Optimizing the Potential of Educational Computing Research in Emerging Countries

Ronald Bisaso
Department of Higher Education
School of Education
Makerere University
P.O BOX 7062 KAMPALA
E-mail: rbisaso@educ.mak.ac.ug

Abstract:

This article explains the possibility of merging approaches to educational computing research for the benefit of developing regions. It is on the premise that educational researchers, policymakers, and practitioners agree that educational research is often divorced from the problems and issues of everyday practice (The Design-Based Research Collective, 2003). Moreover, educational effectiveness and efficiency is a factor of Information and Communication Technology (ICT) especially the computer – a 'tool of our time'. Developing countries have seen the potential of educational computing as a catalyst in the enhancement of knowledge acquisition and management, but approaches to educational ICT research in these settings have not been explored. Noteworthy is that software developers have either developed materials on the basis that they are conversant with all the contextual factors, or have remained oblivious about the advantages accruing from understanding the change process. Consequently, in this paper, a blended approach is proposed where two strands namely (rapid) prototyping and basic research ought to sit side by side if the exceeding relevance of educational computing research is to be sustainable and its returns fully exploited in the developing regions.

Keywords: Rapid prototyping, Innovation, Invention, Development research

---

Introduction

Interest in developing educational technology solutions to curriculum and instruction problems has been ever increasing. Czerniewicz, L. & Carr, T. (2005) reports that there is evidence of diverse emerging practices in the use of educational technologies across Southern Africa, in both the school and higher education sectors hence suggesting that institutions at all levels in the developing regions have seen the benefits that this field of study offers that each is considering installation of new educational computing hardware and software. Governments at all levels have expressed support for educational technology initiatives, for example, the Uganda Ministry of Education SchoolNet project, and the CurriculumNet project at the National Curriculum Development Centre (NCDC), the latter tasked to develop content online or computer based curriculum instructional materials (National Curriculum Development Centre, 2004). It is thus no dilemma to find environments in which to evaluate educational computing research and development owing to the prevalent support for educational technology and the anticipated prospects it renders to effective schooling in the developing regions. Rath & Hsu (1999) argue that it is the existence of this potential that motivates the necessity for progress in productivity and quality in educational computing research and development. Yet Nieveen & Gustafson (1999) note that data documenting the advantages accruing to the practicality and effectiveness of educational computer support systems are still insufficient. Rath A. (1999) acknowledges that, in education, we are struggling to link research with development in a practical and productive manner.

Reeves (2000) recounts that some instructional technologists appear to have immense dedication to basic research, irrespective of whether it has any practical value, perhaps because basic research seems more scientific or they believe that it is someone else's role to figure out how to apply the findings of basic research. Others seem to believe that the value of basic research in a design field such as IT is limited and that ICT research should therefore have direct and clear implications for practice. Basic research is characteristically work that emphasizes fundamental processes. Development is typically work that emphasizes the design and development of curriculum materials (Rath & Hsu, 1999). Tyler (1978, in Rath & Hsu, 1999), for example, stated that "Research is an activity seeking to gain greater understanding of a phenomenon, while development is an effort to design a system that will achieve the desired ends under specified conditions or constraints" (p. 94). In this vein, the two approaches to investigation are amalgamated into research and development, and consequently globally defined as a "process that links basic research on curriculum, instruction, and learning with the design and development of educational products and processes for specific teaching and learning situations" (Rath & Hsu, 1999). According to Revees (2000), Stokes (1997) called for more "use-inspired basic research" rather than either pure basic or applied research. "Use-inspired basic research" for instructional technologists is what is labeled "development research" (Akker, 1999).

Notwithstanding the inventiveness in educational computing, we ought to uncover ways to qualitatively and quantitatively enhance the current state of invention and inquiry. It is should be borne in mind that educators are limited in choice when it

comes to selection of tested and proven educational computing systems in the developing regions. This explains the need for guaranteeing sustainability of research and development to the advantage of both the theorists and designers, remaining keen about their interdependence (International Federation for Information Processing, 2005). Development researchers are also committed to constructing design principles and producing explanations that can be widely shared. Instructional technologists engaged in development research are above all reflective and humble, cognizant that their designs and conclusions are tentative in even the best of situations (Reeves, 2000). Moreover, educational computing research and development is both a process and a tool. It thus remains questionable how one is either a theorist or a designer and successfully develops well-situated products. Although it may seem to work, this naivety explains why, educational computing products and processes developed in Faculties or Schools of Education hardly trek past the development phase (Rath & Hsu, 1999). The subsequent sections of this paper discuss the invention and innovation approaches in detail.

Invention (Prototyping) research

Nieven (1999) argues that to reach product quality, the suitability of the prototyping approach is beyond question. Nieveen (1999) quotes Smith (1991, p.42) who defines a prototype as "a preliminary version or a model of all or a part of a system before full commitment is made to develop it." Prototypes are all interim products that are incrementally designed before the final product is constructed and fully implemented in practice (Moonen, 1996). Nieveen (1999) notes that based on several such cycles, the computer system evolves towards a high quality final product.

De Hoog, de Jong & de Vries (1994, in Nieveen, 1999) are reported to propose a non-linear approach of development in

be regarded of quality when there is compatibility between software utilization among the intended audience (teachers or other experts) and the software developers' intent.

c) *Effectiveness:* Users' experience with the products developed is such that it adds value to their current way of doing things; in a way that is in agreement with the intentions of the developer.

However, in an educational context, it must be remembered that ICTs are basically tools for facilitating learning and teaching. Their success does not depend on the technology itself, but on the correctness of its application. High expectations for ICT applications may cause disappointment among their users, if they do not take full account of the actual educational contexts hence the importance of understanding innovations.

Innovation (Basic research)

Fullan (1999) attempts to discuss the interactive factors affecting implementation in educational settings, and he posits that the characteristics of the change namely; Need, Clarity, and Complexity are vital ingredients in implementation of innovations. Educational computing innovations are no exception. Need refers to how much scrutiny is made in relation to the software 'addressing' the most imperative demands. Equally important is the establishment of the edge of the software product under development over other similarly essential issues; otherwise, the beneficiaries of the status quo may reject it sooner than it is rolled out. On clarity, Fullan notes that

iag thetatduu2tato21( )131(o)dea16(l)37(6)151(Thw19(i)36(o)23(h)1151(3)16(a)k19(i)128ngre131(4)23(h)18(e)1
h be i4hva25(h)16(e)115change ngvaa19(l)34(e)25(eract56(iv)4(o)23(n)16(. 177b)i] TJ 0.12 92c 1.6543 Tw 0
dearacteristics he 30(i)330(e)19(s)10308a23(n)16(. 1731re)30(m)34(e)i er16(er)1TJ 0.24 16c 9.62 92c 0 13.6

a tɾgu   hea t354(t)22(h)19( )e108(o)desi6(o)g20(no)9( )19(1pro)30(duss) td21(n)es TJ 034 Tc 5.034 w 0

devepooetn othmpe17( )131(t)u21(n)1le486d   ty Th16(s)74144l echr 8

solving these rather complicated problems. Hake (2004) argues that proponents of design-based research are willing to attempt to address, simultaneously and iteratively, the scientific processes of discovery, exploration, confirmation, and dissemination resulting in an active innovation and intervention in the classrooms (Kelly, 2003). Moonen (1999) reported on the rational and relational approaches; the former entailing problem disintegration into sub-problems and eventually tackling these in a micro manner. On the whole, problems are well defined and consensus is obtained in regard to the solution.

Moonen (1999) on the contrary recounts that design methods that are only loop-sided to this strand are inappropriate. This, he argues, is a result of the growing influence of the stakeholders. Shön (1993, in Moonen, 1999) is reported to suggest an extension in execution of this process to embrace "reflection-in-action" in which means and ends are dealt with iteratively. Kessels (1993) refers to this as the relational approach – "gradually evolving correspondence between product and the intended user".

Moonen (1999) further questions the way to go either 'rational' (invention) or 'relational' (innovation). His argument is that it all depends on the situation. He further argues that once there is clarity of purpose then a rational approach is more appropriate. However, when the contrary is the case, a relational inclination serves better. Moonen concludes that "it is a wise choice to combine in a global design strategy, the strengths of both approaches". This is represented in the model in figure 1. Fusion of these two methods should bear in mind that a) there is often uncertainty about how to proceed, b) the context has considerable influence and c) many design activities have

to do with adaptation (Moonen, 1998). Kessels (1993) in his study on curriculum consistency in corporate education labels this as internal and external consistency respectively implying rational (invention) and relational (innovation) approaches.

Discussion and conclusion

The preceding discussion and the model suggest that educational computing research and development should focus on the product through the lenses of basic research hence producing educational software that has been thoroughly tested on both fronts. Much as it is costly to develop a software product, to solve some of the educational and training challenges, an informed approach of involving the stakeholders through analyzing the context (what hardware and software limitations), the task at hand and the users themselves is inevitable(Reeves, 2000). Muianga (2005) reports on an onsite study into the development and application of a course management system (CMS) for online learning in Mozambique which clearly shows the application of development research. Teachers and students were involved in the use of the TeleTop environment, a CMS, which enriched both the design aspects and the innovation challenge especially on the part of the teachers. Moreover, the Apple Advance Technology Group (Sphorer 1998, in Moonen, 1999) reported that from experience with the software designing tools, among the outstanding lessons, were the users and these had to be involved in two perspectives: cognitive fit (for usability) and social fit (for dissemination)". The authors acknowledge that the social fit is agreeably much longer than the cognitive fit. Hence, educational technologists should establish the critical determinants for successful adoption and adaptation as they strive to evolve well-situated products for the developing regions. Paramount is that

educational technologists in emerging regions are duty bound to develop models as frameworks for adoption and diffusion. Miller's model, a synthesis of a variety of technology implementation models, has been successfully tried out in South Africa and Mozambique to study the introduction of computers in schools (Cossa and Cronje, 2004). These two southern countries have been mapped onto the stages in Miller's model. Emerging countries also ought to explore possibilities of at least designing software according to their local priorities, for example, in the Southern African region, South Africa is becoming a producer of software and ICT-related services within the global market (Hodge & Miller 1997; Otter 2005, in Czerniewicz & Carr, 2005).

References

Akker, J., (1999). Principle and Methods of Development Research. In Akker, J., Branch, R.M., Gustafson K., Nieveen, N. & Plomp, Tj. (Eds.). *Design approaches and tools in education and training.* Dordrecht: Kluwer.

Cossa, G.G & Cronjé, J.C (2004) Computers for Africa: lessons learnt from introducing computers into schools in Mozambique *International Journal of Learning Technology* (1), 1

Czerniewicz, L. & Carr, T. (2005). Growing communities of practice among educational technology researchers and practitioners in development-oriented contexts: Linking local and global debates. *International Journal of Education and Development using Information and Communication Technology* Volume 1, Issue 2 pp. 3-24.

Dourish, P., (1995). Developing a reflective model of collaborative systems, *ACM Transactions on Computer Human Interactions,* 2(1), p.40-63.

Fullan, M.G (1999). *The New Meaning of Educational Change.* 2nd Edition. London: Cassell

Hake, R.R. (2004). *Design-Based Research: Old PER Wine in a New Bottle* www.physics.indiana.edu/~hake/PERC2004-Hake6.pdf. Retrieved October 17, 2005

International Federation for Information Processing (2005). The Stellenbosch Declaration ICT In Education: Make It Work. IFIP Committee on Education (IFIP TC3) *8th World Conference On Computers In Education "40 Years Of Computers In Education, What Works?"* July 4 -7, 2005, Stellenbosch University, South Africa.

Kelly, A.E. (2003). Research as Design. *Educational Researcher* 32 (1), pp 3-4.

Kessels, J.W.M (1993). *Towards design standards for curriculum consistency in corporate education.* Doctoral dissertation, University of Twente, Enschede.

Moonen, J. (1999). The design and prototyping of digital learning material: Some new perspectives. In Akker, J., Branch, R.M., Gustafson K., Nieveen, N. & Plomp, Tj. (Eds.). *Design approaches and tools in education and training*. Dordrecht: Kluwer.

Moonen, J., (1996) Prototyping as a design method. In Plomp, Tj. and Ely, D. (Eds.) (1996) *International Encyclopedia of Educational Technology* 2nd Edition (p. 186-190) Pergamon: Oxford

Moonen, J., (1998) A design methodology for social sciences: version 0.2. A paper presented at *the social science design conference*, University of Twente 27-28 March 1998.

Muianga, X. (2005). Blended online and face-to-face learning: A pilot project in the Faculty of Education, Eduardo Mondlane University. *International Journal of Education and Development using Information and Communication Technology.* Vol. 1, Issue 2, pp. 130-144.

National Curriculum Development Centre (July 2004). The improvement of traditional teaching methods with new innovative mechanisms via ICTs. *Using ICTs to enhance learning.* Pp. 1-3

Nieveen, N. (1999). Prototyping to reach product quality. In Akker, J., Branch, R.M., Gustafson K., Nieveen, N. & Plomp, Tj. (Eds.). *Design approaches and tools in education and training*. Dordrecht: Kluwer.

Nieveen, N., & Gustafson, K. (1999). Characteristics of computer-based tools for education and training development: An introduction. In Akker, J., Branch, R.M., Gustafson K., Nieveen, N. & Plomp, Tj. (Eds.). *Design approaches and tools in education and training*. Dordrecht: Kluwer.

Powell, T.A., (1998) *Web Site Engineering*, Prentice Hall, Upple Saddle River, NJ

Rath, A. & Hsu, S. (1999) Conclusion: The Need for Systematic Educational Computing R&D. *Journal of Research on Computing Education,* Volume 32, Number 2, Winter 1999

Rath, A. (1999). Educational Computing R&D: An Introduction *Journal of Research on Computing Education,* Volume 32, Number 2, Winter 1999

Reeves, T.C. (2000). Enhancing the Worth of Instructional Technology Research through "Design Experiments" and Other Development Research Strategies Paper presented on April 27, 2000 at Session 41.29, *"International Perspectives on Instructional Technology Research for the 21st Century,"* a Symposium sponsored by SIG/Instructional Technology at the Annual Meeting of the American Educational Research Association, New Orleans, LA. http://it.coe.uga.edu/~treeves/AERA2000Reeves.pdf. Retrieved: October 17 2005.

Rogers, E.M. (2003). *The diffusion of innovations* (5th ed.). NY: Free Press.

The Design-Based Research Collective (2003). Design-Based Research: An Emerging Paradigm for Educational Inquiry. *Educational Researcher,* Vol. 32, No. 1, pp. 5–8

About the author:

Ronald Bisaso is a holder of a Master of Science in Educational and Training Systems Design and a Bachelor of Arts with Education from the University of Twente, the Netherlands and Makerere University respectively. He is currently a Fulltime Researcher at the University of Tampere, Department of Management Studies/Higher Education Group in Finland and also working on his Ph.D. majoring in Information Technology in Higher Educational Management. He has attended UNESCO – IICBA (International Institute for Capacity Building in Africa) workshops on Computer Integrated Education in the University of Pretoria, Addis Ababa University, Sudan University of Science and Technology, Makerere University and the University of Cape Coast, Ghana. His research interests include; Information and Communication Technology in Education especially Information Technology in Educational Management. He is also interested in Course Design, ICT related pedagogical issues, Computer applications in Educational Research and Management of School Improvement. He has until February 2007 been a Facilitator of the UNESCO – IICBA Master of Education in Information and Communication Technology in the School of Education, Makerere University.