improved water supply, frequent water shortages due to climate variability, and inadequate information about the spatial and temporal variations of water resources. Measures for managing these challenges will reduce the vulnerability to climate change



REFERENCES

- Andersson, L., Wilk, J., Todd, M.C., Hughes, D.A., Earle, A., Kniveton, D., Layberry, R. & Savenije, H.H.G. 2006. Impact of climate change and development scenarios on flow patterns in the Okavango River. *Journal of Hydrology*, 331: 43-57.
- Arnell, N.W. 2004. Climate change and global water resources: SRES emissions and socio-economic scenarios. *Global Environmental Change*, 14: 31-52.
- Bates, B.C., Kundzewicz, Z.W., Wu S. & Palutikof J.P. (eds.). 2008: Climate change and water. Technical Paper of the Inter-governmental Panel on Climate Change, IPCC Secretariat, Geneva, (pp.210).
- Boko, M., Niang, I., Nyong, A., Vogel, C., Githeko, A., Medany, M., Osman-Elasha, B., Tabo, R. & Yanda, P. 2007: Africa. 'Climate change 2007: impacts, adaptation and vulnerability'. In Parry, M.L., Canziani, O.F., Palutikof, J.P., van der Linden P.J. & Hanson, C.E. (eds.). *Contribution of Working Group II to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*, (pp.433-467) Cambridge UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Christensen, J.H., Hewitson, B. A., Busuioc, A., Chen, X., Gao, I., Held, R., Jones, R.K., Kolli, W.T., Kwon, R., Laprise, V., Magaña Rueda, L., Mearns, C.G., Menéndez, J., Räisänen, A., Rinke, A., Sarr A. & Whetton, P. 2007: 'Regional climate projections'. In: Solomon, S., Qin, D., Manning, M., Chen, Z., Marquis, M., Averyt, K.B., Tignor M. & Miller H.L. (eds.). *Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA: Cambridge University Press.
- Conway, D., Persechino, A., Ardoin-Bardin, S., Hamandawana, H., Deulin, C. & Mahe, G. 2008. Rainfall and water resources variability in sub-Saharan Africa during the 20th century. Working Paper 119, Tyndall Centre for Climate Change Research, University of East Anglia, United Kingdom.
- De Wit, M. & Stankiewicz, J. 2006. Changes in surface water supply across Africa with predicted climate change. *Science*, 311: 1917-1921.
- Du Pisani, P.L. 2006. Direct reclamation of potable water at Windhoek's Goreangab reclamation plant. *Desalination*, 188: 79-88.
- Gumbo, B. 2004. The status of water demand management in selected cities of southern Africa. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth*, 29: 1225-1231.
- Heyns, P. 2003. 'Water resources management in southern Africa'. In Nakayama, M. (ed) *International waters in southern Africa. Water Resources Management and Policy Series*. United Nations University Press.

Huq, S., Rahman, A., Konate, M., Sokona, Y. & Reid, H. 2003. Mainstreaming adaptation to climate change in least developed countries (LDC). International Institute for Environment and Development, London (<http://www.iied.org>).

Jensen, J.R. 2010. Paper presented at The Poverty Reduction, Equity, and Growth Network (PEGNet) Conference 2010: *Policies to foster and sustain equitable development in times of crises*, held at the Development Bank of Southern Africa, Midrand, South Africa, 2-3 September 2010. GTZ, SADB

IPCC, 2000. IPCC Special report. Emission Scenarios. Summary for Policymakers. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, WMO/UNEP.

Kundzewicz, Z.W., Mata, L.J., Arnell, N.W., Döll, P., Kabat, P., Jiménez, B., Miller, K.A., Oki, T., Sen Z. & Shiklomanov, I.A. 2007: 'Freshwater resources and their management'. In M.L. Parry., Canziani, O.F., Palutikof, J.P., van der Linden P.J. & Hanson, C.E. (eds.). *Climate Change 2007: impacts, adaptation and vulnerability. Contribution of Working Group II to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.* (pp.173-210). Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.

Makurira, H. 2010. Water productivity in rainfed agriculture: Redrawing the rainbow of water to achieve food security in rainfed smallholder systems. PhD Thesis. Leiden. The Netherlands: CRC Press/Balk3(C)JE:a

Kaknas, UG 20079.Docuental4(tion tf w)10(esena)10(c)hon Climate change ind vater tn rsuthern Africa, edortespacd sor Phe DWater pFonrum.



Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA: Cambridge University Press.

Mendelsohn, J., Jarvis, A., Roberts, C. & Robertson, T. 2002. *Atlas of Namibia: A portrait of the land and its people.* Cape Town: David Philip Publishers.

Mkoga, Z.J., Tumbo, S.D., Kihupi, N., Semoka, J. 2010. Extrapolating effects of conservation tillage on yield, soil moisture and dry spell mitigation using simulation modelling. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth* 35: 13–14, 686–698.

Morin, E., Grodek, T., Dahan, O., Benito, G., Kulls, C., Jacoby, Y., van Langenhove, G., Seely, M. & Enzel, Y. 2009. Flood routing and alluvial aquifer recharge along the ephemeral arid Kuiseb River, Namibia. *Journal of Hydrology*, 368: 262–275.

Mukheibir, P. & Sparks, D. 2005. Climate variability, climate change and water resources strategies for small municipalities. WRC Project K5/1500. Report to the Water Research Commission, Pretoria, (p.43).

Munodowafa, A. & Zhou, N. 2008. Improved water utilization in maize production through conservation tillage systems in semi-arid Zimbabwe. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth*, 33: 757-761.

Mupangwa, S., Twomlow, S. & Walker, S. 2008. The influence of conservation tillage methods on soil water regime in semi-arid southern Zimbabwe. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth*, 33: 762-767.

Murray-Hudson, M., Wolski, P. & Ringrose, P. 2006. Scenarios of the impact of local and upstream changes in climate and water use on hydro-ecology in the Okavango Delta, Botswana. *Journal of Hydrology*, 331: 73-84.

Mwenge Kahinda, J., Taigbenu, A.E. & Boroto, R.J. 2010. Domestic rainwater harvesting as an adaptation measure to climate change in South Africa. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth, Parts A/B/C*, 35:13-14, 742-751

New, M. 2002. Climate change and water resources in the southwestern Cape, South Africa. *South African Journal of Science*, 98: 1-8.

Randall, D.A., Wood, R.A., Bony, S., Colman, R., Fichet, T., Fyfe, J., Kattsov, V., Pitman A., Shukla, J., Srinivasan, J., Stouffer, R.J., Sumi A. & Taylor, K.E. 2007: 'Climate models and their evaluation'. In Solomon, S., Qin, D., Manning, M., Chen, Z., Marquis, M., Averyt, K.B., Tignor, M & Miller, H.L. (eds.). *Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.* Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA: Cambridge University Press.

Raskin, P., Hansen, E. & Margolis, R. 1995. *Water and sustainability: A global outlook*. Stockholm Environment Institute. Stockholm.

SADC 2006. *Southern African Development Community Regional Water Policy*. Infrastructure and Services Directorate, SADC Secretariat, Gaborone, Botswana.

Schulze, R.E. 1997. *South African atlas of agrohydrology and climatology*. Water Research Commission, Pretoria, Report TT82/96.

Schulze, R., Meigh, J. & Horan, M. 2001. Present and potential future vulnerability of eastern and southern Africa's hydrology and water resources. *South African Journal of Science*, 97: 150-2001.

Sturm, M., Zimmermann, M., Schutz, K., Urban, W. & Hartung, H. 2009. Rainwater harvesting as an alternative water resource in rural sites in central northern Namibia. *Physics and Chemistry of the Earth*, 34: 776-785.

Van Beek, E., Hellmuth, M., Schulze, R & Stakhiv, E. 2002. 'Coping with climate variability and climate change in water resources'. In Kabat, P., Schulze, R.E., Hellmuth, M.E. & Veraart, J.A. (eds). *Impacts of climate variability and climate change in water management. A scoping paper*. DWC-Report no. DWCSSO-01(2002). International Secretariat of the Dialogue on Water and Climate, Wageningen.

Xu, Y. & Braune, E. 2010. 'Groundwater resources in Africa'. In Xu, Y. & Braune, E (eds). *Sustainable groundwater resources in Africa: Water supply and sanitation environment*. UNESCO Paris and CRC Press/Balkema: Taylor & Francis Group.



KNOWLEDGE QUESTIONS ASSOCIATED WITH THE PUBLIC HEALTH AND CLIMATE CHANGE RELATION: SOME IMPLICATIONS FOR UNIVERSITIES IN SOUTHERN AFRICA

Heila Lotz-Sisitka¹

ABSTRACT

This paper, commissioned by SARUA to assist universities in southern Africa in deliberating the potential implications of climate change for university education, research and community engagement in southern Africa, is introduced with a consideration of the nature of the relationship that exists between climate change and public health. It surveys some of the literature on public health and climate change but not to provide *the* definitive analysis of the issue, as this has already been done by different authors who are specialists in the field of climate change and public health; see for example the paper, in a southern African context, by Dube and Chimbari (2009). What is of interest here, especially to the universities, is the *kind of knowledge questions and types of analysis* that are being debated and engaged with by researcher's i.e. different types of knowledge questions and associated knowledge-related issues and how they are engaged and analysed. These knowledge questions reveal the need for a mainstreaming approach to environmental and sustainability issues. The paper makes reference to some of the lessons learned in the Mainstreaming Environment and Sustainability in African (MESA) Universities Programme² initiated in 2004 by the United Nations Environment Programme in partnership with the African Association of Universities, the SADC Regional Environmental Education Programme and UNESCO. But most substantively, the paper raises questions about the epistemological consequences of engaging with complex issues such as climate change and public health in universities from a research, teaching and community engagement perspective. It also notes a neglect of public health and climate change related knowledge production and engagement in southern Africa.

¹ Prof Heila Lotz-Sisitka is the Murray & Roberts Chair of Environmental Education and Sustainability, Rhodes University, South Africa. Email: h.lotz-sisitka@ru.ac.za.

² See www.unep.org/training for more information on the MESA Universities Programme.



INTRODUCTION

The 2007 IPCC report identifies three themes: health, water and food security as being of most significance to climate change discussions in a southern African context (Dube & Chimbari 2009), also refer to this volume of SARUA. Climate change impacts on the above three areas are seen to be a threat to sustainable development in the region, and can reverse important development gains. As such they require urgent attention from governments, universities, the regional and the international community, and also the citizens in the region. While it is relatively easy to identify these as critical issues, it is more complex to fully understand the *nature of the issues, and what they mean for universities*. The statement below introduces the discussion in this paper and reveals some of the dynamics of the issue of climate change and public health:

The potential consequences of climate change extend to the health of the public, with the warming of the planet projected to have both positive and negative consequences that will vary temporally and spatially. Climate change will not act to introduce new causes of morbidity and mortality, but to change the distributions of factors that affect the occurrence of morbidity and mortality. The time frames over which health consequences of climate change are anticipated to be manifest are sufficiently slow to allow adaptive measures to come into play that may modulate the occurrence of these effects. (Samet 2009: 1)

This statement introduces a number of important dynamics to a discussion on climate change and public health, notably 1) what the exact nature of the public health-climate change relation might be; 2) what the impacts of the public health-climate change relation might be; 3) when they may be expected; and 4) what might be done about it. Educational institutions, particularly universities and institutions of higher learning have a role to play in all of the above dimensions of engaging with the question of public health and climate change in a southern African context, not only to help society to understand the exact nature of the public health-climate change relation and potential impacts, but also to support societies to prepare for, and to develop the competence necessary for implementing adaptive measures that could 'modulate' the effects of climate change on public health. I discuss these aspects in greater detail below.

THE NATURE OF THE PUBLIC HEALTH-CLIMATE CHANGE RELATION

Much has already been said about climate change not only globally, but also in relation to the African continent. Since 2006 climate change has become a major public issue. It has also been described as a major development issue (UNDP 2010), while some authors (Giddens 2009; Toulmin 2009) describe it as one of *the most significant* development issues of our time, because not only is climate change an environment and development issue, it is also an issue tied closely to the geopolitics of energy, the resource flows and the control of international resources. The public nature of the issue has increased apace prior to, and since the release of the results of the International Panel on Climate Change's 4th Assessment Report (IPCC 2007) which definitively stated that climate change has anthropogenic causes, with unknown and unpredictable effects.




Discourses surrounding climate change, and the scientific evidence associated with climate change all point to a few important dynamics that are of interest to southern African universities:

- Climate change has different impacts in different geographical spaces. Refined sub-regional assessments are required to fully grasp the implications of climate change. It is noted in various places and by various authors that Africa currently lacks the scope of scientific expertise to provide the fine scaled analyses necessary to fully understand the full impacts of climate change at sub-regional scales (UNEP 2006; Toulmin 2009; Byass 2009). Byass (2009:173) for example states that 'Despite a growing awareness of Africans' vulnerability to climate change, there is relatively little empirical evidence about the effects of climate on population health in Africa'.
- Climate change will adversely affect those communities and societies that are already vulnerable to extreme weather conditions and unpredictable risk. Southern Africa, already heavily impacted by poverty, HIV/AIDS and other associated conditions such as unemployment, increased vulnerability etc. has been identified as one of the regions most at risk from the impacts of climate change (UNEP 2006; IPCC 2007). As stated by Eriksen et al. (2008: 5) 'Africa as a whole is considered to be among the most vulnerable regions to climate change due in part to a lack of financial, institutional and technological capacity; efforts are being made to find substantively reasonable and effective 'entry points' for reducing vulnerability to climate change in Africa.
- Climate change makes global interconnectedness more evident. Dealing with climate change is a global social justice issue, it affects economies and development policies, and it requires fundamental changes in production systems, energy supply and human behaviour. It is also highly politicised and is a complex 'meta' issue affecting all aspects of human endeavour.
- Climate change issues, while requiring specific attention from scientists, policy makers and the public, cannot easily be disconnected from other conditions, histories and contextual factors that shape society, economies, ecologies and geo-politics. As Ho man (2007: 7) states 'Global warming needs a response that isn't only at the level of managing an environmental problem to ensure the planet is just about liveable on in the years to come – it needs one that addresses the essential unfreedom, suffering and misery within the present global system'.

Located within this broader framework of understanding climate change, is a gradual realisation of . The main contours of

the discussion on *climate change and public health* in Africa is as follows:

- Despite increased media coverage about Africa's vulnerability to climate change, detailed scientific evidence of public health related impacts remains scanty (Dube & Chimbari 2009; Byass 2009; Eriksen et al. 2008; Conway 2009; Toulmin 2009).
- Existing scientific work primarily looks at the effects of climate on specific diseases, without making clear connections to overall changes in population health. For example information that indicates that sub-Saharan Africa has conditions that are conducive for disease transmitting vectors and pathogens indicates that schistosomiasis is primarily a problem of Africa; Soil transmitted helminths (STH) follows the same distribution pattern, and Africa leads the world with respect to malaria suitable areas, see Figure 1 below (Dube & Chimbari 2009).



- Climate change impacts on human health in Africa will be both , i.e. through temperature extremes, insufficient rainfall, physical impacts of floods but also through impacts on climate sensitive diseases, natural systems, agriculture, human infrastructure and the economy in general (Dube & Chimbari 2009).
- Major issues associated with public health and climate change are seen to be related to the interaction between HIV and rain-fed food production capacity; impact of drought on child nutrition; disease impact of floods; changing patterns of malaria transmission; and the impact of natural disasters. It is acknowledged by Ramin and McMichael (2009) that there is as yet not enough scientific evidence for these projected scenarios. The problems of 'not enough evidence' are also debated by scientists, philosophers and sociologists such as Glazebrook (2010), Giddens (2009) and Beck (2009) who indicate that *uncertainty* is, in fact, a characterising feature of the sciences (particularly climate sciences) and that to wait for significant accurate evidence before acting on best available knowledge may be waiting too long, with potentially dire consequences. They propose engagement with best available knowledge, a risk epistemology and reflexive justice practices (Fraser 2008) such as implementing the precautionary principle instead, noting that this may be a more ethical and a more practical way forward for a context such as Africa, where achieving the perfect state of 'enough scientific evidence' before acting may simply not be possible. Waiting for such a state may therefore also be irresponsible.
- Estimates are that the highest regional burden of climate change is likely to be borne by sub-Saharan Africa, with 34% of the global disability adjusted life years (DALY) attributable to climate change (Costello *et al.* 2009). Since sub-Saharan Africa only contains 11% of the world's population, this reflects a three-fold population-based risk for adverse effects of climate change compared with the global population (*ibid*). This provides further insight into why climate change is a social justice problem. Giddens (2009) and Hoffman (2007) and other authors comment on the complex geopolitical relations that exist in, and for, the resolution of climate change issues, noting that how this social justice issue is addressed (or is not) is likely to define global geo-politics over the next few decades.
- Climate change research related to food security also indicates the highest potential impacts in sub-Saharan Africa, but much of this research does not consider the public health impacts of increased food insecurity (Havensvik 2007; Byass 2009; UNEP, 2006).
- Some researchers point to the relationship between water- and food-borne diseases and climate change, noting that warming is likely to increase the incidence of such diseases. Food-borne diseases such as *Salmonella* and *Camoylobacter* are likely to increase with warming temperatures,



and warming temperatures may also cause increases in the prevalence and geographic range of the *Vibrio* bacteria in shellfish (Weber 2010). *Vibrio cholera* infection is an important cause of death in developing countries, and it is likely to rise sharply with global climate change (Greer *et al.* 2008). All of these are likely to impact more in developing countries, where health risk management and health services are less able to support increased resilience and adaptation measures (Weber 2010).

- The spread of malaria is widely documented as a potential public health risk related to climate change. Detailed climatic analyses indicate that patterns of malaria transmission in Africa may well change as a result of climate changes (Transfer *et al.* 2003), but current assessments of this issue indicate that there is a need to extend climate modelling into vector dynamics modelling to produce more accurate perspectives on this issue (Pascual *et al.* 2006, cited in Byass 2009).
- The dominance of malaria in the literature linking climate change to health in Africa may lead to a supposition that this is the major issue, but other effects which remain largely under-researched and undocumented may have greater impact as time passes (Byass 2009).
- Climate change impacts on human health in Africa are closely associated with the current poor health status of Africa (UNDP 2010); the prevalence of climate sensitive diseases (see figure 1 above), combined with the widespread diseases of the poor (as classified by the World Health Organisation 2008), and the growth of non-communicable diseases, clearly shows that the health sector in Africa will be highly vulnerable to the added stress of climate change. Dube and Chimbari (2009: 16) argue therefore, that

HOW PUBLIC HEALTH AND CLIMATE CHANGE ARE BEING ANALYSED

With this as background, I now briefly consider some of the ways in which the public health and climate change relation is being analysed across the available body of literature³, with specific reference to southern African perspectives - where these are available⁴. This provides insight into the

Researchers engaging this question are approaching it from a variety of vantage points, each providing either further insight or different angles on the question of how climate change affects public health.

Given a paucity of data and sophisticated studies linking climate change and public health impacts, most research into the effects of climate change on public health follows a process of making retrospective connections between meteorological data and health outcomes (Byass 2009). Byass (2009: 175) notes that 'population groups cannot be randomised to different kinds of natural climate change, and hence drawing causal inferences between observed climatic variations and disease patterns is difficult to achieve with certainty'. He goes on to recommend scientific studies that are located where longitudinal population surveillance studies are already in place, as 'it is usually too late to collect health outcome data once a particular climate phenomenon has been observed'. He recommends routine collection of meteorological data in such sites, and regular geo-referencing of population health data in national

³ Note that this analysis is not definitive, it is more indicative in nature, to provide insight into the nature of the knowledge question provided by the climate change-public health relation. Byass (2009) also notes that there is very little literature that analyses this relationship, indicating that it is an under-researched area of public health, particularly in an African context.

⁴ Only one substantive synthesis study on climate change and public health in southern Africa was found during the literature review (Dube & Chimbari 2009). Most other studies were of a broader nature, and tended most often to consider East and Southern Africa together, or to consider the question of public health on a broader continental level (see for example the studies by Eriksen *et al.* 2008; UNEP 2006; Conway *et al.* 2009).



and in regional studies. This importantly draws attention to the need for - involving meteorology and health.

Climate science is an area of scientific endeavour that appears to be particularly closely associated with predictive modelling, due to its close links to climatology. Conway (2009), commenting on the status of climate science in Africa, notes, however, that 'despite considerable progress in African meteorological science, we are still not confident about the major climate trends at either the continental level or for individual countries ... Part of the problem is that the Global Climate Models (GCMs) used to simulate the regional patterns of climate change are relatively crude' (p.6). He goes on to explain that such predictive modelling processes work 'to a horizontal spatial resolution of several hundred kilometres'. Consequently they do not take full account of local topographic, vegetation or land use diversity of the landscape all of which have implications for the way in which public health risks are constituted and assessed. He recommends strategies for 'downscaling' through adapting the GCM to specific regions using smaller resolution climate models, of which he cites the PRECIS model as being an example. He argues further that such models are valuable tools for understanding local climate dynamics and may therefore also be more useful for predictions and modelling of climate change and public health relations. Such models also have their problems, and alternative approaches such as 'empirical downscaling' can also be used, but the use of such models is hampered by the paucity of accurate longitudinal data. In the final analysis therefore it is both inadequate technology and inadequacy on the ground systems for research that hamper accurate and substantive predictive modelling of climate change – public health relations.

Despite this, Conway (2009) notes that some level of certainty does exist, namely that large scale predictions of warming and drying are evident for Africa, even though the local climate information is not easy to produce or to find. are therefore necessary if more accurate understandings of the climate change-public health relation are to be developed with useful information for local climatic condition based policy and practice responses. This has other implications, particularly for epistemology and pedagogy. Predictive modelling introduces a futures oriented science into universities and new ways of thinking about knowledge, i.e. where past experience and data is used to predict and stage risk, for risk management and reduction. Such an epistemology cannot function if the dominant pedagogy is 'transfer pedagogy' working of existing bodies of knowledge. Thus more open-ended ways of thinking about knowledge and the work that it does in society are needed. Anticipatory learning becomes a critical new form of pedagogy, based on a risk epistemology, rather than a certainty epistemology. Consequently, the nature of science begins to change, as does the process of learning.

Researchers are increasingly realising that climate change and public health cannot be analysed as discrete items, even though the kind of predictive scientific work, and scientific overlay (of health sciences and climate sciences) described above, is necessary to get a more accurate picture of the relation that does exist between public health and climate change. Scientists are seeking to analyse and document *wider relations that exist* between climate change, public health and other stressors (e.g.



poverty). As argued by Eriksen et al. (2008: 9) 'People do not experience climate change in an isolated or discrete manner. Many other changes are occurring simultaneously, creating multiple sources of human insecurity. The spread of infectious diseases (e.g. malaria, tuberculosis and HIV/AIDS) is occurring alongside trade liberalisation, water reforms, political or social conflict'. What such scientists are raising, is *responsive capacity*, and the interrelated nature of complex issues such as climate change and public health. They argue that 'it is necessary to consider how processes interact to influence exposure to climate change and the capacity to cope with or adapt to climate variability and long term changes' (ibid). They talk about researching and understanding 'cascading stressors and cumulative effects' and systems perspectives which involve understanding processes such as 'path dependency', 'the spiral of poverty'; or 'chronic vulnerability' (ibid). Researchers such as Parham and Michael (2010) are developing process based mathematical modelling techniques to assess aspects of vulnerability related to climate change and public health (in this case vulnerability to malaria transmission).

The underlying argument with this kind of analysis is that unless there is a holistic understanding of the complex relationships that exist between issues such as climate change, public health and other factors that exacerbate vulnerability to the effects of these issues, a will not be possible. Such a framework, they argue needs to be 'anticipatory and rapid, empowering and enabling individuals, communities and regions to cope with and adapt to the risks associated with climate change' (p.20). They suggest three measures with which to address climate change issues: measures to target the risks posed by climate change to poverty; measures to strengthen the capacity to cope and adapt to climate stress; and measures that address the causes of vulnerability such as poor health facilities (amongst others). They suggest measures such as the following to address public health and climate change (see Table 1):

Table 1: Measures to address climate change and public health

Measures targeting the risk posed by climate change.	Measures enhancing the capacity to cope and adapt.	Measures addressing the causes of vulnerability.
Plan for climate variability for water supply and sanitation.	Strengthen school feeding during drought. Restoration of infrastructure after floods. Reduce cost of health services. Promote links between indigenous / formal knowledge to respond to health risks. Support implementation of practices that can address some of the risks (eg. Use of mosquito nets, boiling water for drinking etc.).	Improve health infrastructure and capacity to deal with shocks and climate change related illnesses. Enhance local education at community level to provide relevant anticipatory measures to avoid risks. Enhance water supply and sanitation services.



Another type of study that is evident in the public health – climate change research arena is a range of studies focussing on the geo-politics, social justice and philosophical aspects of public health related issues that arise from climate change (Giddens 2009; Glazebrook 2010; Irwin 2010; Toulmin 2009; Maibach *et al.* 2010; Worth 2009). Such studies point to the manner in which climate change impacts most on those who have been least responsible for the causes. As Irwin (2010: 6) states ‘When we talk about the human responsibility for climate change, we are entering the realm of the philosophical’. Principally these discussions are focussed on ethics, responsibility, social justice and geo-politics. They raise fundamental questions about the state of the current world order, the manner in which justice is administered, and how humanity is to deal with a global issue within a justice system that is located within a nation state framework.

Ultimately these discussions ask questions about responsibility for the devastation; and for the loss of human lives; and for the increase of public health risks, mostly in developing countries. In a recent article entitled ‘Power Politics’ Jennifer Worth (2009: 3), writes that climate injustice involves ‘a triple injustice’: Firstly, climate change affects the poorest first and the worst. Secondly, those that are most affected did not cause climate change, and are powerless to stop it. Thirdly, the polluters are not paying. Worth further reports that there appears to be little real political emphasis on resolving the problems, noting the differences in expenditure on bailing out the banks, and supporting poor people to cope with climate change risks. Worth’s (2009) paper points to a failure of the Westphalian state system and its associated interstate mechanisms (such as the UN and the World Bank) to adequately address climate injustices, and points out the problem of *incommensurability*, which is also raised by Sunita Narain (2009: 11), an environmental scientist and activist who notes: ‘There is a fundamental difference between the rich and poor’s response to climate change. The environmental movements of the rich world emerged after periods of wealth creation and during their period of waste generation. So they argued for containment of the waste, but did not have the ability to argue for the reinvention of the paradigm of waste generation itself ...’ It is ironic that, despite the science telling us that drastic reductions are needed, no country is talking about limiting its people’s consumption.

Yet efficiency is meaningless without sufficiency.’ Anthony Giddens (2009) too, in his latest book on ‘*The politics of climate change*’ raises similar issues noting that all governments face deep dilemmas in reconciling climate change and energy policy with sustaining popular support, especially in times of economic difficulty. This relegates the social justice issues associated with climate change and public health consequences to issues of ‘ethical concern’ to be addressed *if global ethical principles* prevail. He points to the very real risk of this not happening in current conditions, and with it a re-structuring of the geo-politics of the planet.

In a similar vein, Nancy Fraser (2008), a social justice theorist, argues that global problems such as climate change are fundamentally changing concepts of justice, which hitherto have been framed primarily by the Westphalian state system, and what Benhabib (2006: 2) refers to as the ‘positive law’ of the nation state. She argues that claims of redistributive justice bounded within state-level justice systems are no longer adequate for dealing with the complex nature of social justice issues such as climate change.



Consequently, the Westphalian justice system is now in dispute as social movements across the globe coalesce in their critiques of transborder injustices. Fraser (2008: 2) argues that such justice claims are also increasingly 'mapped in competing geographical scales ... for example, when claims on behalf of 'the global poor' are pitted against the claims of citizens of bounded polities'. Her argument is that 'the challenges posed in the present epoch are truly radical' (2008: 2), thus radically new conceptions of justice are required, since without this what will be left is a 'spectre of *incommensurability*' (2008: 3). Fraser proposes a way forward out of this impasse with the idea of *reflexive justice*. She (2008: 73) states that:

The idea of reflexive justice is well suited to the present context of abnormal discourse. In this context, disputes about the 'what', the 'who', and the 'how' are unlikely to be settled soon. Thus, it makes sense to regard these three nodes of abnormality as persistent features of justice discourse for the foreseeable future. On the other hand, given the magnitude of first-order injustice in today's world, the worst conceivable response would be to treat ongoing meta-disputes as a license for paralysis. Thus, it is imperative not to allow discursive abnormalities to defer or dissipate efforts to remedy justice.

She proposes that reflexive justice should *at the same time*, entertain and strengthen urgent claims on behalf of the disadvantaged, '... while also parsing the meta-disagreements that are interlaced with them. Because these two levels are inextricably entangled in abnormal times, reflexive justice theorising cannot ignore either one of them' (ibid: 73). This has implications for the way in which universities engage with the social justice aspects of climate change and public health i.e. through facilitating global discourse, assessment and analysis, while addressing issues and risks practically at local level.

Given the potentially life threatening implications of the public health – climate change relation, it is not surprising that a range of educational studies are also emerging to probe the issue. Some contributions in this genre of research point to 'practical strategies for educating people' see for example Filho *et al.* (2010). Other contributions are more substantive in pointing to ways in which education can strengthen climate change responses. For example, Gonzalez-Gaudio (2010) critically analyses major contemporary approaches to climate change education and communication and notes that providing information and orienting people to new technologies is not enough. He argues that the media is responsible for reproducing what he calls 'facile interpretations' of the damages caused by climate change, presenting a 'case - by - case facade of containable calamities' (cited in Irwin 2010: 15). His critique is for more critically engaged approaches that address the underlying causes of climate change namely, the pollution production of the consumer classes. Only through addressing these issues, he argues, will public health issues in developing countries eventually be addressed. His argument is therefore towards socially critical approaches to climate change and public health education.

Lotz-Sisitka (2009) in arguing for social justice orientations to climate change education, argues further for educational approaches that strengthen agency for change, and that develop people's reflexive capabilities (i.e. abilities to make choices, to discover and to develop alternatives and new practices), and practices of reflexive justice (after Fraser 2008). In another paper by Lotz-Sisitka and Le Grange (2010), an argument is made for broadening the epistemology of teaching, from one of certainty, to



one which embraces the possibility for risk negotiation as a reflexive social change process. UNESCO (2009), in a policy dialogue paper on climate change education, argues that climate change education



KNOWLEDGE QUESTIONS ASSOCIATED WITH CLIMATE CHANGE AND PUBLIC HEALTH ISSUES IN SOUTHERN AFRICAN UNIVERSITIES

The evidence presented above shows a range of different analytical orientations and knowledge questions relevant to the question of climate change and public health. This evidence indicates that it is impossible to delineate the issue within the traditional disciplinary boundaries that characterise most universities. The issue needs to be dealt with in Faculties of Medicine and Health Sciences; but it equally needs to be dealt with in Politics Departments, Education Faculties; Environmental Science Departments and Sociology, Philosophy and Politics Departments. In fact, the issue *cannot be analysed without cross-disciplinary or transdisciplinary approaches*. The discussion above has also pointed out that the issue is not only an issue of knowledge production, but also of how knowledge is applied in the world, i.e. how we work with knowledge of climate change and public health in education, and also in community outreach or community engagement activities. Most significantly, the discussion above points out that if the knowledge question is to move beyond Aristotle's *episteme* (i.e. producing knowledge about the issue) there will be a need for engaging the issues in or through multi-disciplinary or transdisciplinary research, teaching and community engagement strategies in universities. The Mainstreaming Environment and Sustainability in African Universities Programme (UNEP 2008) has shown that addressing environment and sustainability issues such as the one that is the subject of this paper requires a *mainstreaming approach* to ensure that knowledge questions such as the one focussing on climate change and public health, are adequately addressed. Mainstreaming as used here does not mean 'pushing a focus into the university system in a top-down manner', but rather engaging with knowledge questions in the fullest way possible through the range of possibilities that exist for doing so in a university environment. For example, it is possible to develop an understanding of the issue through a comprehensive, multi-disciplinary or transdisciplinary research programme, while changing teaching practices to embrace new forms of epistemology (as noted above), and to engage such understandings in community contexts through working with communities to develop responsive and reflexive agency.

At the heart of this, however, is a question of how we view knowledge in universities. The history of universities has led us to believe that knowledge in universities should be 'universal' and that it should be dislocated from community knowledge contexts. Andersen and Kaspersen (2000: 497) describe the foundations of this assumption as follows: 'The Greek word *theoria* means 'disinterested view'. In Antiquity, this form of (passive) activity was reserved for philosophers. The ability to see was an act of appropriation. Its practitioners – who were always men – were required to isolate themselves from the active life of society ... Theoretical comprehension resulted in *episteme* – true understanding.' They go on to explain that in a contemporary society, passive or objective 'observation' has given way to a need for productive comprehension (*techne*), and most modern scientific work combines Aristotle's *episteme* and *techne*, as is evident in the discussion above on the types of analyses and knowledge questions being addressed in the arena of climate change and public health. Anderson and Bo Kaspersen (2000) point out, that experiment-based epistemological models have become dominant in universities. Gough and Scott (2007), working in the area of Higher Education and Sustainable Development, indicate that this form of epistemological dominance juxtaposed with the nature of sustainable development knowledge questions (such as the public health-climate change question discussed above) creates a paradox for universities. This paradox lies in whether universities need to 'keep their distance' from



society, or whether universities ought to 'get engaged' in more substantive ways in resolving the issues affecting their societies. This issue was also debated in the MESA programme, and is being engaged through various practical initiatives that are changing teaching, research and pedagogical practices in the universities involved in this network. The MESA network engages with university professors and lecturers, from a range of disciplines, to consider how environment and sustainability issues can and ought to be addressed in universities (UNEP 2008). Lessons from this network indicate that such issues require engagement across the university disciplinary framework (as already indicated in this discussion), but that they also require engagement across all university functions – teaching, research and community engagement. Most significantly, however, the MESA programme is pointing towards the need to engage differently with knowledge in universities, and to question whether the experiment-based epistemological models established under modernity and the rise of colonial university in Africa are, in fact the most useful epistemological models for universities in Africa today.

As pointed out by MESA professors UNEP (2008), Scott and Gough (2007), Wals (2007), Lotz-Sisitka and Le Grange (2010) and others, learning our way towards a new sustainable development paradigm cannot be done in the same old ways that led to the problems that we are currently trying to address. New epistemological frameworks need to be deliberated, particularly the implications of how to deal with uncertainty and risk as epistemologies in universities. As mentioned above, this has implications for pedagogy and the way in which community engagement is considered, particularly if community engagement is not just about transferring messages to communities, but rather about working with communities to engage their agency for change, resilience and adaptation in the face of complex risks that affect their quality of life, well being and ability to cope with adversity and increased vulnerability.

CONCLUSION

In this paper I have highlighted the nature of the climate change public health relation, and how it is eventually being understood through various forms of analysis. This shows that different types of knowledge questions are emerging, and a range of disciplines and types of studies are necessary to develop a fuller understanding of this issue, all of which has implications for both the knowledge production project, and the teaching project in universities. However, at the centre of the analysis is a deeper question: a question of how knowledge is viewed and approached in universities. I have drawn attention to the need for a questioning of epistemologies based on assumptions of attaining certainty. In his discussion on knowledge Aristotle raises the possibility that knowledge is also a matter of *phronesis*, which is a form of knowledge that relates to humans' ability to form moral judgements and to make correct decisions. As Anderson and Bo Kaspersen (2000: 498) indicate, 'This type of decision making has consequences for the surrounding environment. It is instrumental in either promoting or hindering the emergence of the good life ... *Phronesis* is dependent on the situation: it involves the ability to determine which conditions apply in a given situation and then acting in accordance with them.'

Given the nature of the public health-climate change relation, such an epistemology may become more and more necessary in our societies, particularly if we are to 1) understand the issues we are dealing with at a level where actions are both feasible and possible, and 2) if re-examine justice, as proposed by Fraser (2008), is to be enacted while we wait for global geo-politics to re-organise itself. The additional challenge brought to us by issues such as the climate change – public health relation, as also noted by



Beck (1992, 1999, 2007), in his work on a global risk society, is that such praxis oriented epistemological frames also have to engage with questions of uncertainty and risk. This challenges universities to engage with the meaning(s) of dealing with epistemologies of uncertainty or risk. *How do we teach students about the uncertainties of climate change and public health relations in a southern African context?* If knowledge of such issues is complex and uncertain, what are the consequences for pedagogical practices in universities, and what are the consequences for the type of research that is or can be done? Is research to be increasingly predictive, model and scenario oriented? If so, what does this suggest for university pedagogy and how we work with knowledge questions in our institutions of learning? And is community engagement to continue as primarily a *response to* current conditions, or can community engagement be oriented towards *predictive adaptation practices* that can be preventative of risk, or at the very least moderate or reduce risk of climate change related public health risks? What are the consequences for community engagement epistemologies and practices? These are the questions that this paper leaves with universities, and their Vice Chancellors, participating in the SARUA dialogue on climate change and development in southern Africa.

And finally, it is worth being reminded of the issues raised by Byass (2009) in his commentary on the status of climate change and public health research in Africa when he notes explicitly that 'cross disciplinary work (between climatology and health in this instance) is not common in Africa *and needs to be actively promoted*' (my emphasis, p.175). Byass (2009) argues further that there is a compelling case (located in projected impacts of climate change on public health in Africa) that such research should be promoted, upscaled and extended in African universities in much larger quantities than heretofore, (p.175). The neglect of the public health –climate change relation, in much of the climate change and public health research (respectively) in southern Africa, is also a serious issue that needs to be confronted, as noted by Dube and Chimbari in their meta-analysis. An added challenge is that the issue is complex and it requires in-depth engagement from within and across a range of disciplinary frameworks. It also requires a transformation in teaching epistemologies, away from transfer oriented epistemologies of certainty, to more complex teaching epistemologies that recognise uncertainty and risk. It also requires a re-orientation of community engagement, from models of re-active responsiveness to existing community need and interests, to more pro-active, preventative engagement with potential future risks and development of competences for adaptive management and risk prevention.

REFERENCES

- Andersen, H. & Bo Kaspersen, L. (eds.) 2000. *Classical and Modern Social Theory*. Oxford: Blackwell.
- Bateman, C. 2009. 'Climate change kills at least 300 000 every year'. *South Africa Medical Journal (SAMJ)* (online). 99(1):20-24. Retrieved 9 October 2010 from: <http://www.scielo.org.za/pdf/samj/v99n1/v99n1a08.pdf>
- Beck, U. 1992. *Risk society: Towards a new modernity*. London: SAGE.
- Beck, U. 1999. *World risk society*. Cambridge: Polity.
- Beck, U. 2009. *World at risk*. Cambridge: Polity.
- Benhabib, S. 2006. *Another Cosmopolitanism*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Byass, P. 2009. 'Climate change and population health in Africa: where are the scientists?' *Global Health Action*. (pp.173-176).
- Conway, G. 2009. 'The science of climate change in Africa: impacts and adaptation'. Grantham Institute for Climate Change. Discussion paper No 1. Retrieved 9 October 2010 from: http://workspace.imperial.ac.uk/climatechange/public/pdfs/discussion_papers
- Costello, A., Abbas, M., Allen, A., Ball, S., Bell, S., Bellamy, R. et al., 2009. 'Managing the health effects of climate change'. *Lancet and University College of London Institute for Global Health Commission*. Lancet, 2009. (373):1693-733.
- Desanker, P. V. (Undated). 'Impact of climate change on life in Africa'. Climate Change Program. Retrieved 9 October 2010 from: <http://www.worldwildlife.org/climate/Publications/WWFBinaryitem4926.pdf>
- Dube, O. & Chimbari, M. 2009. 'Documentation of research on climate change and human health in southern Africa'. Research Report produced on behalf of DBL- Centre for Health Research and Development, Faculty of Life Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Denmark. Retrieved 18 October 2010 from: www.sacnet.org/
- Eriksen, S., O'Brien, K. & Rosentrater, L. 2008. 'Climate Change in Eastern and Southern Africa: Impacts, Vulnerability and Adaptation'. Global Environmental Change and Human Security Report, 2008, No. 2. Retrieved 9 October 2010 from: <http://www.gechs.org/downloads/reports/2008-2.pdf>
- Filho, W. L., Pace, P. & Manolas, E. 2010. 'The contribution of education towards meeting the challenges of climate change'. *Journal of Baltic Science Education*, 9(2):142-155.
- Fraser, N. 2008. *Scales of justice: Re-imagining political space in a globalising world*. Cambridge: Polity.



Frumkin, H., Hess, H., Luber, G., Malilay, J. & McGeehin, M. 2008. 'Climate change: The public health response'. *American Journal of Public Health*, 98(3):435-445.

Giddens, A. 2009. *The Politics of Climate Change*. Cambridge. Polity

Glazebrook, T. 2010. 'Myths of climate change: deckchairs and development'. In Irwin, R. (ed) *Climate Change and Philosophy. Transformational Possibilities*. London: Continuum.

Gonzalez-Gaudiano, E. 2010. 'Education against climate change: information and technological focus are not enough'. In Irwin, R. (ed) *Climate Change and Philosophy. Transformational Possibilities*. London: Continuum.

Gough, S. & Scott, W. 2007. *Higher Education and Sustainable Development. Paradox and Possibility*. London: Routledge.

Greer, A., Ng, V. & Fisman, D. 2008. 'Climate change and infectious diseases in North America: The road ahead'. *Canadian Medical Association Journal*, 178(6):715-22

Havnevik, K. 2007. 'The relationship between inequality and climate change'. *News from the Nordic Africa Institute*, 3:8-11.

Ho mann, M. 2007. 'The day after tomorrow: Making progress on climate change'. *Radical Philosophy*, 143:2-7.

Ho mann, M. 2007. 'The day after tomorrow: Making progress on climate change'. *Radical Philosophy*, 143:2-7.

Inter-governmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC). 2007. *Fourth Assessment Report*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Lotz-Sisitka, H.B. & Le Grange, L. 2010. 'Climate change education in a context of risk and vulnerability'. In Irwin, R. (ed) *Climate Change and Philosophy. Transformational Possibilities*. London: Continuum. .

Lotz-Sisitka, H.B. 2009. 'Climate injustice: how should education respond?' In Kugwa, F. & Selby, D. (eds.) *Education and climate change: living and learning in interesting times*. First Edition. 4:71-88. New York/ London: Routledge

Maibach, E. W., Nisbet, M., Baldwin, P., Akerlof, K. & Diao, G. 2010. 'Reframing climate change as a public health issue: an exploratory study of public reactions'. *BMC Public Health*, vol 10 (p.299).

Parham, P. E. & Michael, E. 2010. 'Modelling the Effects of Weather and Climate Change on Malaria Transmission'. *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 118(5):620-626.

Ramin, B.M. & McMichael, A.J. 2009. 'Climate change and health in Sub-Saharan Africa. A case-based perspective'. *EcoHealth* 2009. DOI: 10. 1007/s10393-009-022-4

Samet, J. M. 2009. 'Adapting to climate change: Public Health. An initiative of the climate policy program at RFF (Resources for the future). Retrieved 9 October 2010 from: <http://www.rff.org/rff/documents/RFF-Rpt-Adaptation-Samet.pdf>

UNEP 2008. 'Mainstreaming environment and sustainability in African Universities Partnership'. Phase 1 Report 2008. Kenya: United Nations Environment Programme.

United Nations Development Programme, 2010. Human Development Report. New York: UNDP

United Nations Education, Science and Cultural Organisation (UNESCO). 2009. 'Education for sustainable development and climate change'. *Policy dialogue 4: ESD and sustainable development policy*. Paris: UNESCO.

United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP). 2006. *Africa environment outlook: Our environment our wealth*. London: Earthprint.

Wals, A. (ed) 2007. *Social learning towards a sustainable world: Perspectives, principles, and praxis*. Wageningen: Wageningen Academic Publishers.

Weber, C. J. 2010. 'Update on Global Climate Change'. *Urologic Nursing, January-February 2010*, 30(1):81-84.

Wiley, L. F. 2010. 'Adaptation to the health consequences of climate change as a potential influence on public health law and policy: From preparedness to resilience'. *Widener Law Review*, 15:483-519.

Worth, J. 2009. Power politics. *New Internationalist: Climate Justice Taking the Power Back* 419:4-7.



CLIMATE CHANGE CURRICULUM: IN SEARCH OF CREATIVE DESIGN

*Coleen Vogel*¹

ABSTRACT

A synthesis is provided of some of the trends and responses to integrating climate change concerns into the curriculum, and in the research and collaborative activities of universities - both on campus and in partnership with other stakeholders in society. In the first part of the paper, some of the key themes are probed that have emerged in the wider climate change discourse. The paper then examines two approaches for assessing the implications of climate change, adaptation in particular, for the higher education sector, with specific reference to its mission of teaching, research and collaboration in South Africa and for Africa. These approaches are probed using two frames of reference, namely a 'deeper' and a more 'shallow' assessment. These assessments provide the criteria for examining the roles that can be played by universities in creating awareness, and building capacity in society to mitigate vulnerability and to adapt to climate change. A key part of the paper focuses on some of the wider and 'deeper' conditions necessary for supporting the integration of climate change concerns into the three main missions of the university.²

INTRODUCTION

Climate change³ is one of the most pressing issues of our time. In the international policy arena much activity is underway, for example, the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change that is focusing its attention on, amongst other concerns, obtaining international consensus on reducing greenhouse gas emissions in order to reduce the likelihood of 'dangerous' climate change, currently thought to be a 2^o C increase in temperature. The domain of climate change includes both adaptation and mitigation.⁴

Adaptation, one component of climate change research and teaching, will be the focus of much of this paper. Adaptation is being promoted as a way to reduce the vulnerability and to enhance the resilience of both natural and human systems to expected climate change effects (IPCC 2007) and can include;

1 Coleen Vogel is Previous BMW Professor of Sustainability based at the REVAMP Research Group in the School of Geography, Archaeology and Environmental Studies, Wits University. Email: coleen.vogel@wits.ac.za.

2 Please note: All thoughts expressed in this paper are those of the author and do not necessarily reflect those of the University of the Witwatersrand. Comments and inputs welcome.

3 Here and throughout this document climate change is used as per the IPCC definition that includes both climate variability and climate change.

4 Mitigation is defined in the IPCC as technological change and substitution that reduce inputs and emissions per unit of output.....mitigation means implementing policies to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and enhance sinks. Adaptation refers to initiatives and measures to reduce the vulnerability of natural and human systems against actual or expected climate change effects. Various types exist including anticipatory and reactive, private and public and autonomous and planned.



anticipatory, reactive, private, and public and planned adaptation. Adaptation thus, can be for the shorter term – where efforts are coupled to disaster risk reduction; it can also be planned and aligned to longer-term risk reduction, for example, enhanced and more effective town, service and infrastructural planning; and coupled to ongoing development such as enhanced livelihoods; or it can be geared to future technical adaptations that may be required, such as technological investments – improved irrigation, crop production, links made to elements of a ‘green’ economy, etc.

In addition to these considerations, adaptation options, it is argued, will also be shaped and/or constrained by the development trajectory one ‘signs’ up to. Arguments against the fostering of the silo effect in activity areas, whereby attention is devoted to either adaptation and/or mitigation, are therefore being heard. The quest in some circles is for options that can bring co-benefits to both efforts, e.g., adaptation and mitigation. Here notions of a ‘green economy’ perspective are considered, with a focus on sustainable resource utilisation and resource flows – ecological goods and services (Spencer *et al.* 2010). Efforts to sustainably ensure food, water and energy security are, being propelled with a view to growing the economy without over stressing resources, for example, reducing resource consumption through improved management of resources and through renewable and alternative technologies. Many of these ideas have implications for curriculum development particularly for those disciplines concerned with politics, development, technology including engineering, etc.

In spite of the areas of mitigation, adaptation and co-benefits that can assist in shaping and driving curriculum development for climate change, there are also some broader paradigmatic issues and questions around climate change assessments, that are emerging very strongly in the studies of the science of climate change. Most recently the IAC (Inter Academy Council) reviewed the processes of the IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change) and noted, that issues of assigning certainty in climate change science required more careful consideration, amongst a range of other concerns (e.g., the use of gray literature etc.).

Several ‘human dimension themes’, including the role and influence of values-based assessments, strongly coupled to social sciences endeavours such as values, behaviour and human security, are increasingly feeding into climate assessments. Studies of the practice of doing ‘science’ is also increasing, including considerations of what knowledge is deemed appropriate and how such knowledge(s) intersect the climate change discourse, as also seen in much of Demeritt’s work that calls for more reflexive understandings of science as social practice (Demeritt 2006; O’ Brien 2010). Finding out about – the ‘what counts as scientific knowledge’ and ‘how it comes to count’ and more critically ‘who makes it count’ and ‘who is enabled to or mandated to speak truth to power’ are questions as important as being able to set the limits for acceptable climate risk (Collins 1983:267. cited in Demeritt 2006: 458). As Demeritt (2006) argues, notions of ‘sound science’ that rests on simplistic correspondence between the theory of truth and a crude understanding of empirical data leaves ‘... little room for any trace of the experimental contingency, expert interpretation, or active intervention, manipulation, and creation of scientific phenomena that science studies has shown to be central to scientific knowledge and practice’ (Demeritt 2006: 455).

In spite of the huge contributions to climate change science something ‘extra’ seems to be missing. As Jasano (2010) more recently notes, while assessments such as those of the IPCC have helped



to establish climate change as a global phenomenon, they have in the process possibly detached



science practitioner experience and traditional knowledge. Here blue-sky research is usually deemed as useful and usable scientific knowledge - also see Burns and Weaver's book on *Exploring Sustainability Science – a Southern African Perspective* for other chapters on such themes. What arguably still appears to be missing is that learning process that enables one to go beyond the cognitive or intellectual domain into the 'very centre of one's being' – the domain of wisdom (Peschl 2007). With this as background to broader sustainability issues the attention now turns to focus in on adaptation to climate change

While there are a number of research and capacity building efforts on climate change adaptation such as; Climate Change Adaptation in Africa, CCAA; International Institute for Environment and Development, IIED; and various humanitarian organisations e.g. Oxfam and Save the Children – many of whom already collaborate with various institutions in Africa, in the southern African region and in South Africa, very few of these have been directed at really enhancing existing, 'in-house' institutional capacities to build sustained adaptation skills through research, training and outreach. START (Global Change System for Analysis, Research and Training, Washington), for example, has over the years funded and supported the development of post-graduates in Africa to obtain degrees in global environmental change, including climate change, and has usually chosen not to actively engage in shaping curricula in local institutions in the continent, preferring to play more of a facilitating function as indicated in the recent paper of START, presented at an educational meeting in Dar Es Salaam, Tanzania, June 2010 and the formation of the African Climate Research and Education network ACRE, www.start.org.

In the SADC region, a range of specific, existing climate change adaptation education activities also already exist, for example, in South Africa, activities including the DiMP/Disaster Mitigation for Sustainable Livelihoods Programme at UCT with a Disaster Risk reduction focus and also various adaptation 'winter and summer' schools on offer – CSAG – Climate Systems Analysis Group; at Wits University with efforts in, the Science Faculty and various schools, research clusters and groups including REVAMP, APES and CRG and the wider Peri-PeriU initiative that covers several training programmes across Africa with a particular focus on disaster risk reduction. Other examples include Waternet training courses in Mozambique; CIAT, SEI, UNITAR, 2005; see also www.climateadaptation.net; www.unep.org/training/downloads/newsletter/educator; and several AEO (African Environment Outlook and MESA – Mainstreaming Environment and Sustainability in African Universities Partnership) activities.

In addition to some of the courses that are emerging on climate change, as highlighted above, various

adaptatio1(he c)6(ourso1(SA n1fso1(ty chso1(rutio1(b17(y4(t7)36(W)16(ols)11o1(ng RE)-9(V)57(A)42n10 an1(, v)4(ar)-4(iou1



be required for teaching and curriculum design, including elements of economics, psychology, law etc. and how other domains of knowledge such as practitioner knowledge can be included. Integrating such modules into existing post-graduate courses, is critical, but remains as yet, an untapped avenue particularly when elevating such courses into the 'mainstream' of graduate study options (see Sherren's 2008 assessment where similar issues were raised for selected universities in Australia and Canada). Longer course offerings, several with the themes of climate and society are, however, being generated at the post-graduate level, but these currently tend to be wider in focus and scope than the single climate change focus on adaptation (e.g. The Sustainability Institute, Stellenbosch).

The opportunity to think creatively about climate change curriculum design is being stimulated through various national developments. Nationally, in South Africa, much attention is being geared towards capacity and skills development in global environmental change including climate change. The National Ten-Year Plan: Innovation Towards a Knowledge-based Economy (Department of Science and Technology, DST 2009a, 2009b), identifies global change with a focus on climate change as one of the 'Grand Challenges' that face the nation (Table 1). Several other developments, including those linked more broadly to sustainable development (DPLG, Department of Provincial and Local Government 2008), show that South Africa is taking the development of skills of the next generation to deal with multiple changes, very seriously. In spite of some good examples of progress, there are gaps in both the institutional design and 'creative thinking' around what these developments may mean for education in climate change.

The Global Change Research Plan of South Africa (Table 1) identifies four major cross-cutting knowledge challenges and 18 research themes (www.dst.gov.za). A systems science approach permeates the challenge areas with the efforts on adaptation and innovation also clearly pro led.

Table 1 Global Change Research Plan of South Africa (www.dst.gov.za)

1. Observation and monitoring	1. Waste minimisation methods and technologies	1. Preparing for rapid change and extreme events	1. Dynamics of transition at different scales – mechanisms of innovation and learning
2. Dynamics of the oceans around southern Africa	2. Conserving biodiversity and ecosystem services	2. Planning for sustainable urban development in a South African context	2. Resilience and capability
3. Dynamics of the complex internal earth systems	3. Institutional integration to manage ecosystems and ecosystem services	3. Water security for South Africa	3. Options for greening the developmental state
4. Linking the land, air and sea	4. Doing more with less	4. Food and fibre security for South Africa	4. Technological innovation for sustainable social-ecological systems
5. Improving model predictions at different scales			5. Social learning for sustainability, adaptation, innovation and resilience.



The DST has also recently given some thought to finding effective ways to mobilise the SARVA (South African Risk and Vulnerability Atlas) that is linked to the challenges by providing a user-based data platform for global change research. One of the aims of the Atlas is to enable users to 'map the way to a resilient future'.

The current shifts and changes being noted locally and internationally (both economically and in climate change), thus provide exciting opportunities for the coupling of both research and teaching on some key issues that arise for Africa, not least climate change. Africa is one of the continents that are considered most vulnerable to climate change, based on exposure to projected changes, combined with a low adaptive capacity (IPCC 2007). Ensuring that policy-makers and practitioners in Africa are adequately equipped to understand some of the technical science needed to adapt, but also creating a space where their knowledge can also inform what is needed to be taught and learnt to ensure effective adaptation is also required. Climate change also provides opportunities for action in both research-driven and socially responsive institutions. As the next section argues, however, while the Grand Challenges present a useful typology of areas for action (teaching, research and advocacy), the deeper discussion arguably required for real sustainable curriculum design is that which is more difficult, frustrating and time consuming but arguably is the most rewarding discussion to be having (Peschl 2007). Such discussion involves thinking that goes beyond current individual, disciplinary boundaries and includes considerations of modes of knowledge production and deeper interrogations of such knowledge.

As briefly shown above, there is a huge array and a variety of activities that are beginning to shape and cluster themselves around the issue of climate change in the world, the wider SADC region and in South Africa. For some, the temptation to merely 'clip together' course offerings aligned to those as outlined in the columns A-D in Table 1 may be the easiest option. Such action would arguably make the life of an academic less stressful and would also free up valuable time for the 'publish and perish' publication derby that increasingly seems to consume so much academic time (see for example the Mail and Guardian article, September 24 - 30, 2010).⁵ It is, however, the reflection on some of the 'deeper' issues that emerge around Table 1, and the wider climate change arena that can really excite, and revive academic and students' passions, particularly when one begins to think creatively and more deeply about curriculum development.

Some of the following themes, each of which is a research arena on their own and requiring much deeper reflection than this paper permits, can be used as lenses through which one can begin to creatively explore and engage around the curriculum:

- ┆ Sustainability science
- ┆ Resilience thinking
- ┆ Transdisciplinarity
- ┆ Integral theory and education
- ┆ Leadership development
- ┆ Co-production of knowledge
- ┆ Communities of practice.

5 Do University Rankings Matter? Getting Ahead, Mail and Guardian, 24-30 September, 2010.



It is indeed difficult to imagine having a really useful academic debate and discussion about curriculum development and climate change without some deeper reflection on such themes and those linked to such issues raised earlier in the paper (e.g. references to the work by Demeritt and others). Space does not permit a full exposition of all these themes. Rather some elements from each, that resonate with possible reference to climate change adaptation are highlighted and will be teased out for further discussion here.

As indicated at the outset of this paper, *sustainability science*⁶, for example, recognises complexity as a phenomenon that exists as a result of the interaction of various systems components. Some of the key ingredients for 'sustainability thinking' include flexibility in design, co-developing and deploying knowledge that is appropriate to context (Cundill *et al.* 2005). In a similar way, *resilience theory* challenges the use of only top-down, command and control ways of responding to environmental concerns and tries to advocate for greater flexibility in the design of complex socio-ecological systems that can remain robust and persist after shocks and stresses have been experienced (Burns & Weaver 2008).

Van Breda (2008) and Nowotny *et al.* (2003), suggests that *transdisciplinarity* is also critical to reflect on when considering the academic enterprise. *Transdisciplinarity* requires one to think about the interaction between the disciplines, both 'the different disciplines but critically also ' the disciplines (Nicolescu 2002) and with various foci on organising around provocative questions such as: Where is the place of people in our knowledge? Nowotny (n. d.). As Nowotny (n. d.) further notes; we need to be asking probing questions such as what are the implications of our knowledge constructions, and the implications of formulating problems in particular ways that then feed into the curriculum? Such probing approaches may well mean surrendering personal and collective biases that can congeal around disciplines, resulting in endless debates about the 'sanctity' of certain revered domains of knowledge and where they are located in academic institutions - 'Knowledge is transgressive and transdisciplinarity and does not respect institutional boundaries'. There are therefore potentially acute challenges to using such approaches, particularly in more inflexible institutions of learning.


One arena that also merits further discussion, and that may be helpful in fostering deeper debates on curriculum design for climate change, is that which is offered in *integral theory approaches* (Wilber 2000, 2001). Using four quadrants, known individually as subjective, inter-subjective, objective and inter-objective (also referred to as 'I', 'We', 'It', and 'Its' or the terrains of 'experience', 'behaviour', 'culture' and 'systems') Integral Theory provides a way of making sense of diverse perspectives (Inglis 2009), (Figures. 1 & 2). Some are beginning to explore the types of education (here interpreted in its wider sense) that may be required for an integrated understanding of what it may take to, adapt to climate change. The field is rich in approaches that can be drawn in to better inform curriculum thinking (see for example the *Journal of Integral Theory and Practice* and the *Integral Leadership Review* and Esbjorn-Hagens *et al.* 2010's edited book entitled *Integral Education – New Directions for Higher Learning*). Here some examples of reflections, from Esbjorn-Hagen's (2010) recent work, are explored. The ontological status of climate change and notions of ontological pluralism are investigated using integral theory (Figures. 1 & 2). Such an approach clearly provides useful entry points for deeper debates about what may be required, and what may be of relevance for a climate change adaptation curriculum.

6 Sustainability science is not the same as sustainable development. For a useful introduction see chapters from Burns and Weaver, 2008.




In his thought-provoking paper Esbjorn-Hargens (2010), presents a sample of methods, building from an integral perspective, of what may be considered for addressing climate change (Figures. 1 & 2). Such framings begin to call for a much deeper reflection on what may begin to be considered for effective discussion on curriculum design. He also provides reasons why it is advantageous to both philosophically and pragmatically relate climate change as an ontological plurality for example, including, empowering effective leadership to enable people to work with many variables and scales associated with climate change; leadership also needs to be able to talk with and involve many stakeholders; we need to be able to engage and include various faith dimensions and cultural constructions of nature.

1 - (- 2010)



2 - (- 2010)





O'Brien (2009; 2010) and O'Brien and Hochachka (2010) have more recently, also begun to seriously consider issues of climate change adaptation using integral approaches. They argue that by framing climate change from an objective and systems perspective one tends to down play the importance of subjective, 'interior dimensions' of climate change, often also essential for adaptation. Focusing solely on adaptation technologies and systemic changes, that may be required to adapt, can mean that the need to design shared interventions that resonate *with* people in ways that are understood, may be lost. They argue that in spite of the incredible contributions made to climate change by positivist disciplines (e.g. systems understanding) it is now also time for the social sciences, through integrating approaches, to make their contributions in meaningful and synergistic ways (O'Brien & Hochachka 2010).

To conclude this section of the paper attention finally turns to, what many adopting some of the approaches outlined above include in the tools and methods required to begin to shape dialogues to inform discussions on curriculum design. These are hinged to notions of open dialogue, sharing and learning – here the work of Scharmer and the Theory 'U' (theory of U) process is being tried (www.presencing.com), particularly in *climate leadership* contexts (e.g. InWent and Wits University project), and various other *co-production modes of knowledge*. The focus in most of these cases is on; really listening to others, sharing perceptions, suspending judgments and views on a problem and then co-designing prototypes for climate change – adaptation and/or mitigation (Peschl 2007). Linked to such approaches is the practice of including perceptions of the 'other', including those often not central to scientific, expert-based scientific knowledge using participatory approaches and communities of practice. These efforts, once again, raise the issue of what knowledge is necessary to adapt to climate change (e.g. local and traditional knowledge) and how such knowledge is shared and negotiated? (see Nowotny and others such as Jasano on such themes).

Modes of knowledge production thus also require interrogation for example how the knowledge is being produced – Mode 1 and Mode 2 knowledge production (Nowotny; Scott & Gibbons 2003). Much has been written on these areas of investigation including, but not limited to, climate change (Lemos & Morehouse 2005; Vogel *et al.* 2007 – climate change; see also Jansen in Kraak 2000 – engineering). Science allied to the environmental disciplines including agriculture, health and engineering have in some institutions and cases developed and expanded over the past few decades to include that comprised of both strongly normative, positivist and often 'reductionist' science as well as that, which draws on including perspectives from various users (e.g. farmer-rust type programmes). In most cases associated with such knowledge creation; dialogues are essential with a number of actors from



adaptation to climate change and adaptation are varied (the following are extracts from a previous submission for START on this theme, START 2009). In that paper I argued for three considerations for climate change adaptation science and curriculum development. Firstly there is the need to frame such skills training at the very highest levels as a critical endeavour and area of scholarship (both without and within the University context). This is essential so that sufficient budget flows for such developments. In Australia, the Department of the Environment and Water Resources of the Australian Greenhouse Office, has recently investigated some of the skills required for professionals to work in the field of adaptation with one of the aims being to integrate climate change into education and training for key professionals, including engineering, architecture, planners, reserve managers and local government (Dept. of the Environment and Water Resources, Australian Greenhouse Office 2007). To this end the Department commissioned the Australian Research Institute in Education for Sustainability (ARIES) to investigate the needs of professionals in this field including those requirements for architects, landscape architects, planners and engineers. The need for recognition and accreditation in the field of adaptation was a key outcome of this work. As such there is now a recognition that training and skills provisioning needs to be imbedded into current training modules etc. with a strong focus on linking or thinking seriously about current development training and how this can be aligned to adaptation work. The challenge, if one takes a deep approach, is deciding how accreditation is agreed upon, what criteria are used and who does the accrediting? Thus one key requirement is the *official acknowledgement and acceptance* that adaptation training and skills and research is required so that *such training can become endorsed and receive the recognition* required to make this a serious area of training and research in various training institutions.

Secondly, the different areas of expertise and enterprise needed vary with the topic under investigation. One may, for example, require specific training on health, planning and development, water resource management, disaster risk reduction depending on one's area of interest and expertise. This training will also vary across levels and 'beyond' current disciplines and will thus include broad meta-level thinking and training on climate change and adaptation both horizontally (e.g. broad theoretical training and principals – horizontal) and vertically (e.g. basic training in the various disciplines e.g. health, development planning etc. – vertical), and training that is required at a very specific skills level. Increasingly, for example, the additional roles of effective and reliable communication in climate change is becoming a key issue, but this cannot merely be the adding-on of an extra module in communication studies at the end of a course, or the addition of a small 'extra' (usually termed outreach) at the end of a research project.

Finally, there is a growing recognition that any training or set of activities for sustained change requires an understanding of *how people learn and sustain changes in behaviour*. The inclusion of disciplines usually located outside the traditional domain of climate science is thus needed e.g. psychology and science education. There is also the need for considering various modes of learning and there are thus a growing number of efforts to focus activities on 'learning by doing' activities. Some useful inputs in this regard have recently been made by Gujt (2007) and Taylor *et al.* (2006), who for example, outline the following key areas requiring attention: understanding social change; frameworks, methods and concepts of social change; the critical roles of relationships in change including the role of donors in shaping change; the role of scales, etc. Of interest to adaptation work was the observation that usually in practice, creating an appropriate assessment and learning process, requires mixing and matching



and then adapting a combination of various frameworks, concepts and methods (Gujt, 2007: 5). In a similar vein, Fazey *et al.* (2007) also identifies four main requirements for the resilience to withstand changes that speak directly to flexibility in behaviour as well as acknowledging the inclusion of disciplines in the science of education, in cognitive and social psychology, for a rich dialogue on and for climate change curriculum design. One can imagine, for example, future climate change meetings where climate modellers are challenged with credible narratives and scenarios that have been derived from local and traditional community observations and community practice (with little 'hard' science input). Ways are then thought out to possibly enhance and feed these into the co-design of current, climate-based assessments and models.

CONCLUSIONS

Adaptation to complex interacting stresses, for example, climate variability, climate change, HIV/AIDS, environmental degradation, etc. will require a new type of university graduate and thinker – one who can think about, and make decisions that are flexible and can keep pace with the changing environment in which we live. A key emphasis may be on building effective decision-making capability in, and for, complex risk environments (e.g. climate change) at various levels and scales (e.g. regional, national, local, personal). The repeated calls for flexible approaches, where one can mix and match training often, however, is constrained by the rigidity of set curricula and other bureaucratic requirements of training institutions.

As is evident from this paper, curriculum design for climate change adaptation is NOT a simple undertaking involving the mere tinkering with some silos of knowledge. Training the next generation of students will arguably NOT only require a re-alignment of the curriculum (essentially pouring new wine into old bottles) e.g. marrying a 'bit of biophysical science' with a 'bit of social science' and/or an expanded systems science perspective. Training the next generation of scholars, to be able to think critically around climate change and to implement adaptation action, will require carefully rethinking paradigms and ways in which curricula are framed so as to create a cohort of flexible, interactive thinkers and doers. These curricula, moreover, will not only require knowledge and skills usually vested in and provided by a university, but will require approaches in training and research that are mindful and acutely aware of the needs and perspectives of society informing their understandings of climate change and the wider environmental context in which decision making occurs. Approaches must include ways to *embed* user needs and expectations in the curriculum such as drawing on notions of communities of practice.

It is not only imperative to think critically about the tertiary education curricula to produce graduates who are prepared to deal with global change, it is also necessary to consider existing professionals (adult-based education and life-long learning approaches). Such professionals have already graduated from tertiary education, and thus will not benefit from this curriculum development. They currently occupy decision-making positions in both the public and in the private sector, often at very senior levels, that require them to have levels of understanding to implement effective adaptation and ensure resilience in the face of climate change. Curriculum development will, in some cases, therefore need to be based on needs-based assessments and co-production and co-negotiation of knowledge with key personnel in the relevant fields who can identify skills and knowledge shortages. Capacity building concerns in local settings, e.g. municipalities in South Africa, will thus have to be collaboratively worked



out and cannot be an end of the line, pipe-line insertion of knowledge into the process. Since the tertiary education graduates of tomorrow will likely enter such decision-making positions in the public and private sectors, these needs assessments may well feed back into, and inform the tertiary curriculum development and may also be tied to corporate donor support.

The easy option, as this paper has cautioned against, is to only tinker with the curriculum, on a shallow level and create placeholders and silos with a range of options that essentially glue existing disciplines together. The more difficult, but arguably more rewarding option, as briefly shown in this paper, is to seek and provide spaces for more flexible curriculum design that goes to the production of graduates with skills and with the ability to think critically about the challenges of our time. What is needed is creating the 'safe' spaces for such dialogues to begin to critically consider some of the issues outlined here e.g. the role of multiple validity claims, climate change adaptation ontologism and theory and the need for some critical realism. Students with whom I have had the privilege of sharing such approaches have appreciated such interactions and have become energised, engaged and excited ... perhaps so too ... we can breathe some much needed 'oomph' into some valuable but tired academics and university courses!

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Many of the ideas and thoughts expressed in this paper have been stimulated and made richer through various discussions with friends on the journey e.g. Professor Karen O'Brien (University of Oslo, Norway), Dr Heidi Hickman (International Social Sciences Council, Paris), Dr Hassan Veii (START, Washington) and others. For these lively and thought-provoking debates – thank you.



REFERENCES

Asian Disaster Preparedness Centre, ADPC and Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations, FAO, 2007: Climate Variability and change: adaptation to drought in Bangladesh, A resource and training guide.

Australian Government, Department of the Environment and Water Resources, Australian Greenhouse Office, Climate Change Adaptation Centre, 2007: Climate change adaptation skills for professionals, www.greenhouse.gov.au/impacts.

Burns, M. & Weaver, A. (with a forward by Clark, W. & Kates, R.) 2008: *Exploring Sustainability Science – a southern African Perspective*, Sun Press, African SUN MeDIA, Stellenbosch, 7600.

CIAT, SEI, UNITAR, 2005: A 'Training of Trainer' workshop on vulnerability and adaptation to climate change, 5-7 July, Dakar, Senegal, Workshop Report.

CLACC, December 2007. Capacity strengthening of civil society in the least developed countries for adaptation to climate change, CLACC Newsletter, Special issues for COP 13/MOP3.

Cundill, G.N.R., Fabricius, C. & Marti, N. 2005: 'Foghorns of the future: Using knowledge and trans-disciplinarity to navigate complex systems', *Ecology and Society*, 10 (2).

Demeritt, D., 2006: 'Science studies, climate change and the prospects for constructivist critique', *Economy and Society*, 35(3): 453-479.

DST, 2009a: Architecture for implementing the 10-year Global Change Research Plan, Department of Science and Technology, Republic of South Africa.

DST, 2009b: Global Change Grand Challenge, National Science Plan: Chapter 7: Global Change Research Agenda: Infrastructure and Capacity Needs.

Esbjorn-Hargens, S. 2010: 'An ontology of climate change – Integral pluralism and the enactment of multiple objects', *Journal of Integral Theory and Practice*, 5(1): 143-174.

Esbjorn-Hargens, S., Reams, J. & Gunnlaugson, O. 2010: *Integral Education – New Directions for Higher Learning*, Albany, New York: SUNY Press, State University of New York Press.

Few, R., Viner, D., Bouwer, L.M. 2006: Linking climate change adaptation and disaster risks management for sustainable poverty reduction. Vietnam Country Study, EU and MWH.

Fazey, I., Fazey, J.A., Fischer, J., Sherren, K., Warren, J., Noss, R.F., Dovers, S. 2007: 'Adaptive capacity and learning to learn for social-ecological resilience', *Front Ecol Environ*, 5(7): 375-380.

Global Water Partnership southern Africa and Water Net, 2007: Course strategies for resilience building on water-related disasters.

Gujt, I. 2007: Assessing and Learning for Social Change, A discussion paper, Learning by Design and Institute of Development Studies IDS, Brighton UK.

IPCC, Summary for Policy Makers, 2007, for full report and citation see www.ipcc.ch.

Inglis, J. 2009: 'Publicly created systemic responses to climate change, an integral approach to civic engagement'. *Journal of Integral Theory and Practice*, 4(4): 67-87.

Jasano, S. 2010: 'A new climate for society'. *Theory, Culture and Society*, 27(2-3): 233-253.

Jansen, J.D. shorter expert in Kraak, (ed). 2000. *Changing modes: Knowledge production and its implications for Higher Education in South Africa*: Human Sciences Research Council, 'Mode 2 knowledge and institutional life: Taking Gibbons on a walk through a South African University'.

Kraak, A. (ed). 2000: *Changing modes: Knowledge production and its implications for Higher Education in South Africa*: Human Sciences Research Council.

Lemos, M.C. & Morehouse, B.J. 2005: 'The co-production of science and policy in integrated climate assessments'. *Global Environmental Change*, 15: 57-68.

Nicolescu, B. 1996: *La transdisciplinaritate, manifeste*, Le Rocher, Monaco, coll. "Transdisciplinaritate", New York, State University of New York Press, 2002, translation by Karen-Claire Voss.

Nowotny, H. n. d. The potential of Transdisciplinarity, www.helga-nowotny.eu/documents/Transdisciplinarity.pdf.

Nowotny, H., Scott, P. and Gibbons, M., 2003: Introduction: 'Mode 2' Revisited: The new production of knowledge, *Minerva*, 41: 179-194.

O'Brien, K. 2009: Responding to climate change, the need for an integral approach. Integral Institute, Resource Paper No 4, 1-12.

O'Brien, K. 2010: 'Responding to Climate Change: The need for an integral approach: In Esbjørn-Hargens, S. (ed). *Integral Theory in Action: Applied, Theoretical, and Critical Perspectives on the AQAL Model* (pp.65-78). New York: SUNY Press.

O'Brien, K. & Hochachka, G. 2010: 'Integral Adaptation to Climate Change'. *Journal of Integral Theory and Practice* 5(1): 89-102.

Peschl, M.F. 2007: 'Triple-learning as foundation for profound change, individual cultivation and radical innovation. Construction processes beyond scientific and rational knowledge'. *Constructivist Foundation*, 2(2-3): 136-145.



Reid, H. & Huq, S. 2007: *Adaptation to Climate Change*, IIED Briefing, International Institute for Environment and Development, London.

Sherren, K.D. 2008: *Sustainability bound? A study of interdisciplinarity and values in universities*, a thesis submitted for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy, The Australian National University.

Spencer, *et al.*, (Sustainability Institute Stellenbosch), 2010: *A strategy for a developmental green economy for Gauteng – Preliminary report, Draft, Jan 2010.*

Taylor, P., Deak, A., Pettit, J. & Vogel, I. 2006: *Learning for Social Change – exploring concepts, methods and practice*, IDS.

USAID, 2007: *Adapting to climate variability and change, a guidance manual for development planning*, USAID.

Van Breda, J., 2008: 'Overcoming the disciplinary divide: towards the possibility of a transdisciplinary hermeneutics'. In Burns, M. & Weaver, A. (eds.). *Exploring Sustainability Science – A southern African Perspective*, Sun Press, African SUN MeDIA, Stellenbosch, 7600: 91-134.

Vogel, C., Moser, S., Kaspersen, R. & Daebelko, G. 2007: 'Linking vulnerability, adaptation and resilience science to practice: Pathways, players and partnerships'. *Global Environmental Change*, 17: 349 – 364.

Wilber, K. 2000: *Integral Psychology: Consciousness, Spirit, Psychology Therapy*. Boston: MA: Shambhala.

Wilber, K. 2001: *A Theory of Everything*. Boston: MA: Shambhala.

Vogel, C.H. 2009: Paper prepared for White Paper prepared for START, (*Global Change System for Analysis, Research and Training*).

A FRAMEWORK FOR ACTION ON CLIMATE CHANGE AND ADAPTATION IN HIGHER EDUCATION IN SADC

This document emanates from the Vice-Chancellors' Dialogue, October 2010

INTRODUCTION

In October 2010, twenty-four Vice-Chancellors, representing universities from ten countries in the SADC region, gathered at the University of Mauritius to discuss the challenges of climate change and adaptation for universities in the region and for the communities in which they are based. This Leadership Dialogue gave space to university leaders to consider both the risks and opportunities of climate change for their institutions and broader communities, as well as the options for dealing with its effects. A key focus of the conference was the extent to which climate change and adaptation concerns are integrated into teaching and learning, research and collaboration and community engagement. This framework for action was a planned result of the dialogue. It pulls together the outcomes of the conference deliberations as a way of focusing university leaders and other stakeholders on a clear set of priorities for future action and engagement.

Internationally there have been increasing calls for universities to play a more active role in understanding climate change impacts, and for developing the capacity to adapt to climate variability. Available knowledge points to the fact that sub-Saharan Africa is one of the most vulnerable regions to climate change, yet its ability to influence global policy development, resource mobilisation and allocation and capacity development is limited.

Specialist inputs were prepared by senior scientists and academics from SADC universities, who are currently working at the cutting edge of climate change and adaptation in a variety of knowledge fields. The arguments of the panellists provided a stimulus for powerful discussions about the role of universities in addressing climate change and adaptation and the measures required to address these



issues. Working in commissions, the Vice-Chancellors identified clear priorities for building the required capacity within universities to deal with the challenges of climate change and adaptation.

CLIMATE CHANGE, ADAPTATION, REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT AND UNIVERSITIES

Southern Africa is particularly vulnerable to climate variability because of poverty, poor social and economic infrastructure, and the over-dependence on climate-sensitive natural resources. Extreme events associated with climate change such as droughts and floods have devastating effects in the region and include loss of life, food shortages, famine, malnutrition, and damage to an already-fragile infrastructure. Climate change therefore exacerbates the burden of poverty, underdevelopment and marginalisation in the region. Climate change must be seen centrally as a development issue, as it fundamentally influences living standards, social well-being, poverty and social exclusion, survival and livelihoods. The links to long-term development priorities and planning must therefore be consciously recognised and addressed in the region.

The role of higher education institutions in filling knowledge gaps for adaptive capacity cannot be contested. The relationship between climate variability, adaptation and development is an under-researched phenomenon, particularly in the SADC region. It is also unclear to what extent new knowledge is being integrated into education to build capacity for adaptation, analysis and for developing solutions. The capacity development needs are on several fronts:

- to create awareness and to sensitise communities, governments and the private sector about the risks of climate variability for development prospects in the region,
- to significantly improve research and knowledge generation on climate change, adaptation measures and the associated costs and benefits,
- to better disseminate information and knowledge amongst all stakeholders,
- to strengthen regional scientific policy development and implementation as well as institutional capacity and
- to improve regional capacity for active participation in international policy networks.

Universities can make a significant contribution to building the resilience of the region to increasing climate variability through teaching and research.

Higher education institutions are centres of teaching and learning, sites of knowledge production, protagonists in local communities, employers, and national assets. This places them in an important position to create awareness of the risks and opportunities of climate change, and to generate the required knowledge to produce innovations to respond to adaptation needs, and to prepare leaders and members of society to live as citizens of a world of increasing complexity. Universities must prepare students and staff with a kind of holistic thinking and associated behaviour that will challenge existing ontological, epistemological and pedagogical approaches currently framing traditional teaching and learning in universities.

Universities can also become important nodes of collaboration networks with communities, governments and the private sector. As employers, universities have the potential to influence large numbers of people. These roles will put tremendous pressure on university systems in SADC, already affected by a number of challenges. However, they do present an enormous opportunity to attract resources in support of ongoing efforts to revitalise universities, renew the academy and to replenish the store of scientists and academics.



Universities will have to confront a broad range of challenges in the areas of learning and teaching, research and knowledge production, and institutional leadership and management, in order to fulfil these multiple roles in developing adaptive capacities, guided by principles and a focused set of priorities.

AIMS AND OBJECTIVES

The need to build adaptation capacity as a response to climate variability is a recent phenomenon. Internationally, universities have played a leading role in this process. In response to the complex challenge of adaptation and development, small pockets of excellence (relative to the needs in the region) are emerging as examples of how universities in SADC are re-orienting curricula; experimenting with innovative pedagogical practices; undertaking research that employs multi-, trans- and interdisciplinary methodologies and analytical frameworks; and building collaborative networks that include a broader range of partners and stakeholders.

These efforts need to be scaled up and institutionalised across universities in the region within the context of revitalising higher education institutions and renewing the academy. The aim of this framework for action is to set the agenda for strengthening the capacity of the higher education sector in the region to address climate variability and adaptation in the exercise of its core missions. Several specific objectives will be pursued in seeking to achieve this aim:

- to generate and share information and knowledge on climate variability, adaptation and development
- to build the capacity of individuals, institutions and communities to adapt to climate variability
- to raise awareness and to sensitise communities and stakeholders in the formulation and implementation of public policy aimed at building adaptation capacity in the region.

This will involve innovations in teaching and learning, and in knowledge production and institutional management.

PRINCIPLES

In a diverse region such as SADC, in which countries and higher education institutions will approach the issue of building adaptive capacity from different starting points, a set of principles are important as a way of ensuring a shared frame of reference, yet at the same time allowing the flexibility necessary to accommodate the differentiated needs of different countries. These principles serve as a guide that will have to be articulated in a way that speaks to the specific country contexts. The following principles have been incorporated into this framework of action:

Interventions need to have, as a design feature and explicit outcome, the revitalisation and renewal of higher education institutions. Resources mobilised and deployed in the higher education sector for the purposes of building adaptive capacity should have as a result, the development of a new generation of scientists and academics, and the strengthening of higher education institutions.

Adaptation should be approached as an integral part of development in southern Africa and not as an environmental issue only. Moreover, a holistic perspective that integrates, where feasible, multiple paradigms, perspectives, disciplines, methods and practices.

Collaborative action recognises that there are many actors which are interdependent, each bringing with them resources, expertise and insights for developing innovative solutions to the challenges of climate variability and adaptation. This is a source of strength.

INNOVATION AND CAPCITY BUILDING IN TEACHING AND LEARNING

Studying and learning about climate change, adaptation and development de es traditional disciplinary boundaries, knowledge structures, traditions and practices. However, various innovations are emerging in universities across the region. Initiatives are underway to determine what kind of changes are being introduced in programmes such as work undertaken by START, the global change System for Analysis, Research and Training non-governmental research organisation, and the African Climate Research and Education Network (ACRE). There are examples of sta capacity-building taking place in universities in the region such as the work done through the Mainstreaming and Sustainability in African Universities Partnership (MESA). There are examples of experimentation with new and specialised programmes. Various possibilities are being explored, which include: the introduction of non-credit bearing courses that involve communities, other stakeholders and students; the introduction of a generic module for all; and working towards the design and implementation of a joint degree at the regional level.

These initiatives face many barriers. Climate science involves proactive engagement with uncertainty, for which reductionist paradigms and theories offer little assistance. Climate variability and adaptation is a complex issue, given the dynamics owing from the interaction of various system components. Inter-, multi- and transdisciplinary approaches, to curriculum design and development is required and will involve working across and beyond disciplines. Moreover, context and place is widely acknowledged as important, since climate impacts vary from place to place. Taking this uncertainty and complexity into consideration, there are concerns that the curriculum is already too crowded and that there is very little time to update courses. Perceptions of academic sta that climate change and adaptation is irrelevant as well as the lack of sta expertise in this eld, together with limited institutional drive and commitment further limit the scope for curriculum innovation. Several priorities have been identi ed to address these constraints and to promote re-curriculation.

The development of new and specialised programmes that draw on environmental science, geography, the humanities and other relevant disciplines, is an immediate priority for strengthening and encouraging innovation in teaching and learning. This includes the development of short courses, aimed at university students and other stakeholders, introducing the concepts of climate change, adaptation and development. It further includes a review of undergraduate programmes to determine the extent to which these issues can be incorporated and mainstreamed into existing curricula, as well



as in the development of specialised programmes for postgraduates. The development of a generic model at a regional level should also receive attention. Specific interventions should include:

- Internal curriculum reviews to identify opportunities for re-circulation and the development of new programmes at undergraduate, postgraduate and professional development levels.
- Development of staff capacity focusing both on short-term workshop-based training activities, and longer term in-depth courses aimed at introducing academic staff to the key concepts and issues, and its implications for curriculum development and delivery.
- Promotion of staff and student exchange and the sharing of learning and teaching resources related to climate change, adaptation and development.

INNOVATIONS AND CAPACITY BUILDING IN RESEARCH AND KNOWLEDGE PRODUCTION

There is a limited availability of published work on climate change, adaptation and development in southern Africa undertaken by scientists, researchers and academics from this region. The research conducted is mainly carried out through collaboration between scientists in the region and from developed regions. The inferences drawn, perspectives developed, and solutions proposed are based on scanty data because there is limited past and ongoing work. It is only recently that climate change, adaptation and development has emerged as a research focus, often driven by the interests of donors. Fledgling research networks are connecting scientists and academics around monitoring climate change and its impacts; undertaking baseline data for early detection purposes; vulnerability assessments; and broadening recognised forms of knowledge including traditional and community generated knowledge.

The connections between climate variability, adaptation and development remain tentative. Sustaining these research processes and outcomes and scaling them to a level that is significant to the region will require investment in such networks and in the institutions where they are hosted. Using climate change research as a platform for developing the skills of young researchers with the aim of increasing the pool of researchers in this field is an opportunity that should not be missed. Interventions in support of the following are proposed:

- Increasing the opportunities for multi and transdisciplinary research that draw on the insights and methods from a range of disciplines.
- Strengthening of climate change, adaptation and development research capacity within universities.
- Enhancing the development of climate change research networks.
- Increasing multi and transdisciplinary research.
- Improving research communication in order to strengthen the ties between the scientific community and society.

INSTITUTIONAL INNOVATION AND CAPACITY BUILDING

Higher education institutions play an important role in several respects. Institutions have the function of information gathering and dissemination, resource mobilisation and dissemination, capacity building and skills development and collaboration with other institutions. All of these functions are important for; creating incentives to act, for framing the issues and how they are approached, and for changing institutional cultures. Given the multi-disciplinary nature of the climate change,



adaptation and development, institutional leadership and change management is important for supporting collaboration across disciplines within the institution. The mechanisms and incentives for mainstreaming climate change are in the hands of university administrators. In addition, there is a need for internally enabling structures that bring together different academics, students, and other stakeholders in dynamic formations. The idea of climate change observatories within universities has been mooted as one such innovation.

The key priority, from an institutional perspective, is to create the conditions and to actively support the mainstreaming of climate change, adaptation and development in institutions in the region. This will involve action on several fronts:

- Identifying and supporting champions within the university hierarchy to lead the process of mainstreaming climate change, adaptation and development in the institutions
- Introducing university-wide seminars and programmes to create awareness on campuses
- Undertaking assessments of the adaptation measures required on campuses
- Establishing Vice Chancellor mandated structures to initiate discussions and to strengthen communication
- Establishing specific mechanisms to support collaboration among institutions and scientists

IMMEDIATE NEXT STEPS

Leadership and resources are required to activate the identified priorities and interventions. Two specific mechanisms have been proposed on account of each:

The establishment of a climate change, adaptation and development working group, comprising Deputy Vice Chancellors (DVCs) from universities in the region, convened by SARUA and led by the University of the Cape Town, was proposed as an institutional mechanism to set the agenda for integrating climate change concerns into the higher education sector.

The establishment of a regional capacity building scheme is required to mobilise and leverage resources for investment in curriculum development, and for knowledge production and institutional capacity building. SARUA was tasked to approach possible funders and partners for this purpose, and to work out the technical modalities for its success.

